


Supported by



Office of  
Science



# Boundary Physics Plan for NSTX


**Rajesh Maingi\***  
For the NSTX Team

\*Oak Ridge National Laboratory

**Tokamak Planning Workshop**  
**PSFC, MIT**

Sept 17-19, 2007

College W&M  
Colorado Sch Mines  
Columbia U  
Comp-X  
General Atomics  
INEL  
Johns Hopkins U  
LANL  
LLNL  
Lodestar  
MIT  
Nova Photonics  
New York U  
Old Dominion U  
ORNL  
PPPL  
PSI  
Princeton U  
SNL  
Think Tank, Inc.  
UC Davis  
UC Irvine  
UCLA  
UCSD  
U Colorado  
U Maryland  
U Rochester  
U Washington  
U Wisconsin



Culham Sci Ctr  
U St. Andrews  
York U  
Chubu U  
Fukui U  
Hiroshima U  
Hyogo U  
Kyoto U  
Kyushu U  
Kyushu Tokai U  
NIFS  
Niigata U  
U Tokyo  
JAERI  
Hebrew U  
Ioffe Inst  
RRC Kurchatov Inst  
TRINITI  
KBSI  
KAIST  
ENEA, Frascati  
CEA, Cadarache  
IPP, Jülich  
IPP, Garching  
ASCR, Czech Rep  
U Quebec

## *Increased emphasis on boundary physics in NSTX in FY09-FY13 for NHTX and ST-CTF design, and ITER R & D contributions*

---




- **Lithium as a divertor plasma-facing component for integrated power and particle control solution**
  - Density and impurity control, heat flux handling
  - Effect on plasma performance
- SOL and divertor physics
- Pedestal and ELM Physics

## Lithium program in NSTX proceeding in stages




- Li pellets : FY 2005-
  - Li evaporator (LiTER): FY 2006-
  - Li powder injection: FY 2007-
  - Second Li evaporator: FY 2008-
  - Liquid Li divertor (LLD): FY 2009-
  - Divertor upgrade: FY 2012-
- Builds on Li success in CDX-U and TFTR
- Complements (and relies on) LTX mission of evaluating Li as the primary PFC






# L-mode density reduced by 50% and H-mode density reduced by 15% with evaporated Lithium



**L-mode**




**H-mode**



# Plasma performance improved modestly in NSTX with lithium deposition




- Large  $n_e$  reduction and  $\tau_E$  increase in CDX-U and TFTR
- Signs of improved  $\tau_E$  and ELM control in NSTX



# Plasma performance improved modestly in NSTX with lithium deposition



- Large  $n_e$  reduction and  $\tau_E$  increase in CDX-U and TFTR
- Signs of improved  $\tau_E$  and ELM control in NSTX




- Complements Mo/carbon PFC work at C-MOD/DIII-D
  - Substantial expertise in Li handling and use at PPPL

# Liquid lithium divertor module goal is to provide density control in both low and high triangularity shapes




- Goals
  - Low  $\delta$  : reduce  $n_e$  by 50%
  - High  $\delta$  : reduce  $n_e$  by 25%
- Features
  - 15 cm wide
  - 5 cm outboard of CHI gap
  - Desired  $T_{\text{surface}} \sim 300\text{-}400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$
- Installation  $\sim$  summer 2008
- Experiments in 2009




# Density reduction will depend on proximity of outer strike point to LLD module

Low  $\delta$  : reduce  $n_e$  by 50%



High  $\delta$  : reduce  $n_e$  by 25%



- Divertor upgrade: FY 2012-
  - Second or upgraded LLD, or a cryopump
  - Long pulse PFC upgrade

# Lithium program plan



## 2008-2009

- Install and characterize Liquid Lithium divertor (LLD) module
- Perform hydrogen retention and pumping efficiency studies
- Optimize efficiency of gas injector fueling

*New tools: LLD + diagnostics, Penning gauge near pumps, upgraded supersonic gas injector, programmable center stack gas injector*

## 2010-2011

- LLD performance with higher power input, long pulse
- Utilize core fueling (pellets, compact toroids)

*New tools: new divertor diagnostics, 2<sup>nd</sup> NBI, D<sub>2</sub> pellet injector, CT injector*

## 2012-2013

- Optimize divertor pumping and divertor PFC materials for long pulse
- Advanced core fueling (Compact toroids)

*New tools: New/additional LLD module or cryopump, new PFCs*

# Outline





- Lithium as a divertor plasma-facing component
- **SOL and divertor physics for prediction of plasma-wall interaction footprint with theory-based cross-field transport**
  - **Edge transport and turbulence  $\Leftrightarrow$  SOL width**
  - **Divertor heat and particle flux optimization**
- Pedestal and ELM Physics

# Edge T & T studies will focus on connection between measured turbulence characteristics and SOL widths



- Motivated by the high divertor and first-wall heat loads in NHTX, ST-CTF, and ITER
  - Peak heat flux in NSTX  $\geq 10 \text{ MW/m}^2$  (NHTX  $q_{\text{peak}} \geq 40 \text{ MW/m}^2$ )
- Dependence of heat flux width ( $\lambda_q^{\text{SOL,mid}}$ ) not well understood in tokamaks
  - $\lambda_q^{\text{mid}}$  larger in NSTX than high aspect ratio tokamak scalings
  - NSTX  $\lambda_q^{\text{mid}}$  strong  $I_p$  dependence
- Turbulence modeling already connecting to analytic theory of blob formation, and more detailed numerical modeling



# Edge T & T Physics Plan



## 2008-2010

- Comparison of midplane and divertor turbulence characteristics with models
- Scaling of midplane  $\lambda_n$ ,  $\lambda_T$ ,  $\lambda_\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda_q$  with major parameters
  - Comparison with SOL width models
  - Comparison with turbulence characteristics
- Edge biasing with local electrodes and probes for SOL width control

*New tools: fast IR camera*

## 2011-2013



- SOL turbulence and widths with higher input power
- Divertor turbulence with X-point probe
- Upgraded biasing capability, if warranted

*New tools: 2<sup>nd</sup> NBI, new divertor diagnostics, X-point probe*

# Divertor physics and detachment physics program needed for NHTX and ST-CTF design



- ST effects: low  $l_{\parallel}$ , small R, large outboard side surface area make outer detachment difficult
  - Power management through flux expansion and detachment may be required for heat dissipation in high power ST's
  - ST effects above allow broader test of detachment physics in 2-D codes
- Heat flux management through plasma shaping and detachment shows promise in NSTX



# Divertor and detachment Physics Plan



---

## 2008-2009

- Lower divertor power accountability and transient loading studies
- Improved detachment control for long pulse discharges
- MARFE characterization studies
- Divertor performance dependence on geometry

*New tools: Fast IR camera, divertor bolometer*

## 2010-2011

- Detachment and heat flux mitigation with higher input power and reduced density
- Private flux region physics studies
- Development of MARFE dynamic models

*New tools: X-point probe, divertor imaging spectrometer, 2<sup>nd</sup> NBI*

## 2012-2013

- 2-D divertor physics: parallel vs. perp. transport
- Closed divertor?

*New tools: Divertor Thomson, 2-D divertor spectroscopy, new divertor hardware*

# Outline




- Lithium as a divertor plasma-facing component
- SOL and divertor physics
- **Pedestal and ELM Physics toward pedestal width prediction and improved understanding of ELM suppression with applied 3-D fields**
  - **Characterization and theory comparison at low  $R/a$**
  - **Active control with resonant magnetic perturbations**

# ELM and Pedestal studies motivated partly by occurrence of giant ELMS at low collisionality



- Many ELM types observed in NSTX, including promising small ELM regime
  - Do small ELMs in different devices have common physics?
- Pedestal studies to reveal R/a dependence of gradients and widths
  - Low R/a allows broader test of stability models used to predict max. edge P' limit for ITER
  - Does the low R/a enable improved edge stability?
- Certain pedestal models predict a  $B_t$  and R/a dependence of  $T_{ped}$ 
  - NSTX  $T_{ped} \sim 200\text{-}300$  eV
  - How to increase  $T_{ped}$ ?




# ELM and Pedestal Physics plan: 2008-2009



## 2008-2009

- Assess edge stability of different ELM types and impact of aspect ratio
- Compare small ELM regimes with other devices
- Identify shape dependencies of ELM regimes
- Investigate effect of Lithium on ELMs
- Assess effects of RMP on edge stability
- Determine relation of pedestal and core stored energy
- Measure dependence of pedestal width on parameters
- Identify physics of Enhanced Pedestal H-modes e.g. orbit squeezing physics
- Compare pedestal parameters with CPES and ESL codes



# ELM and Pedestal Physics plan: 2010-2013



## 2010-11

- RMP effects of heat flux spreading
- Develop quasi-steady small ELM scenarios with high input power
- Investigate role of SOL current in ELM stability
- Extend Enhanced Pedestal H-modes


*New tools: Upgraded Edge rotation diagnostic, edge SXR, five extra edge Thomson channels*

## 2012-2013

- High m,n RMP impact on ELMs and heat flux
- Triggering of localized transport barriers with localized rotation control by RMP

*New tools: Internal Non-axisymmetric Control Coils (NCC)*

### Proposed Non-axisymmetric Control Coil (NCC)



# Boundary physics program time line FY09-FY13

