

Supported by



## **NSTX-U 5 Year Plan for Non-axisymmetric Control Coil (NCC) Applications**

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics** ORNL PPPL Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Marvland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin X Science LLC

#### J.-K. Park,

J. W. Berkery, A. H. Boozer, J. M. Bialek, S. A. Sabbagh, J. M. Canik, K. Kim, R. Maingi, T. E. Evans, S. P. Gerhardt, J. E. Menard for the NSTX Research Team

> NSTX-U 5 Year Plan Review LSB B318, PPPL May 21-23, 2013





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFRI KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP**, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

## Motivation: Expanded 3D field capability on NSTX-U is essential to meet NSTX-U programmatic/TSG goals, and support ITER

• Expanded 3D field capability needed to control error fields, RWMs, momentum (rotation), particle/heat transport, ELM control, etc.



🔘 NSTX-U

NSTX-U 5 Year Plan Review – NCC (Park)

### Outline

- Proposed NCC geometry for NSTX-U
  - Partial and full choices for NCC
- Physics analysis and NCC applications
  - Resonant and non-resonant error field control
  - RWM active control
  - Rotation control via NTV
  - RMP characteristics for stochastic and neoclassical transport
  - RMP characteristics for 3D stability
- Summary
  - Coil performance comparison table
- Future plan for analysis

3

#### A range of off-midplane NCC coil configurations is being assessed for potential physics capabilities

- NCC proposal: Use two off-midplane rows of 12 coils toroidally
  - To produce wide poloidal spectrum to vary resonant vs. non-resonant coupling
  - To rotate n=1 4 fields to diagnose plasma response such as heat flux spreading in divertor
  - Poloidal positions of 2x12 coils have been selected based on initial studies
- Partial NCCs are also under active investigation
  - Anticipate possible staged installation to the full 2x12
  - 3 best options will be discussed and compared with existing midplane coils

#### Existing **Midplane coils**





### **NCC Options**



# Wide variation of n=1 non-resonant vs. resonant field made possible by NCC

- IPEC and combined NTV analysis show that 2x6-Odd partial NCC and 2x12 full NCC can provide range of non-resonant error field control while minimizing n=1 resonant error field, which is a critical issue for tokamaks
- Non-resonant field physics can be quantified by NTV, via  $F_{N-R} \equiv \frac{T_{NTV}}{\sum \delta B_{mn}^2}$

– High  $F_{N-R}$  as well as its variability are important



\* Combinations of midplane coils with NCC are partially tested and shown in backup slides

5

 $\psi_N < 0.85$ 

# RWM control capability increases and physics studies are expanded with NCC

- VALEN3D analysis shows RWM control performance increases as NCC coils are added
  - Can operate very close to the ideal-wall limit with full 2x12 NCC





6

# NCCs greatly expand possible resonant field profiles for similar n=3 NTV braking – will aid understanding of RMP



Phase of NCC can control resonant profile width

• Full NCC can further enhance variability of NTV across radius to control rotation and shear, and thus microscopic-to-macroscopic instabilities (backup slides)

#### NTV at fixed Chirikov can be varied by 1 order of magnitude with partial NCC, 2 orders of magnitude with full NCC

- Empirical RMP characteristics: Chirikov overlap and pitch-alignment
  - Chirikov overlap implies dominant stochastic transport in the edge
  - Good pitch-alignment implies small non-resonant fields, which are related to small neoclassical 3D transport (NTV) in the core  $(C_{vacuum,\psi_N=0.85})^{r}$
  - These mixed hypothesis can be quantified by  $F_{N-C} = -$



#### RMP Figure-Of-Merit

8

# Field line tracing calculations show vacuum stochastic layers can be substantially modified by NCC

 POCA-FLT simulations for NCCs show important modifications of vacuum stochastic layers for both n=1 and n=3



• Although the vacuum hypothesis may not be precise even in the edge, these predictions can be tested in NSTX-U for ELM control and compared with divertor diagnostics for particle and heat splitting

#### Stability analysis using stellarator tools indicates 3D equilibrium effects are important for pedestal ballooning instability

- Midplane coil applications in NSTX showed strong ELM triggering and pacing
- VMEC+COBRA analysis for NSTX-U shows NCCs may significantly increase this capability
  - NCCs can broaden ballooning unstable region by ~30% compared to midplane coils or 2D (benchmarked with BALL)





10

ISOLVER+VMEC+COBRA  $\Psi_{\rm N} = 0.903$ 

5.0

4.5

4.0

3.5

0.38

0.31

0.24

0.17

### **Summary of initial analysis**

- For partial NCCs, 2x6-Odd is more favorable than 12U for error field, RWM control, rotation control, and RMP characteristics
  - 12U can provide high-n rotating capability, but poloidal spectrum is limited
- Full NCC greatly expands capability for NTV and RMP physics and control
- Quantified FOM table:

Figures of Merit	Favorable values	MID	12U	2x6-Odd	2x12
EF (n=1)	High F <sub>N-R</sub>	0.017	0.025	0.13	0.13
RWM (n=1)	High F <sub>β</sub>	1.25	1.54	1.61	1.70
NTV (n≥3)	Wide $\Delta F_{N-N}$	1.00	2.00	3.97	19.6
RMP (n≥3)	High F <sub>N-C</sub>	3.92	41.3	51.3	201
	Wide $\Delta F_{N-C}$	1.00	10.5	22.1	252

#### \* Figures of merit for NTV is defined and illustrated in backup slides

### Analysis plans for upcoming year

- Additional configurations will be investigated
  - Combine NCC and midplane, including different Ampere-turn ratios, and with constraint of only 6 independent power supplies
  - Various target plasmas with different  $\ensuremath{q_{\text{min}}}$  and q-shear
- Important coil configurations will be identified using FOMs, with varied collisionality and rotation
  - IPEC-NTV, MISK, MARSK, MARSQ, NTVTOK, VALEN3D, TRIP3D will be used to quantify error field, NTV, RWM, RMP characteristics
  - SVD methods with FOM matrices, with and without coil constraints, will also be performed to assess fundamental advantages of NCCs in NSTX-U
- Advanced computations will be performed for selected coil configurations, target plasmas, kinetic profiles
  - POCA, FORTEC3D, and XGC0 will be used for selected cases



### Backup



# Partial NCCs combined with midplane coils can greatly extend selectivity of n=1 resonant vs. non-resonant field

- Partial NCCs, if combined with midplane coils, can greatly extend "nonresonant" and "resonant field selectivity" by changing alignment between fields to resonant helical pitch
- RMP FOM can be also further increased or decreased
  - Particularly 2x6 is essential to decrease torque/dB<sub>21</sub><sup>2</sup>, and thus increase "resonant field selectivity", and also to decrease torque per Chirikov
- Optimized currents are expected to further improve n=1 capability



\*All coils are in the same currents (1kAt is the base) and ratio is not optimized



### Controllability of rotation by NTV braking can be enhanced by 2x12, and also by mixed n's

- Semi-analytic calculations show that full NCC can greatly enhance variability of NTV across radius, which is essential to control rotation profiles and shear, and therefore microscopic-to-macroscopic instabilities
  - NTV variability for core to edge can be defined as  $\Delta \left( F_{N-N} \equiv \frac{T_{NTV}(\psi_N < 0.5)}{T_{NTV}(\psi_N < 1)} \right)$

 n=1 non-resonant error fields, if successfully utilized, can further increase NTV profile control

