

Supported by



NSTX Upgrade Motivation and Elements

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

J. Menard, PPPL

For the NSTX Research Team

NSTX Physics Meeting February 8, 2010 LSB-B318, PPPL





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP**, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

February 8, 2010

NSTX Upgrade will contribute strongly to toroidal plasma science and preparation for a fusion nuclear science (FNS) program

•NSTX:

- Providing foundation for understanding ST physics, performance

•NSTX Upgrade:

- -Study high beta plasmas at reduced collisionality
 - Vital for understanding confinement, stability, start-up, sustainment
- -Assess full non-inductive current drive operation
 - Needed for steady-state operating scenarios in ITER and FNS facility
- -Prototype solutions for mitigating high heat, particle exhaust
 - Can access world-leading combination of P/R and P/S
 - Needed for testing integration of high-performance fusion core and edge

•NSTX Upgrade contributes strongly to possible next-step STs:

- -ST Fusion Nuclear Science Facility (FNSF)
 - Develop fusion nuclear science, test nuclear components for Demo
 - Sustain W_{neutron} ~ 0.2-0.4 \rightarrow 1-2MW/m², τ_{pulse} = 10³ \rightarrow 10⁶s
- -ST Plasma Material Interface Facility (PMIF)
 - Develop long-pulse PMI solutions for FNSF / Demo (low-A and high-A)
 - Further advance start-up, confinement, sustainment for ST
 - High P_{heat}/S ~1MW/m², high T_{wall}, τ_{pulse} ~ 10³s









NSTX Upgrade will address many important questions for fusion

How does confinement vary with normalized temperature, pressure?

Can we create, sustain, and control high β , low I_i ST plasmas without induction? Can we manage the power & particle exhaust of highperformance plasmas?



Normalized electron collisionality reduction from higher temperature from higher field, current, heating

q profile control in 100% non-inductive plasma using mix of existing and additional NBI sources

 $\hat{\Psi}_{pol}^{1/2}$

0.6

0.4

0.8

1.0



New divertor poloidal field coils



Access to higher field and current is needed to understand scaling of ST confinement, implications for next-steps



• NSTX (and MAST) energy confinement time τ_E scales much more strongly with magnetic field and more weakly with current than ITER scaling

ST H-mode: $\tau_{\rm E} \propto B_{\rm T}^{1.2} \, I_{\rm P}^{0.6} \, n^{0.2} \, P^{-0.6}$ ITER H-mode: $\tau_{\rm E} \propto B_{\rm T}^{0.15} \, I_{\rm P}^{0.9} \, n^{0.4} \, P^{-0.7}$

- For scaling from NSTX to NSTX-U assume:
 - n / n_{Greenwald} decreases 30% (~1 \rightarrow ~0.7) via planned density control
 - Toroidal, normalized beta held ~constant: increase -20% (ITER) to +10% (ST)

To achieve: 3-6× reduction in collisionality →

- Field and current must double, heating power P = 6MW increases to 10-16MW
- Also require 3-5× increase in pulse duration for profile equilibration

Upgrade 2nd NBI injecting at larger R_{tangency} will greatly expand performance and understanding of ST plasmas

- Improved NBI-CD and plasma performance
 - Higher CD efficiency from large R_{TAN}
 - Higher NBI current drive from higher P_{NBI}
 - Higher β_P , f_{BS} at present $H_{98y2} \le 1.2$ from higher P_{HEAT}
 - Large $R_{TAN} \rightarrow$ off-axis CD for maintaining $q_{min} > 1$
 - Achieve 100% non-inductive fraction (presently < 70%)
 - Optimized $q(\rho)$ for integrated high τ_{E} , β , and f_{NI}
- Expanded research flexibility by varying:
 - q-shear for transport, MHD, fast-ion physics
 - Heating, torque, and rotation profiles
 - $-\beta$, including higher β at higher I_P and B_T
 - Fast-ion f(v_{||},v_{\perp}) and *AE instabilities
 - 2nd NBI more tangential like next-step STs
 - Peak divertor heat flux, SOL width
 - q(r) profile variation and control very important for global stability, electron transport, Alfvénic instability behavior





Upgrades provide major step along ST development path

(next factor of 2 increase in current, field, and power density)

	NSTX	NSTX Upgrade	Plasma-Material Interface Facility	Fusion Nuclear Science Facility
Aspect Ratio = R_0 / a	≥ 1 .3	≥ 1.5	≥ 1.7	≥ 1.5
Plasma Current (MA)	1	2	3.5	10
Toroidal Field (T)	0.5	1	2	2.5
P/R, P/S (MW/m,m ²)	10, 0.2*	20, 0.4*	40, 0.7	40-60, 0.8-1.2

* Includes 4MW of high-harmonic fast-wave (HHFW) heating power







0 NSTX

Higher field B_T=1T from new CS + 2nd NBI would enable access to wide range of 100% non-inductive scenarios



For NBI I_P ramp-up, more tangential 2^{nd} NBI has $3 \times$ lower power loss than present NBI at low I_P = 400kA



Non-inductive ramp-up to ~0.4MA possible with RF + new CS, ramp-up to ~1MA possible with new CS + more tangential 2nd NBI

Ramp to ~0.4MA with fast wave heating:

- High field \geq 0.5T needed for efficient RF heating
- ~2s duration needed for ramp-up equilibration
- Higher field 0.5→1T projected to increase electron temperature and bootstrap current fraction

Extend ramp to 0.8-1MA with 2nd NBI:

- Benefits of more tangential injection:
 - Increased NBI absorption = $40 \rightarrow 80\%$ at low I_P
 - Current drive efficiency increases: ×1.5-2
- New CS needed for ~3-5s for ramp-up equilibration
 - Higher field 0.5→1T also projected to increase electron temperature and NBI-CD efficiency



🔘 NSTX

NSTX Upgrade Motivation and Elements (Menard)

Range of $I_P = 2MA$ free-boundary equilibria generated to support design of TF and PF coil support structures

Free boundary equilibrium parameters:

1.6 - 1.9

- Aspect ratio A:
- Internal inductance I_i: 0.4 1.1
- Elongation κ: 2.1 2.9
- Triangularity δ : 0.2 0.7
- Squareness ζ: -0.15 0.12
- Magnetic balance: -1.5 0cm
- I_{OH} : zero and +/- supply limit
 - For computing PF needed for cancellation of OH leakage flux
- Pressure variation: $\beta_N = 1, 5, 8$

32 free boundary equilibria × 3 OH conditions = 96 cases



• NOTE: Negative "squareness" boundary shape cases are included:

- More shaping flexibility/capability than in present NSTX (requires PF4 usage)
- Expect could be important for controlling edge stability (NSTX will test in FY2010)
- With coil/machine protection system + nominal operating currents, analysis indicates enhanced vertical field coil structure can support above scenarios

Upgrade provides substantial increase in device performance



() NSTX

NSTX Upgrade will extend normalized divertor and first-wall heat-loads much closer to FNS and Demo regimes



A combination of advanced PMI solutions will likely be required to manage the power exhaust of NSTX Upgrade

 High divertor heat flux can be reduced in NSTX with partially detached divertor (PDD)



 NSTX has demonstrated the formation of high flux-expansion "snowflake" divertor



- The PDD operating regime and other PMI solutions will be challenged in NSTX-U due to:
 - 2-3× higher input power
 - 30-50% reduction in Greenwald fraction
 - 3-5× longer pulse duration, leading to substantial increase in T_{divertor}
- NSTX and NSTX-U will test the compatibility of high flux expansion, PDD, and a liquid lithium divertor (LLD) at higher power/energy



• NSTX-U high flux expansion:



Divertor PF coil system for NSTX Upgrade includes additional coil to enhance control of power exhaust (and support CHI)



() NSTX

NSTX Upgrade Motivation and Elements (Menard)

Center-stack Upgrade divertor coil set supports conventional, snowflake, and X/Super-X divertor options

• Implication: CS divertor coil location and configuration now finalized



Possible location for cryo-pumps?

- •X/Super-X requires in-vessel PF coils which are **NOT** part of Upgrade project
- Design/analysis of Upgrade divertor is collaborative effort (ORNL, LLNL, UT, PPPL)
- •NSTX-U divertor design will be strongly influenced by NSTX LLD results
 - To be prepared for possible favorable results from LLD, NSTX is initiating a conceptual design study of heated inboard Mo divertor tiles to support test of high- δ LLD-pumped plasma

NSTX → NSTX Upgrade research goals strongly support research actions identified in ReNeW ST Thrust 16:

- 1. Develop MA-level plasma current formation and ramp-up
 - CHI start-up and fast-wave ramp-up in NSTX, NBI ramp-up to ~1MA in Upgrade
- 2. Advance innovative magnetic geometries, first wall solutions (liquid metals)
 - "Snowflake" divertor, detachment, solid/liquid PFCs in NSTX and Upgrade
- 3. Understand ST confinement and stability at fusion-relevant parameters
 - Understand $\mu\text{-turbulence}$ and AEs in NSTX, extend to lower ρ^{*} and ν^{*} in Upgrade
- 4. Develop stability control techniques for long-pulse disruption-free operation
 - Advanced mode-ID and rotation control in NSTX, q(r) optimization in Upgrade
- 5. Employ energetic particle beams, plasma waves, particle control, and core fueling techniques to maintain the current, control plasma profiles
 - High $f_{non-inductive} \le 70\%$ in NSTX (FW+NBI+BS), **100% NI + J(r) control in Upgrade**
- 6. Develop normally-conducting radiation-tolerant magnets for ST applications
 - Design and utilize higher-field TF magnet (0.5T \rightarrow 1T) in Upgrade
- 7. Extend ST performance to near-burning-plasma conditions
 - NSTX and Upgrade + tokamak program provide physics basis for next-step STs