

Supported by



NTV and kinetic MHD research using POCA

Kimin Kim

J.-K. Park, A.H. Boozer, N.C. Logan, Z. Wang, J.E. Menard

Collaborators:

R.B. White, S. Ku, G.J. Kramer, S.P. Gerhardt S. Ethier, J.-W. Ahn, K.H. Burrell, A.M. Garofalo

Joint Meeting for NCC October 1, 2013

Outline

- Validation of NTV physics with particle simulation
- Prediction of magnetic braking in NSTX-U
- Possible application to kinetic potential energy
- Future work

NTV physics has been validated using a guiding-center particle simulation with POCA





Various braking profiles can be achieved in NSTX-U

- POCA predicts variability of braking profiles by Midplane and NCC
 - (Full and/or partial) NCC can provide various braking profiles by $n=1 \sim 6$, depending on phases: Consistent to δB profiles
 - Polynomial degree for fitting δB should be carefully selected in high n (=4,6) cases for better radial resolution in NTV calculations
 - Change target equilibrium and kinetic profiles



$\delta \textbf{W}_{\textbf{K}}$ can be calculated using POCA in NSTX-U

• Perturbed magnetic field is given as

$$\delta B_{mn}(\psi_n) = \sum_m a_{mn}(\psi_n)\cos(m\vartheta - n\varphi) + b_{mn}(\psi_n)\sin(m\vartheta - n\varphi)$$

• POCA calculates NTV by

$$T_{\varphi} = \left\langle \frac{\delta P}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi} \right\rangle \longrightarrow T_{\varphi} = \sum_{m} n \left\langle \frac{\delta P}{B} \left[a_{mn} \sin(m\vartheta - n\varphi) - b_{mn} \cos(m\vartheta - n\varphi) \right] \right\rangle$$

• Kinetic potential energy drives NTV force

$$T_{\varphi} = 2in\delta W_{K}$$
 [J.-K. Park, POP (2011)]

• δW_{K} calculation with particle simulation is under test

$$\delta W_{K} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} \left\langle \frac{\delta P}{B} \left[a_{mn} \cos(m\vartheta - n\varphi) + b_{mn} \sin(m\vartheta - n\varphi) \right] \right\rangle$$



Future Work

- Particle simulation will be useful to extensively study NTV transport in NSTX-U
 - Predict broad/steep braking profiles depending on the toroidal mode and coil phase
 - Require detailed study on high toroidal mode for local braking
 - Change target equilibrium and kinetic profiles
- Kinetic potential energy calculation will be tested
 - Compare δW_{K} with MARS-K, MISK, IPEC-PENT, etc.
 - Physics verification and validation, application to NSTX-U
- Field line tracing with vacuum and ideal plasma response is available



Back up



POCA solves Fokker-Planck equation with guiding-center orbit equations

- Guiding-center motion is described by Hamiltonian equations of motion $\dot{\theta} = -\frac{2\pi}{q} \frac{1}{g+ii} \left\{ \frac{q^2 B^2}{m} \rho_{\parallel} \left[\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial g(\psi)}{\partial \psi} - \iota(\psi) \right] - g(\psi) \left[\left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \psi} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right] \right\} \qquad [M. Sasinowski and A.H. Boozer, POP (1997)]$ $\dot{\phi} = -\frac{2\pi}{q} \frac{1}{g+ii} \left\{ i(\psi) \left[\left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \psi} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right] - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \frac{q^2 B^2}{m} \rho_{\parallel} \right\}$ $\psi = -\frac{2\pi}{q} \frac{1}{g+ii} \left[g(\psi) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - i(\psi) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - i(\psi) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - i(\psi) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \phi} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \phi} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \phi} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{q^2 \rho_{\parallel}^2 B^2}{m} + \mu B \right) \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + q \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right\} - \left(\rho_{\parallel} \frac{\partial i(\psi)}{\partial \psi} + 1 \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \psi} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{$
- δf is calculated from Fokker-Planck equation - Fokker-Planck equation is written as $\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{x}} + \frac{\vec{F}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{v}} = C(f), \quad f = f_M \exp(\hat{f}) \approx f_M (1 + \hat{f}) \longrightarrow \frac{d \ln f_M}{dt} + \frac{d\hat{f}}{dt} = C_m(f) \equiv \frac{C(f)}{f}$ - Fokker-Planck equation is reduced to $\frac{d\hat{f}}{dt} - C_m(f) = -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla \psi \frac{\partial \ln f_M}{\partial \psi} - \vec{F} \cdot \frac{\partial \ln f_M}{\partial \vec{v}}$ - Using local Maxwellian, δf can be obtained from

$$f_{M} = \frac{N}{\left(\sqrt{\pi}v_{t}\right)^{3}} \exp\left(-\frac{U - e\Phi}{T}\right) \longrightarrow \Delta \hat{f} = -\left[\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial n}{\partial\psi} + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{E}{T}\right)\frac{1}{T}\frac{\partial T}{\partial\psi}\right]\Delta\psi - \frac{e}{T}\frac{d\Phi}{d\psi}\Delta\psi + 2v\frac{u}{v}\lambda\Delta t$$

Closed orbits by BH resonances can be found in NSTX

- Bounce-harmonic resonance almost always exist in perturbed tokamaks
 - BH resonance always exist in the finite ExB due to Maxwellian energy distribution, and on every surface due to multi-harmonic magnetic perturbations
 - Modified closed orbits, theoretically predicted and numerically reproduced in the simple configuration, can be also found in the complicated NSTX configuration
 - Identical features in orbit-closing by resonance



POCA is being actively applied to experimental analysis

- Perturbed magnetic field spectrum provided by IPEC
 - Original IPEC output contains nonphysical peaks at the rational surfaces
 - Fitting technique (i.e. Chebyshev polynomials) will be used in POCA as

$$\delta B_{mn}(\psi_n) = \sum_m a_{mn}(\psi_n) \cos(m\theta - n\phi) + b_{mn}(\psi_n) \sin(m\theta - n\phi) \quad \leftarrow IPEC$$

$$\delta B_{mn}(\psi_n) = \sum_m \left[\sum_j^{n_c} a_j \cos(j\cos^{-1}(x)) \cos(m\theta - n\phi) + b_j \cos(j\cos^{-1}(x)) \sin(m\theta - n\phi)\right] \rightarrow POCA$$

– Fitting follows overall features of IPEC δB , and effectively smoothes the peaks



Consistent NTV profile and total NTV was obtained in DIII-D n=3 magnetic braking

• Application of POCA to DIII-D n=3 magnetic braking (in QH mode experiments) using IPEC δB gives a consistent NTV



Prediction of NTV in NSTX can be improved with POCA

Bounce-harmonic resonances can dominate NTV transport



NCC Joint Meeting – NTV (Kimin Kim)

Semi-analytic numerical routines in IPEC have been compared to POCA

- IPEC previously used a reduced large-aspect-ratio NTV formulation with approximated collision operator : IPEC-RLAR
- Now new formulation and implementation for NTV with general geometry have been completed : IPEC-PENT
- Computational cost : IPEC-RLAR < IPEC-PENT < POCA
- Accuracy : POCA > IPEC-PENT > IPEC-RLAR (unacceptable for SBP)



[Logan, To be submitted to POP (2013)]

Field Line Tracing Simulations for NCC



- POCA-FLT supports capability of NCC to produce various 3D field characteristics
 - POCA-FLT predicts modifications of vacuum stochastic layers for n=1~6
 - NCC can provide resonant or lessresonant fields depending on the phase but similar NTV braking profile
 - Higher toroidal mode supplies stronger field splitting on the target



13

General Perturbed Equilibrium Code (GPEC) is on progress

- Perturbed equilibrium codes are efficient to study 3D field physics in tokamaks with non-axisymmetric perturbations
 - IPEC solves ideal force balance with ideal constraints
 - GPEC will solve non-ideal force balance with arbitrary jump conditions, which will be matched with inner-layer solver
 - POCA will use 3D perturbations from IPEC, and provide anisotropic pressure tensor to GPEC



