

Supported by



## NSTX-U Five Year Plan: status of Chapter 6 Energetic Particle Research

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehiah U Nova Photonics Old Dominion ORNL PPPL Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. UC Davis UC Irvine UCLA UCSD U Colorado **U** Illinois U Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee U Tulsa U Washington U Wisconsin X Science LLC

## Mario Podestà

for the Energetic Particle Group

NSTX-U 5 Year Plan Status Meeting PPPL B318 December 17, 2012





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U U Tokvo IAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFR KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA. Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich **IPP**, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Science

## Chapter 6 has been revised based on comments from 12/05/2012 meeting

- Recommend increased granularity in "years 3-5" Perhaps finish each section with brief/bulletized year-by-year plans
- > Timelines have been added at the end of each Section
- > Each timeline refers to a Thrust and a separate period (Y1-2 vs. Y3-5)
- > Can quickly transpose into bullets, if preferred
- Make sure to be very clear on how the code physics is relevant to NSTX-U plans/needs
- > Should be OK: code description has been tailored to limit the focus to EP-related research on NSTX-U
- Diagnostic info should be kept but moved to Masa's facility chapter
- > Done EP diagnostics Section sent to Masa
- Need more technical detail on specs for AE antenna [...] plan is too vague to justify likely required resources.
- > More details have been added to Sections 6.3.3 and 6.3.4
- > Detailed plan/budget of what will be available (and when) has been prepared
- > Proposed plan requires discussion w/ J. Menard, M. Ono, B. Stratton to make sure it fits with overall NSTX-U plans (& budget)