

NSTX-U 5 Year Plan for Non-axisymmetric Control Coil (NCC) Applications

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX General Atomics FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U Nova Photonics ORNL PPPL Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. UC Davis UC Irvine UCLA UCSD U Colorado U Illinois U Maryland U Rochester U Tennessee U Tulsa U Washington U Wisconsin X Science LLC

J.-K. Park,

J. W. Berkery, A. H. Boozer, J. M. Bialek, S. A. Sabbagh, J. M. Canik, K. Kim, R. Maingi, T. E. Evans, S. P. Gerhardt, J. E. Menard for the NSTX Research Team

> **NSTX-U 5 Year Plan Review LSB B318, PPPL May 21-23, 2013**

Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U U Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res, Kiev Ioffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFRI KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich IPP, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Motivation: Expanded 3D field capability on NSTX-U is essential to meet NSTX-U programmatic/TSG goals, and support ITER

• Expanded 3D field capability needed to control error fields, RWMs, momentum (rotation), particle/heat transport, ELM control, etc.

NSTX-U **NSTX-U 5 Year Plan Review – NCC (Park) May 21-23, 2013**

Outline

- Proposed NCC geometry for NSTX-U
	- Partial and full choices for NCC
- Physics analysis and NCC applications
	- Resonant and non-resonant error field control
	- RWM active control
	- Rotation control via NTV
	- RMP characteristics for stochastic and neoclassical transport
	- RMP characteristics for 3D stability
- Summary
	- Coil performance comparison table
- Future plan for analysis

A range of off-midplane NCC coil configurations is being assessed for potential physics capabilities

- NCC proposal: Use two off-midplane rows of 12 coils toroidally
	- To produce wide poloidal spectrum to vary resonant vs. non-resonant coupling
	- To rotate n=1 4 fields to diagnose plasma response such as heat flux spreading in divertor
	- Poloidal positions of 2x12 coils have been selected based on initial studies
- Partial NCCs are also under active investigation
	- Anticipate possible staged installation to the full 2x12
	- 3 best options will be discussed and compared with existing midplane coils

Existing Midplane coils

NCC Options

Wide variation of n=1 non-resonant vs. resonant field made possible by NCC

- IPEC and combined NTV analysis show that 2x6-Odd partial NCC and 2x12 full NCC can provide range of non-resonant error field control while minimizing n=1 resonant error field, which is a critical issue for tokamaks
- Non-resonant field physics can be quantified by NTV, via $R_{-R} \equiv \frac{1_{NTV}}{\sum \delta B_m^2}$ *NTV* $N-R$ \sum δ B *T F* δ

– High F_{N-R} as well as its variability are important

** Combinations of midplane coils with NCC are partially tested and shown in backup slides*

 W_N < 0.85

mn

RWM control capability increases and physics studies are expanded with NCC

- VALEN3D analysis shows RWM control performance increases as NCC coils are added
	- **Can operate very close to the ideal-wall limit with full 2x12 NCC**
	- $-$ Can be quantified by β-gain $F_g \equiv \frac{\beta_{active}}{2}$ $\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle no\!-\!\scriptscriptstyle wall}$ $_{\beta}$

NCCs greatly expand possible resonant field profiles for similar n=3 NTV braking – will aid understanding of RMP

Phase of NCC can control resonant profile width

• Full NCC can further enhance variability of NTV across radius to control rotation and shear, and thus microscopic-to-macroscopic instabilities (backup slides)

NTV at fixed Chirikov can be varied by 1 order of magnitude with partial NCC, 2 orders of magnitude with full NCC

- Empirical RMP characteristics: Chirikov overlap and pitch-alignment
	- Chirikov overlap implies dominant stochastic transport in the edge
	- Good pitch-alignment implies small non-resonant fields, which are related to small neoclassical 3D transport (NTV) in the core $\left(C_{\textit{vacuum},\psi_N=0.85}\right)^K$ $_{\mu_{W_{N}}=0.85}$ ⁴
	- $-$ These mixed hypothesis can be quantified by F_{N-C} \equiv

RMP Figure-Of-Merit

NTV T

Field line tracing calculations show vacuum stochastic layers can be substantially modified by NCC

• POCA-FLT simulations for NCCs show important modifications of vacuum stochastic layers for both n=1 and n=3

• Although the vacuum hypothesis may not be precise even in the edge, these predictions can be tested in NSTX-U for ELM control and compared with divertor diagnostics for particle and heat splitting

Stability analysis using stellarator tools indicates 3D equilibrium effects are important for pedestal ballooning instability

- Midplane coil applications in NSTX showed strong ELM triggering and pacing
- VMEC+COBRA analysis for NSTX-U shows NCCs may significantly increase this capability
	- NCCs can broaden ballooning unstable region by ~30% compared to midplane coils or 2D (benchmarked with BALL)

ISOLVER+VMEC+COBRA

 $\Psi_{N} = 0.903$

5.0

4.5

 4.0

 3.5

0.38

 0.31

 0.24

0.17

Summary of initial analysis

- For partial NCCs, 2x6-Odd is more favorable than 12U for error field, RWM control, rotation control, and RMP characteristics
	- 12U can provide high-n rotating capability, but poloidal spectrum is limited
- Full NCC greatly expands capability for NTV and RMP physics and control
- Quantified FOM table:

** Figures of merit for NTV is defined and illustrated in backup slides*

Analysis plans for upcoming year

- Additional configurations will be investigated
	- Combine NCC and midplane, including different Ampere-turn ratios, and with constraint of only 6 independent power supplies
	- Various target plasmas with different q_{min} and q-shear
- Important coil configurations will be identified using FOMs, with varied collisionality and rotation
	- IPEC-NTV, MISK, MARSK, MARSQ, NTVTOK, VALEN3D, TRIP3D will be used to quantify error field, NTV, RWM, RMP characteristics
	- SVD methods with FOM matrices, with and without coil constraints, will also be performed to assess fundamental advantages of NCCs in NSTX-U
- Advanced computations will be performed for selected coil configurations, target plasmas, kinetic profiles
	- POCA, FORTEC3D, and XGC0 will be used for selected cases

Backup

Partial NCCs combined with midplane coils can greatly extend selectivity of n=1 resonant vs. non-resonant field

- Partial NCCs, if combined with midplane coils, can greatly extend "nonresonant" and "resonant field selectivity" by changing alignment between fields to resonant helical pitch
- RMP FOM can be also further increased or decreased
	- $-$ Particularly 2x6 is essential to decrease torque/dB $_{21}^2$, and thus increase "resonant field selectivity", and also to decrease torque per Chirikov
- Optimized currents are expected to further improve n=1 capability

**All coils are in the same currents (1kAt is the base) and ratio is not optimized*

Controllability of rotation by NTV braking can be enhanced by 2x12, and also by mixed n's

- Semi-analytic calculations show that full NCC can greatly enhance variability of NTV across radius, which is essential to control rotation profiles and shear, and therefore microscopic-to-macroscopic instabilities \backslash
	- NTV variability for core to edge can be defined as $\Delta \left| F_{N-N} \right| = \frac{F_{NTV}(\psi_N < 0.5)}{T_{N-N}(\psi_N < 1)}$ $\overline{}$ \setminus ſ \lt $\Delta \left(F_{N-N}\equiv \frac{T_{NTV}(\psi_N<0.5)}{T_{NTV}(\psi_N<1)}\right)$ $(\psi_N < 0.5)$ $T_{N-N} \equiv \frac{I_{NTV}(\psi_N)}{T_{NTV}(\psi_N)}$ $F_{N-N} \equiv \frac{T}{2}$ ψ ψ

• n=1 non-resonant error fields, if successfully utilized, can further increase NTV profile control $\bigg)$ *NTV N*

