# Experimental studies on fast-ion transport by Alfvén wave avalanches on the National Spherical Torus Experiment<sup>\*</sup>

M. Podestà<sup>†‡</sup>, W.W. Heidbrink, D. Liu, E. Ruskov

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, CA 92697, USA

R.E. Bell, D.S. Darrow, E.D. Fredrickson, N.N. Gorelenkov, G.K. Kramer, B.P. LeBlanc, S.S. Medley, A.L. Roquemore Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

N.A. Crocker, S. Kubota

University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

H. Yuh

Nova Photonics, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA (Dated: November 30, 2008)

Fast-ion transport induced by Alfvén eigenmodes (AEs) is studied in beam-heated plasmas on the National Spherical Torus Experiment [M. Ono *et al.*, *Nucl. Fusion* **40** 557 (2000)] through space, time and energy resolved measurements of the fast-ion population. Fast-ion losses associated with multiple toroidicity-induced AEs (TAEs), which interact non-linearly and disrupt in *avalanches*, are characterized. A depletion of the energy range > 20 keV, leading to sudden drops of up to 40% in the neutron rate over 1 ms, is observed over a broad spatial range. It is shown that avalanches lead to a relaxation of the fast-ion profile, which in turn reduces the drive for the instabilities. The radial eigenmode structure and frequency of TAEs are compared with the predictions from a linear magneto-hydrodynamics stability code. The comparison with experimental data suggests that non-linearities may compromise a direct comparison between experiment and theory.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of fast-ion populations plays a primary role in several aspects of magnetically confined plasmas [1]. Beams of fast neutral particles are commonly used to heat the plasma, and for noninductive sustainment of the plasma current. Radio-frequency (RF) waves are also routinely used to create high energy populations for similar purposes. Fast ions are finally responsible for the fusion reactions occurring in magnetically confined plasmas. Beside these beneficial effects, unconfined fast ions can damage in-vessel components such as limiters or RF antennas, or the vessel wall itself [2]. These negative aspects will acquire even stronger relevance for future reactors such as ITER, where alpha particles originate from fusion reactions with energy of 3.5 MeV, much higher than the thermal energy of bulk ions and electrons. Understanding fast-ion dynamics is therefore a primary task for near-term fusion studies [3]. Closely related to that is the possibility of predicting fast-ion dynamics through theoretical studies and reliable models. Finally, controlling fast-ion dynamics, e.g. through the injection of RF waves or neutral beams (NB), may open new avenues to control the behavior of a fusion reactor.

<sup>†</sup>Invited speaker

Waves in the Alfvén frequency range are well known for their potential interaction with a fast-ion population. Experimentally, loss and redistribution in both the real and the phase space are observed in beam heated plasma discharges on several devices (cf. [1][3] and references therein). Of particular interest are modes that can coalesce nonlinearly until the associated resonances overlap in phase space [4][5]. In this case, extended regions of the fast-ion distribution function can provide free energy to sustain the modes' growth. The resulting stochastization of phase space leads to greatly enhanced losses and redistribution, compared to the case of multiple, but non interacting modes. In this paper, we focus on fast-ion dynamics associated with toroidicity-induced Alfvén eigenmodes (TAEs) and their nonlinear evolution in avalanches [6]. The latter manifest as a fast (< 1 ms)frequency down-chirp involving multiple modes, often associated with a prompt decrease in the neutron rate, indicating loss or redistribution of energetic ions. Measurements presented herein are performed on the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX) [7], where neutral beam injection provides the main source of additional heating. Due to the high ratio between beam-ion and Alfvén velocities, a large variety of Alfvénic instabilities can be destabilized [8], providing a suitable environment for their experimental investigation.

NSTX is a small aspect ratio device, with major and minor radius of  $R_0 = 0.8$  m and a = 0.65 m (aspect ratio  $R/a \approx 1.3$ ). The magnetic field is  $3.5 \rightarrow 5.5$  kG, for a pulse duration 1 s. Density and temperature are

<sup>\*</sup>Paper GI1 1, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 53 wyzx (2008)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>email: mpodesta@pppl.gov



FIG. 1: (a-c) Spectrograms of magnetic fluctuations during NB injection on NSTX. (b) Time traces of injected NB power (red), neutron rate (green) and plasma current (blue). (d) Fast-ion profile evolution measured via fast-ion D-alpha spectroscopy.

 $n = 1 \rightarrow 10 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$  and  $T_i \approx T_e \leq 1.5 \text{ keV}$  (subscripts e, i refer to electrons and ions, respectively). Up to 7 MW of NB power are injected from three NB sources dubbed A, B and C, with tangency radii of 69, 59 and 49 cm. The maximum acceleration voltage is 95 kV, with deuterium being the standard injected species. The velocity of fast ions resulting from charge-exchange of the injected neutrals is up to five times the Alfvén velocity,  $v_A$ . Several resonant mechanisms are thus accessible to destabilize waves in the Alfvén frequency range.

Figure. 1-a shows a spectrogram from Mirnov coils to introduce the variety of modes commonly observed in NSTX beam-heated discharges. For that discharge, 6 MW of NB power were injected (Fig. 1-b) into a Deuterium plasma. The flat-top phase, starting at  $t \approx 200$ ms, is characterized by a plasma current  $I_p = 0.9$  MA. For practical purposes, three spectral regions are identified [9]. Low-frequency, kink-like modes are destabilized at frequencies  $f \leq 50$  kHz, see Fig. 1-c. In the same range can be found beta-induced acoustic Alfvén eigenmodes (BAAEs) [10][11] and energetic-particle modes (EPMs). The range  $50 \le f \le 200$  kHz typically corresponds to the TAE range. Finally, for f > 200 kHz global and compressional Alfvén eigenmodes (GAEs and CAEs) are observed [12][13]. A first idea of the effect of instabilities on fast ions can be inferred from the volume-averaged neutron rate (Fig. 1-b). Before  $t \approx 200$  ms, i.e. during the current ramp-up phase, quasi-periodic bursts of EPMs occur, leading to prompt drops of the neutron rate up to 15%. Then, a low-frequency mode appears at  $t \approx 300$ ms, accompanied by a strong, long-lasting decrease in the neutron rate. The dramatic effects on the fast-ion population can be appreciated from Fig. 1-d, showing the evolution of the fast-ion density profile as measured by a fast-ion D-alpha spectroscopy (see Sec. II).

The paper is organized as follows. The diagnostics available on NSTX for fast-ion studies are described in Sec. II. Section III presents the experimental results on fast-ion



FIG. 2: (a) Fast-ion distribution, F, calculated by TRANSP (injection energy is 75 keV). (b) FIDA response function, W, obtained from a simulation code. (c) Convolution F \* W, determining the measured FIDA signal.

dynamics during TAE avalanches. The possibility of modeling the observed losses is discussed in Sec. IV. Finally, the results are summarized in Sec. V.

## **II. THE NSTX FAST-ION DIAGNOSTICS**

The main diagnostics utilized throughout this paper are Fast-Ion D-Alpha (FIDA) systems [14][15], which became available on NSTX in 2008 [16]. They are based on active charge-exchange recombination spectroscopy. The measured FIDA signal is given by  $s = s_f + B$  where B indicates background light and  $s_f$  the fast-ion signal, associated with fast ions that recombine with the injected neutrals. After recombination, a fraction of these re*neutrals* will eventually undergo plasma collisions and be in an excited state. The signal  $s_f$  originates from photons emitted after a  $3 \rightarrow 2$  transition between excited states. The corresponding wavelength range is centered about the  $D_{\alpha}$  (or Balmer-alpha) emission line at 656.1 nm. For recombining fast ions with sufficiently high energy, the Doppler-shifted emission can be separated from the (dominant) cold  $D_{\alpha}$  light, thus making the information on the fast-ion population available. Referring to Fig. 2,  $s_f$  can be expressed as

$$s_f(E_\lambda) \equiv \int \int W F_f \, dE \, dp \,. \tag{1}$$

where  $E_{\lambda}$  is the energy calculated from the observed wavelength  $\lambda$  through the Doppler shift formula.  $F_f(E,p)$  is the local fast-ion distribution, with E and  $p = v_{f,\parallel}/v_f$  the energy and pitch variables ( $v_f$  is the fast ion velocity and  $v_{f,\parallel}$  its component along the magnetic field). The response function, W(E, p), accounts for the effective averaging over the phase-space, intrinsic to the method [15], for the specific viewing and beam geometry and for the charge-exchange rate. In practice, the integrated fast-ion signal is approximated as

$$\int_{\Delta E_{\lambda}} s_f \, dE_{\lambda} \propto N_f \, N_b \, < \sigma_{cx} \, \overline{v} > \tag{2}$$

from which the *FIDA density*  $N_f$  is obtained from the experimental data. The integration range  $\Delta E_{\lambda}$  in Eq. 2 corresponds to the expected fast-ion energy range.

The use of Eqs. 1-2 requires two important remarks for a correct interpretation of the experimental results. First, each channel samples a limited portion in phase space, therefore the assumption that  $N_f$  is representative of the actual fast ion density must be verified. This can be done by comparing the experimental results with the output of a dedicated numerical code, simulating the response of FIDA under different conditions. Second, Eq. 1 involves a convolution over energy and pitch, resulting in (i) a reduction in the overall velocity-space resolution, and (ii) a loss of a one-to-one correspondence between original fast-ion energy, E, and observed energy,  $E_{\lambda}$ . Again, the comparison with simulations can be used to untangle the spectral information contained in the data.

Two complementary FIDA instruments are operational on NSTX, namely a spectrometer (s-FIDA) and a filterbased (f-FIDA) system [16]. s-FIDA has 16 radial channels, and measures the whole spectrum in the  $D_{\alpha}$  range. It provides data with spatial and spectral resolution of 5 cm and 10 keV, and temporal resolution of 10 ms. f-FIDA has 3 radial channels at R = 100, 120 and 140 cm. It integrates the  $D_{\alpha}$  light over a convenient spectral range through a bandpass filter. This improves the achievable temporal resolution up to 20  $\mu$ s (limited by statistical photon noise), at the expense of the energy resolution. Note that for most NSTX discharges the data from R = 140 cm is dominated by direct beam-emission light, and is therefore not representative of the behavior of confined fast ions. Each *channel* of the two systems is composed by two paired views spaced toroidally by  $30^{\circ}$ , intercepting/missing the neutral beam at the same radial location. The net FIDA signal results from the difference between these *active* and *passive* views [16][17]. This scheme allows one to remove the background signal not associated with fast ions, e.g. from cold  $D_{\alpha}$ , bremsstrahlung and impurity emission. More details on the NSTX FIDA systems can be found in Ref. [16]. For practical purposes, a least-square fit with a known function is used in the following to derive properties of the fast-ion density profile from s-FIDA, such as its maximum and full-width at half maximum (FWHM). The errors in the above mentioned quantities are also estimated from the fit. Empirically, it has been found that a modified gaussian is a suitable choice as fitting function, with an additional parameter accounting for the square-



FIG. 3: (a-b) Density and electron temperature profiles. Symbols refer to the measurement locations of a multi-channel reflectometer. (c) Magnetic flux surfaces. (d) NB power waveform.

ness of the profile. For the data presented hereafter, the innermost channels ( $R \leq 95$  cm) are usually excluded from the fit because of the poor signal-to-noise ratio, determined by the strong attenuation of the injected beam and the low injected NB power.

In addition to the FIDA systems, information on the fast-ion behavior is gathered from the volume averaged neutron rate,  $R_n$ , which is mostly determined on NSTX by beam-plasma reactions.  $R_n$  depends strongly on the density of high-energy ( $\geq 60$  keV), centrally confined fast ions, due to the energy dependence of the fusion cross-section and to the higher density of target ions in the plasma core. Other fast-ion diagnostics available on NSTX include neutral particle analyzers (NPA) [18][19][20][21] and a scintillator-based energetic ion loss probe (sFLIP) [22]. Plasma profiles are measured by multipoint Thomson scattering [23] and charge-exchange recombination spectroscopy systems. Plasma density fluctuations are measured through a 5-channel reflectometer [24]. Magnetic field fluctuations are measured by Mirnov coils, located close to the vacuum vessel wall at the lowfield side. A motional-Stark-effect (MSE) diagnostic [25], requiring the injection of NB source A at 90 kV, is also available to document the evolution of the safety factor profile, q(R).

#### III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A suitable scenario has been achieved on NSTX to study TAEs and TAE avalanches. The target is a helium



FIG. 4: (a) Spectrogram of magnetic fluctuations showing the onset of TAE activity after t = 220 ms, followed by an avalanche at  $t \approx 282$  ms, as detailed in the inset. (b) Time traces of NB power (black) and neutron rate (red).

L-mode plasma with peak density  $n \ 4 \times 10^{19} \ \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ , and  $T_i \approx T_e \leq 1.3$  keV (Fig. 3-a,b). The toroidal field and Alfvén velocity are 0.45 T and  $1.2 \times 10^6$  m/s on axis. The magnetic configuration has a lower single null point, with elongation 2.1 and triangularity 0.46 (Fig. 3-c). Having a higher  $L \rightarrow H$  transition threshold, helium plasmas help maintain L-mode discharge conditions. This is preferred because of the availability of reflectometer data over a good portion of the minor radius (Fig. 3-a), unlike for H-mode plasmas. Auxiliary heating is provided by  $1.5 \rightarrow 2.7$  MW of NB power (Fig. 3-d). The NB power waveform has source A (used for q-profile measurements) active before and after the time range of interest, which spans from t = 200 ms to t = 300 ms. During this time, the NB power delivered by sources B and C can be varied on a shot-to-shot basis. For the configuration presented herein, quasi-stationary TAE modes are destabilized when the power exceeds  $\approx 2.3$  MW. Toroidal mode numbers  $n \leq 6$  are observed in the frequency range  $50 \rightarrow 200 \text{ kHz}$  (Fig. 4-a). Slightly above the TAE threshold, i.e. for  $P_{NB} \ge 2.5$  MW, TAE avalanches eventually occur. This is detailed in the inset of Fig. 4-a, where the avalanche appears as a frequency down-chirp of 50 kHz over 1 ms which involves all the observed modes. At this time  $q \approx 1.5$  on axis, with a minimum value of 1.4 at  $R \approx 125$  cm. Typical toroidal rotation frequencies are 30 kHz at the magnetic axis, reduced to  $\lesssim$  10 kHz at R = 125 cm.

### A. Dynamics of TAE modes

The scenario illustrated in Fig. 4 suggests that avalanches are the results of an increase in the drive for the underlying TAE modes. It is worth noting that nonlinear theories on weakly turbulent systems are able to mimic such behavior [5]. For example, the amplitude of



FIG. 5: (a) Amplitude of the n = 2, 4, 6 modes from Mirnov coils. The insets show a zoomed view at the turn-on of the second NB source and right before the avalanche. (b) envelope of the amplitude over 2.5 ms time windows. (c) relative frequency variation.

a TAE mode driven unstable by a strong source (such as NB injection) is expected to saturate to different levels, depending on both the source and the damping terms, which may evolve in time. Different regimes are possible, including cases characterized by repetitive amplitude bursts and frequency chirps, as observed experimentally. In particular, a chirping frequency may indicate resonance overlap in phase space, consistent with the general picture of multiple avalanching modes. In this framework, the quest for a general threshold condition leading to avalanches should then focus on the dynamics of the precursors, rather than on the avalanche itself. Figure 5-a shows the evolution of the deviation of the mode amplitude,  $\sigma_A$ , from its mean value calculated over a moving time window of width 2.5 ms, for three modes with n = 2, 4, 6.  $\sigma_A$  is a measure of the bursty behavior of the mode. As can be seen, the amplitudes fluctuate with increasingly large variations in time after the second NB source is switched on at t = 240 ms. Similarly, the frequency evolution is shown in Fig. 5-c in terms of normalized variation,  $\sigma_f/f$ , to quantify the chirping nature of the modes. In this case, large fluctuations are already observed 20 ms before the avalanche. The details of the amplitude evolution for the n = 2 mode are shown in Fig. 6. Two distinct phases are identified. As source B is turned on, the amplitude increases exponentially with an effective growth rate  $\gamma \approx 0.5 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . (As a comparison, the slowing-down time for beam ions is  $\approx 30$  ms). The frequency remains constant. Then, large fluctua-



FIG. 6: Detail of the amplitude evolution for the n = 2 mode (see Fig. 5), showing the two intervals corresponding to exponential and linear growth of the maximum amplitude. Thick, dashed lines are the result of a least-square fit of the maximum amplitude calculated over 2.5 ms time windows.



FIG. 7: Measured evolution of the fast-ion profile. The decrease after t=280 ms, following a TAE avalanche, is detailed in the inset.

tions are observed in both amplitude and frequency. The maximum of amplitude fluctuations continues to increase roughly linearly in time, on a time-scale comparable with the slowing-down time. As discussed later in this paper, no quantitative information on a threshold in drive and damping terms can be established on a solid basis until the actual relationship between mode structure and signal on magnetic probes is established. A more complete characterization of the dynamics of the TAE avalanche precursors remains therefore an open issue.

#### B. Fast-ion dynamics

After an avalanche is triggered, a sudden drop in the neutron rate occurs (Fig. 4-b). This correlates with a depletion of the fast-ion profile measured by s-FIDA, as illustrated in Fig. 7. According to the spectra measured by s-FIDA (Fig. 8-a), the observed decrease in fast-ion density involves a broad energy range above  $\approx 15$  keV.



FIG. 8: (a) Energy spectrum measured by s-FIDA at R = 115 cm, before and after a TAE avalanche. (b) Energy spectrum of lost fast ions vs. time, measured by the ssNPA. Note the increased losses around t = 282 ms.

However, because FIDA spectra are the result of a convolution and smearing in phase-space (cf. Eq. 1 and Fig. 2), their interpretation is not straightforward. Data from FIDA are complemented by measurements from a solid state NPA diagnostic, characterized by a good spectral resolution. The energy spectrum from the central channel aimed at tangency radius of 100 cm is shown in Fig. 8-b. An increase in lost fast-ion signal up to the injection energy is clearly observed after t = 280 ms. Conversely, the energy and pitch of lost fast ions observed by the sFLIP diagnostic for this discharge extend over a narrow range about the injection energy, but with highly perpendicular velocity.

The relationship between the fractional drop in the volume-averaged neutron rate and the local fast-ion density following an avalanche is shown in Fig. 9-a for a series of similar shots. The fractional loss from s-FIDA is calculated from the integral of  $N_f$  along the radius. Overall, a good agreement between the two quantities is observed, confirming the consistency of FIDA and neutron rate data. Figure 9-b illustrates the change in the radial gradient of  $N_f$ , calculated at the position of steepest gradient at the low-field side. The gradient is actually calculated from a fit of the measured profile, as explained in Sec. II. This procedure is justified if the measured profile is smooth, as for the cases considered herein (cf. Fig. 7), especially on the low-field side portion of  $N_f(R)$ . The difference between the gradient after and before an avalanche indicates that it relaxes to smaller values. In addition, the variation of the *position* of the steepest gradient is shown in Fig. 9-c. After an avalanche event, an outward shift of up to 5 cm is observed. Both effects, relaxation of the profile and outward shift of the steepest gradient location, are enhanced for larger losses.



FIG. 9: (a) Comparison between the fractional drop in neutron rate and fast-ion density  $(\Delta R_n/R_n \text{ and } \Delta N_f/N_f)$  after TAE avalanches. (b) Fractional losses vs. variation in the maximum gradient (absolute value) at the low-field side after an avalanche. (c) Fractional losses vs. variation in the position of the steepest gradient after an avalanche, showing an outward excursion associated with higher losses.

Moreover, it is observed that the radial gradient of  $N_f$ steepens up in time before an avalanche occur. We conclude that the experimental results are consistent with an overall relaxation of the fast-ion profile as a result of the avalanche, which therefore leads to a decrease of the drive for the TAE precursors from which it originates. Because the results illustrated so far are obtained with 10 ms temporal resolution, they are indicative of the overall effects of avalanches on fast ions. Information about the dynamics on time scales  $\leq 100 \ \mu s$  is gathered from the f-FIDA system, featuring a much higher temporal resolution than s-FIDA. An example is shown in Fig. 10, where the two channels at R = 100 cm and R = 120cm are compared to the signal from magnetics coils and from the sFLIP probe. Interestingly, the drop in the f-FIDA traces is delayed with respect to the neutrons by about 0.4 ms and 0.9 ms for the channels at R = 120 cm and R = 100 cm, respectively. This may be indicative of a complex dynamics of  $N_f$  on short time scales, with the outboard profile responding earlier than the inboard one to the perturbation. In fact, as the frequency of the dominant n = 2 mode decreases to  $\approx 25$  kHz, viz. the Nyquist frequency of the f-FIDA system, fluctuations become visible on the f-FIDA signal looking at R = 120 cm (Fig. 10-a). The high correlation between signals from FIDA and Mirnov coils is shown in Fig. 10-b. More-



FIG. 10: (a-b) Signals from Mirnov coils, measuring frequency fluctuations (f < 200 kHz), and the two f-FIDA channels (R = 100, 120 cm) during an avalanche. (c) Detail of the Mirnov and f-FIDA (R = 120 cm) signals during the last phase of an avalanche. (c) Total signals from the sFLIP probe.

over, a similar modulation is detected on the total sFLIP signal (Fig. 10-c), proportional to fast-ion losses at the edge integrated over energy and pitch. Since the total sFLIP signal is sampled at 5 MHz, fluctuations associated with the other modes n > 2 at higher frequency are also detected. The latter observations establish a correlation between *internal* behavior of the fast-ion population interacting with the instability and the corresponding *external* losses.

As a further step, the relationship between losses and TAE activity is investigated. Figure 11 illustrates the dependence of fractional losses upon amplitude of the modes, A, as calculated from Mirnov coils. A is obtained by integrating the Mirnov signal over a 0.6 ms time window around the time of maximum activity. A clear trend is observed, with higher losses corresponding to larger signals. However, the comparison between A calculated from Mirnov coils and from reflectometer data over multiple shots does not show a clear correspondence. The question about how to interpret the results in Fig. 11, is therefore still open. Two possible explanations are most likely. First, Mirnov coils sample A at the edge of the plasma, contrary to the reflectometer. Their response depends on the actual mode amplitude and on their spatial structure, with perturbations closer to the edge resulting in a larger signal. Second, the mode structure may evolve during an avalanche, making the response of Mirnov coils not necessarily proportional to that of the reflectometer



FIG. 11: Fractional neutron rate drop vs. amplitude of TAE modes during an avalanche as measured by Mirnov coils at the plasma edge.

channels over time. Both these issues reveal the necessity of knowing more in detail the mode structure, and in general to have a reliable model for the observed features, as discussed in the next Section.

# IV. MODELING OF FAST-ION LOSSES BY TAE AVALANCHES

In this section the possibility of modeling the effects of the interaction between TAEs and fast ions is explored, with focus on the total loss following an avalanche. Fastion losses are modeled in three steps [26]. First, the equilibrium properties and the linear MHD stability are calculated for the time at which the avalanche occur through the TRANSP and NOVA-K codes [27][28]. Second, a selection is performed among all the possible unstable eigenmodes found by NOVA-k by comparing the mode structure measured by the multi-channel reflectometer system with a synthetic output calculated from the simulation. Third, the selected eigenmodes are plugged in the ORBIT code [29] to calculate the expected fast-ion losses. To mimic the observed TAE behavior during an avalanche, the mode amplitude (frequency) can be modeled with a linear increase (decrease) as a function of time in ORBIT. The comparison between calculated and simulated eigenmodes is shown in Fig. 12 for the discharge illustrated in Fig. 7. In general, most of the unstable roots from NOVA-k have a global character, extending from the core out to the plasma edge. For the n = 3 mode a good match is found for a specific eigenmode, whose frequency is compatible with the observed one, once the possible correction for the Doppler shift from plasma rotation is accounted for. However, no good solutions can be found for the dominant n = 2 mode, suggesting that a linear stability analysis can not capture the actual mode structure. In this case the input eigenmodes to run the ORBIT code and calculate the total losses can not be identified. The reason for the discrepancy between measurements and model must be identified to proceed with the comparison with theory. From previous analyses, a successful identification of the eigenmodes has been obtained for avalanches accompanied by fast-ion losses 15%



FIG. 12: Comparison between the displacement,  $\xi$ , measured by the reflectometer (symbols) and simulated by NOVA-k (solid lines) for the n = 3 (left) and n = 2 modes (right).

[26]. This may be explained by assuming that most of the losses during an avalanche are caused by nonlinear processes, and therefore the amount of losses is somewhat indicative of the degree of nonlinearity. In that case, a 40% loss in fast-ion population would imply that nonlinearities are too strong to be convincingly recovered through linear analysis. In particular, the procedure utilized herein does not treat self-consistently the possible evolution of plasma parameters, mode structure and fastion distribution function during an avalanche. Numerical codes that can account for the three-dimensional, nonlinear dynamics with a self-consistent approach, such as the M3D code [30], may be more appropriate to acquire better predictive capability on fast-ion transport caused by strongly nonlinear events.

## V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To summarize the results presented in this paper, it has been shown that TAE avalanches represent an efficient mechanism for fast-ion loss. Up to 40% of the fastion population can be expelled from the confined plasma after a single avalanche. According to theory and experimental results, a repetitive cycle of avalanches may be established for otherwise stationary plasma conditions. Therefore, the cumulative impact of TAE avalanches on the global dynamics of a future reactor, such as ITER, might represent a serious concern.

A number of interlaced issues and open questions arise from the present work; the most urgent are

- 1. Are present-day experimental, theoretical and numerical tools good enough to understand and model the observed behavior of TAE modes?
- 2. Are we able to predict what the TAE behavior will be in future devices, such as ITER, and how we can mitigate (or, possibly, prevent) its negative impact for the performance of a fusion reactor?

Answers to point no. 1 are increasingly provided by experiments, developing improved tools to investigate fastion dynamics. For example, a coverage of the whole plasma region with different fast-ion diagnostics allows one to relate the behavior of confined fast particles with losses and underlying instabilities. At the same time, theory and simulations have shown dramatic improvements in the past years toward a global, nonlinear treatment of the plasma dynamics. The experimental results presented in this paper provide a challenging benchmark to test the capability of numerical simulations, following guidelines which are becoming widely accepted [31]. Among the others, features such as the strong modification of the fast-ion profile following an avalanche, hence of the drive for TAEs, should be reproduced by numerical codes. Also, simulations may provide details on the fast-ion evolution on short time-scales to be compared

- [1] W. W. Heidbrink, Phys. Plasmas 15, 055501 (2008).
- [2] R. B. White, E. D. Fredrickson, D. S. Darrow, M. Zarnstorff, R. Wilson, S. Zweben, K. Hill, Y. Chen, and G. Fu, Phys. Plasmas 2, 2871 (1995).
- [3] A. Fasoli, C. Gormezano, H. L. Berk, B. Breizman, S. Briguglio, D. S. Darrow, N. Gorelenkov, W. W. Heidbrink, A. Jaun, S. V. Konovalov, et al., Nucl. Fusion 47, S264 (2007).
- [4] B. V. Chirikov, Phys. Rep. 52, 263 (1979).
- [5] H. L. Berk, B. N. Breizman, J. Fitzpatrick, M. S. Pekker, H. V. Wong, and K. L. Wong, Phys. Plasmas 3, 1827 (1996).
- [6] E. D. Fredrickson, C. Z. Cheng, D. Darrow, G. Fu, N. N. Gorelenkov, G. Kramer, S. S. Medley, J. Menard, and A. L. Roquemore, Phys. Plasmas 10, 2852 (2003).
- [7] M. Ono, S. Kaye, Y.-K. Peng, G. Barnes, W. Blanchard, M. Carter, J. Chrzanowski, L. Dudek, R. Ewig, D. Gates, et al., Nucl. Fusion 40, 557 (2000).
- [8] S. S. Medley, N. N. Gorelenkov, R. Andre, R. E. Bell, D. S. Darrow, E. D. Fredrickson, S. M. Kaye, B. P. LeBlanc, A. L. Roquemore, and NSTX team, Nucl. Fusion 44, 1158 (2004).
- [9] E. D. Fredrickson, R. E. Bell, D. Darrow, G. Fu, N. N. Gorelenkov, B. P. LeBlanc, S. S. Medley, J. Menard, H. Park, and A. L. Roquemore, Phys. Plasmas 13, 056109 (2006).
- [10] N. N. Gorelenkov, H. L. Berk, and E. D. F. S. E. Sharapov, Phys. Letters A 70, 370/1 (2007).
- [11] N. N. Gorelenkov, H. L. Berk, N. A. Crocker, E. D. Fredrickson, S. M. Kaye, S. Kubota, H. Park, W. Peebles, S. A. Sabbagh, and S. E. Sharapov, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 49, B371 (2007).
- [12] N. N. Gorelenkov, C. Z. Cheng, E. D. Fredrickson, E. Belova, D. Gates, S. M. Kaye, G. J. Kramer, R. Nazikian, and R. White, Nucl. Fusion 42, 977 (2002).
- [13] N. N. Gorelenkov, E. D. Fredrickson, E. Belova, C. Z. Cheng, D. Gates, S. M. Kaye, and R. White, Nucl. Fusion 43, 228 (2003).
- [14] W. W. Heidbrink, K. H. Burrell, Y. Luo, N. A. Pablant, and E. Ruskov, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 46, 1855 (2004).

with experimental data, e.g. from the f-FIDA system and from the sFLIP probe.

Answers to point no. 2 are much more vague, and suggest that a stronger effort in integrating experiments and theory is still needed to reach the required predictive capability.

#### Acknowledgments

The support of PPPL and of the NSTX team is gratefully acknowledged. This work is supported by US-DOE grant DE-FG02-06ER54867 and by US-DOE contracts DE-AC02-76CH03073 and DE-FG02-99ER54527.

- [15] W. W. Heidbrink, Y. Luo, K. H. Burrell, R. W. Harvey, R. I. Pinsker, and E. Ruskov, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 49, 1457 (2007).
- [16] M. Podestà, W. W. Heidbrink, R. E. Bell, and R. Feder, Rev. Sci. Instr. (in press).
- [17] W. W. Heidbrink, R. E. Bell, Y. Luo, and W. Solomon, Rev. Sci. Instr. 77, 10F120 (2006).
- [18] K. Shinohara, D. S. Darrow, A. L. Roquemore, S. S. Medley, and F. E. Cecil, Rev. Sci. Instr. **75**, 3640 (2004).
- [19] D. Liu, W. W. Heidbrink, D. S. Darrow, A. L. Roquemore, S. S. Medley, and K. Shinohara, Rev. Sci. Instr. 77, 10F113 (2006).
- [20] S. S. Medley and A. L. Roquemore, Rev. Sci. Instr. 75, 3625 (2004).
- [21] S. S. Medley, A. J. H. Donné, R. Kaita, A. I. Kislykov, M. P. Petrov, and A. L. Roquemore, Rev. Sci. Instr. 79, 011101 (2008).
- [22] D. S. Darrow, Rev. Sci. Instr. 79, 023502 (2008).
- [23] B. P. LeBlanc, R. E. Bell, D. W. Johnson, D. E. Hoffman, D. C. Long, and R. W. Palladino, Rev. Sci. Instr. 74, 1659 (2002).
- [24] S. Kubota, W. A. Peebles, X. V. Nguyen, N. A. Crocker, and A. L. Roquemore, Rev. Sci. Instr. 77, 10E926 (2006).
- [25] F. M. Levington, R. J. Fonck, G. M. Gammel, R. Kaity, H. W. Kugel, E. T. Powell, and D. W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2060 (1989).
- [26] E. D. Fredrickson, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 53, 189 (2008).
- [27] R. V. Budny, Nucl. Fusion **34**, 1247 (1994).
- [28] C. Z. Cheng, Phys. Rep. 211 1 (1992).
- [29] R. B. White and M. S. Chance, Phys. Fluids 27, 2455 (1984).
- [30] W. Park, E. V. Belova, G. Y. Fu, X. Z. Tang, H. R. Strauss, and L. E. Sugiyama, Phys. Plasmas 6, 1796 (1999).
- [31] P. W. Terry, M. Greenwald, J.-N. Leboeuf, G. R. Mc-Kee, D. Mikkelsen, W. N. Nevins, D. E. Newman, D. P. Stotler, Task Group on Verification and Validation, U.S. Burning Plasma Organization, et al., Phys. Plasmas 15, 062503 (2008).