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Characteristics of H-mode discharges

## KSTAR Pedestal \& ELM characteristics



NBI power scan shows type-I character $2 x$ PNBI -> $\sim 2 x f_{\text {ELM }}$

Shot 7242


## Pedestal evolution in-between ELMs





Different time scales during ELM cycle (For large infrequent ELMs)

- Thermal ( $\left.T_{e, \text { ped }} \& T_{i, \text { ped }}\right)$ Fast bulidup \& saturation Faster saturation of Te


## - Rotation

steady increase in entire phase
Rotation (\& shear) is the main driver? (need pedestal density measurement)

Fluctuation analysis is on-going (using Edge BES)

## With SMBI, Stimulated transitions found with 30\% reduced absorbed power



Triggering of $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{H}$ \& small ELM is observed by 8 ms of SMBI

- Transition occurred for less absorbed power

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\text {abs }}=P_{\text {inj }}-d W / d t-P_{\text {rad }} \\
& \text { (cyan line) }
\end{aligned}
$$

\#9077


$$
B_{T}=2.0 T
$$

## Edge profile changes are accompanied for the stimulated dynamics



## KSTAR

- Status of pedestal diagnostics


## Proffle Reflectometry which covers full radial rance



| Reflectometry Specifications |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| \# of channel | 3 bands (Q, V, and W) |
| frequency | $33.6-54 \mathrm{GHz}$ (Q band) <br> $48-72 \mathrm{GHz}(\mathrm{V}$ band) <br> $72-108 \mathrm{GHz}$ (W band) |
| time resolution | $25 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |
| spatial resolution | 0.5 mm |
| maximum storage | 160 ms (32 Mbytes memory) |
| antenna | pyramidal long horn antenna <br> $(40 \mathrm{~mm} \times 32 \mathrm{~mm} \times 300 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| antenna position | antenna entrance @ $\mathrm{R}=2.624 \mathrm{~m}$ |
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## Specification of KSTAR Thomson scattering system $\sim$ ?

## KSTAR Thomson scattering system(2013)

- Te : 10eV~1.5keV (edge), 500eV~20keV (core)
- ne : 3X10 ${ }^{12} \sim 2 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$
- 17spatial points (core 5, edge 12 points)
- Spatial Resolution : <10cm (core), <10mm (edge)
- Polychromator 17ea (core 5ea by NFRI, edge 12ea by NIFS)
- Laser : ~5J, 100Hz Nd:YAG Laser, 1064nm


Plasma center


5J, 100Hz, 1064nm Double laser line


- Rayleigh scattering with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$
- Spectral calibration with W (tungsten) light


## Charge Exchange Spectroscopy on KSTAR

http://www.nfri.re.kr


## Li/D Beam Emission Spectroscopy System



## Typical KSTAR Pedestal profiles

Pedestal structure both in Thomson \& CES for H -mode (further comparison is on-going)
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## Initial comparison showa good correlation between ECE \& TS

Shot 9422 : Te Profile @ $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}=26.00 \mathrm{kA} \quad\left[\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ave}}=20 \mathrm{~ms}\right.$ ]


Value of $T_{\text {e,ped }}$ are in good agreement Position of separatices < 1 cm

ECE could be used for fast pedestal Te measurements with $B_{T}>2.6 \mathrm{~T}$

Density need better laser calibration (also with Li-BES)
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## Research topics on pedestal physics

## Optimal RMP configurations for KSTAR
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## In 2012, ELM-suppressions have been successfully demonstrated using both $n=1$ or $n=2$ RMP


 $\mathrm{n}=1$ (+90 phase) RMP at $\mathrm{q}_{95} \sim 6.0$
$\mathrm{n}=2$ RMP (mid-FEC only) at $\mathrm{q}_{95} \sim 4.1$

## A generalized criterion suggested for ELMsuppression




$$
\begin{gathered}
\# 7821(n=1,+90 \mathrm{deg}) \\
\delta B_{R}\left(\psi_{N}=0.95\right) / B_{T}=13.7 \times 10^{-4} / 1.8 \\
\sim 7.71 \times 10^{-4} \\
\# 8060(n=2, \text { mid-FEC only }) \\
\delta B_{R}\left(\psi_{N}=0.95\right) / B_{T}=10.97 \times 10^{-4} / 1.5 \\
\sim 7.31 \times 10^{-4}
\end{gathered}
$$

## ELM-suppression requires <br> $\delta B^{*}{ }^{*} 95=\delta B_{R}\left(\psi_{N}=0.95\right) / B_{\mathrm{T}}$ <br> $\delta B^{*}{ }_{\text {R95 }}>6 \times 10^{-4}$ <br> $\delta B^{*}{ }^{2} 95=\delta B_{R}\left(\psi_{N}=0.95\right) / B_{T}$ $\delta B^{*}{ }_{\text {R95 }}>6 \times 10^{-4}$

Similar ELM-suppression by ' +90 phased $\mathrm{n}=2$ RMP' is expected with less FEC currents if the generalized criterion is valid, since ' +90 phased RMP' is more resonant by a factor of 1.7.

## ELMs suppressed using +90 phased $\mathrm{n}=2$ RMP


\#9286: $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.65 \mathrm{MA}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}=1.8 \mathrm{~T} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{q}_{95} \sim 4.0$
$\rightarrow$ ELM suppression under 6.0kAt $\mathrm{n}=2$ RMP at $\mathrm{q}_{95} \sim 4.0$

Initially ELMs mitigated by $n=2$ even (top/bot) RMP

As mid-FEC currents added ( $\mathrm{n}=2,+90$ RMP), ELMs further mitigated and then suppressed

Note that ELM-suppressed phase showed better confinements than ${ }_{8}$ that in ELM-mitigated phase - See changes on $\left\langle\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}\right\rangle, \mathrm{W}_{\text {tott }}$ and $\beta_{\boldsymbol{p}}$

## ELM responses to $\mathrm{n}=2$ RMP field strength



## ((Bifurcation))

With 6.0kA $\mathrm{n}=2$ RMP fields, ELM-mitigation was bifurcated to ELM-suppression state

## Distinctive fast time scale phenomena that are directly linked to the transition to ELM-suppressed



Distinctive features observed …

- Increased base-level of $D_{\alpha}$
- Saturated growth of T
- ! Increased fluctuation of $\mathrm{I}_{\text {sat }}$ on divertor
- 'Brōād̄ān̄d iñ crēāsē ō EM fluctuations

All of these "fast time-scale phenomena" were "precisely synchronized"

At the moment of transition to ELM-suppression in KSTAR \#7820. RMP was applied in whole period here

## How the uniform broadband increase of EM fluctuations can be produced ?

Features of observed EM fluctuation change
(1) Broadband (actually full range up to $\sim 250 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) frequency
(2) Uniform spectrum power
Only possible with a bursty event such as shown in ELM-crashes

- cannot be explained with coherent MHD activities
At the moment of transition to ELM-suppression in KSTAR \#7820. RMP was applied in whole period here

> A persistent bursty event may be activated in the plasma edge, which produces broadband EM fluctuations

## Rapidly increased, steady fluctuations on Ion saturation current suggests a persistent bursty event <br> 



- Rapid increase of $\mathrm{I}_{\text {sat }}$ fluctuation in the inter-ELM period
- Rapid decrease of $\mathrm{I}_{\text {sat }}$ fluctuation prior to the next ELM crash
- Precise synchronization with EM fluctuation

Spiky fluctuation on $I_{\text {sat }} \rightarrow$ bursting event
$\rightarrow$ A persistent, rapidly repeating bursting event produces a steady spiky fluctuations on $\mathrm{I}_{\text {sat }}$

At the moment of transition to ELM-suppression in KSTAR \#7820. RMP was applied in whole period here

## The persistent bursty event occurred in the plasma edge


$\rightarrow$ The persistent bursting event in the plasma edge leads increased neutral recycling near the plasma boundary, thus increasing $\mathrm{D}_{\alpha}$ emission

- Emission from CCD $\propto D_{\alpha}$
- No clear change on topology at the transition
- Only emission intensity was increased strongly


## Scan of position of ECH deposition

## Poloidal angle scan



## Similar ELM crash but more fluctuation during ELM cycle (J. H. Lee, Postech)

## w/o ECH



## w ECH




KSTAR \# 9020 ECE-Image at $\mathrm{t}=5.821690 \mathrm{~s}$

Before the ECH

ring
$0-10 \mathrm{kHz}$ bandpass
Judging from ECEI, there is no significant change in mode structure Slightly higher $m$ with ECH


有
$0-10 \mathrm{kHz}$ bandpass

NOT Calibrated image. NOT for
9) POSTECH, Center for Fusion Plasma Diagnostics and Steady-State Operation
(c) POSTECH, Center for Fusion Plasma Diagnostics and Steady-State Operation
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## Similar ELM crash but more fluctuation during ELM cycle (J. H. Lee, POSTECH)

$10 \%$ drop of density
Strong pump-out at midplane injection



Low freq fluctuations (10 kHz)

Localized in pedestal
Similar modes also in density fluctuation (by D-BES)
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## Change of pedestal profile during ECH injection

## ELM averaged difference of pedestal profiles
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## Temporary transition to grassy ELMs by Supersonic Molecular Beam Injection <br> ion

R

## $\square$

 \%

A simple cellular automata model predicts best mitigation case with shallow deposition of SMBI
http://www.nfri.re.kr

- Scanning SMBI deposition size and injection location

```
Cl
deposition point
(0 = pedestal top,
1 = pedestal edge)
```

$<A>\mid<A_{0}>:$ ELM amplitude ratio
( $\mathrm{A}_{0}=\mathbf{w} / \mathbf{o} \mathrm{AGI}$ ) Region for effectiv\&f $\mathrm{f}_{0}=\mathbf{w} / \mathbf{o A G I}$ )

> Pedestal base injection effectively mitigates ELM with deposition size greater than a critical level.
Critical deposition size is minimum number of grains which can drive a pedestal cell over hard limit.

## Near term research plan in pedestal physics $1-2$

## - Fast pedestal profile measurements

ELM-resolved full sets of pedestal profiles for detailed stability analysis
Detailed cross-validation of all the dynamic parameters
$p_{\text {ped }}: T S(2012)$, profile reflectometry(2013), r-ECE(2010), Li-BES (2013), t-CES(2010)
$j_{\|}+E_{r}+V_{p, t}$ : MSE(2015), Li-Zeeman(2015), p-CES (2015), ECEI (2010)

- ELM physics/control in ITER relevant condition

Extension of ELM control technique to ITER based on physics understanding Validation of long-pulse RMP suppression in ITER Similar Shape Detailed measurements of profile \& fluctuation change during ELM control Searching for small/no ELM regimes (drsep, I-mode, QH-mode, ...)

- Transport in pedestal region

ELM dynamics and identification of background turbulence in the pedestal region Validated local measurements of $k \|, k_{\perp} \& v_{\perp}$ : DBS, MIR and D-BES
Identification of background transport (Micro-tearing, Flow-shear ITG, k-Ballooning, ...) Relation between fluctuations and transport : radial particle \& thermal pinches

- L-H transition Physics

Mechanism for L-H \& H-L transition
Effect on L-H transition by SMBI \& RMP : minimizing power threshold in ITER I-phase during H-L back transition : slow back-transition

## KSTAR Doppler Reflectometry (2014)

## - V-band X-mode Doppler Back-Scattering (DBS) System

$>$ Heterodyne system using a single side band modulator (SSBM)
$>$ Frequency regime in the plasma edge : V-band ( $50-75 \mathrm{GHz}$ )
$>$ Antenna tilt angle $\Theta_{0}: 10^{\circ}-12^{\circ}$
$>\max . \mathrm{k}_{\perp}=5.5-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} @ 75 \mathrm{GHz}$
$>\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}} \sim \mathbf{1 . 7} \mathbf{- 2 . 6} \mathrm{MHz} @ \mathrm{u}_{\perp} \sim 20-30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ (H-mode)


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
> & k_{\perp} \approx 2 k_{\mathbf{0}} \sin \Theta_{0} \\
> & \omega_{\mathrm{D}} \approx u_{\perp} k_{\perp} \\
> & u_{\perp}=v_{E \times B+}+v_{\mathbf{p h}} \\
> & E_{\mathbf{r}} \approx-v_{E \times B} B
\end{array}
$$



Microwave part


## Lithium-beam Zeeman polarimetry (2015)

- KSTAR Li-beam system was successfully commissioned in 2013.

| Parameter |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Diameter | 2 cm (FWHM / fully focused in the <br> plasma), 10 cm (defocused) |
| Current | $2-4 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
| Energy | 60 keV |
| Pulse Length | 20 s |
| Species | Lithium (possible upgrade to Sodium) |

- The Li-Zeeman emission is at its maximum around the pedestal region ( $R=2.22-2.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ).
*Lines of sight in 2013 are horizontal at the midplane.

Doppler-shifted Li peak (normalized by unshifed CII@667.843)


- The Zeeman split greater than the instrumental broadening was observed with $\mathrm{Bt}>2.8 \mathrm{~T}$. Li-beam emission.


- For the Zeeman polarimetry to measure the edge $J(r)$, vertical views are more preferred.
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