

Supported by



Progress on analyzing impact of partial NCC on infinite-n ballooning

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics** ORNL PPPL Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin X Science LLC

J.M. Canik

Co-authors

and the NSTX Research Team

Meeting name Location Date





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFRI KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Fields from full NCC added to generate 3D VMEC equilibrium

- Full NCC considered: 12 coils each in U and L rows
 - The VMEC runs shown here are for n=3 applied, even parity
 - Should check the spectrum I have here against J-K to see if I have the coils implemented correctly
- VMEC rerun with NCC turned on (1kAt), p/q profiles unchanged
 - Yields nonaxisymmetric surface displacements of order ~1 cm



🔘 NSTX-U

Meeting name - abbreviated presentation title, abbreviated author name (??/??/20??)

COBRA indicates that full NCC has a large effect on ballooning stability

- Large edge region is unstable with NCC turned on
- Much larger effect than increasing pressure in 2D equilibrium
- Also much larger than effects of RWM coils, even with lower coil current
- Partially due to nature of 2D equilibrium chosen, with broad region of near-instability



Partial NCC implemented in new VMEC runs

- 6 coils each in U and L rows, but staggered
 - n=3 always considered here
 - Two helicities considered, depending on up-down phasing
 - One is dominantly resonant (more-so than full NCC), the other non
- Very preliminary results; little in the way of sanity checks done
 - No resolution scans (ns=99, ntor=3*3, mpol=30)
 - Haven't checked dB spectrum from VMEC runs (as opposed to vacuum)



🔘 NSTX-U

Meeting name - abbreviated presentation title, abbreviated author name (??/??/20??)

Impact on ballooning stability is more modest than full NCC

- With 1kAt, partial NCC has small impact on ballooning
 - Small, positive growth rates very near edge
- Increasing to 2kAt gives larger change in gamma
 - Instability still restricted to $\psi_N > \sim 0.95$
 - More like RWM coils than full NCC
 - Not much difference between two helicities
- Related to kink-resonant perturbation?
 - Full NCC strongest (by eye...)



NCC moves the stability boundary at s=0.8 (ψ_N =0.903)

- COBRA/VMEC agree well with BALL code in axisymmetric case
 - Red contour is stability boundary from ball, based on g-file
 - Color contours are from shear/P' scans using VMEC/COBRA
- Shift in stability boundary when NCC fields are applied is clear
 - BALL boundary is unchanged-shown for reference
 - Boundary moves both in shear and pressure gradient
 - In this case, nominal profiles (white plus sign) goes from stable to unstable (consistent with previous slides)



