# H-mode and ELM Studies at Near-Unity Aspect Ratio

# Kathreen E. Thome with thanks to the PEGASUS Team



University of Wisconsin-Madison PPPL and LLNL

Princeton, NJ Sept. 9, 2015



PEGASUS Toroidal Experiment



- Motivation and Introduction to PEGASUS
- Standard H-mode Characteristics
- Power Threshold
- ELM Characteristics and Dynamics
- Conclusion





#### Understanding H-mode Physics is Critical to the Viability of Fusion Reactors

#### **P**EGASUS **Peeling Modes**

- Equilibrium, stability properties documented
  - No accepted first-principles model, knowledge empirical
- Parameter variations critical to test, validate theories of H-mode, ELM behavior
  - A changes H-mode access, equilibrium, and stability
  - Low-A H-mode similar, differs with high-A
- $A \sim 1$  operations  $\rightarrow$  AT physics
  - High  $I_p$  at very low  $B_T$
  - Modest-sized plasma and relatively low  $T_e$ 
    - Allows diagnostic access to pedestal







Bongard *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **107**, 035003 (2011). Bongard *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **54**, 114008 (2014).



2m



#### **Experimental Parameters**

А	1.15 - 1.3
R (m)	0.2 - 0.45
I <sub>p</sub> (MA)	$\leq 0.25$
$\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{T})$	< 0.2
$\Delta t_{\rm shot}\left({ m s} ight)$	$\leq 0.025$
$Z_{eff}$	~ 1
Recy. Coeff.	<< 0.7

#### **Recent upgrades for H-mode studies:**

- HFS fueling
- New external divertor coils
- Radial field coils
- Edge current injection startup (LHI) for MHD control (future)



K.E. Thome, PPPL Seminar 2015



•  $A \approx 1 \rightarrow \text{low } B_T \rightarrow \text{low } P_{LH}$  $P_{LH} \sim n_e^{0.717} B_T^{0.803} S^{0.941}$ 



- H-mode achieved
  - HFS fueling
    - Similar to other STs
  - Limited or diverted plasmas



Fast visible imaging,  $\Delta t \sim 30 \ \mu s$ 

MADISO

<sup>1</sup> Journal of Physics: Conference Series, **123**, 012033 (2008). <sup>2</sup> Plasma Phys. Control. Fus., **46**, A227 (2004).



- Quiescent edge
  - Edge current and pressure pedestals
- Reduced  $D_{\alpha}$
- Large and small ELMs
- Bifurcation in  $\phi_D$

- At  $A \sim 1$ , indicates current redistribution







- At A~1.2, high-A cylindrical approximations not valid
  - Virial integrals  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $R_t/R_0 \neq 1$
  - Overestimates  $\beta_{pol}$ ,  $W_k$
- Equilibrium reconstructions necessary to calculate  $\tau_{e}$ 
  - Needed for  $W_k$  and dW/dt
    - dW/dt ~ 30%  $P_{OH}$
  - Short pulse, not in transport equilibrium
- Established H-mode plasmas H<sub>98</sub>~1
- Pegasus-U = transport equilibrium

	τ <sub>E</sub> (ms)	H <sub>98</sub>
Limited L-mode	1.5	~0.5
Limited H-mode	2 – 3	~1
Diverted H-mode	3	~1





### $T_i$ and $T_e$ Increases Indicated in H-mode

• OH plasmas: T<sub>i</sub> << T<sub>e</sub>

• Impurity T<sub>i</sub> doubles

- Increasing T<sub>e</sub>(0) indicated
  - Increasing, peaking CV emission
  - Preliminary Thomson scattering
    - L-mode:  $T_e(0) \sim 160 \text{ eV}$
    - H-mode:  $T_{e_{-}H}(0) > T_{e_{-}L}(0)$



K.E. Thome, PPPL Seminar 2015

Time [ms]



- Current pedestal observed
  - Measured with Hall Probe<sup>1,2</sup> array
  - $L \rightarrow H: 4 \rightarrow 2 \text{ cm}$

- Preliminary Langmuir probe scans indicate pressure pedestal
  - Single-point, multi-shot profile
  - Some edge distortion present from MHD



K.E. Thome, PPPL Seminar 2015



#### P<sub>LH</sub> Measured in PEGASUS at A≈1.2

- $P_{LH}$  from varying  $P_{OH}$ 
  - Transition time from  $\phi_D$  bifurcation
  - Wide parameter range
    - P<sub>OH</sub> = 0.1 0.6 MW
    - $n_e = 0.5 4x10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$
    - Limited: Centerstack
    - Diverted: USN (favorable  $\nabla B$ )
- $P_{LH\_exp} = P_{OH} dW/dt$ 
  - dW/dt by magnetic reconstruction
  - $\sim 30\%$  correction







Shot survey of L and H-mode plasmas at different  $P_{OH}$  and  $n_e$ 

Density minimum not apparent

Diverted and limited  $P_{LH}$  similar - Comparable topology: *e.g.*  $q_{lim}(\psi) \approx q_{div}(\psi)$ 







- $P_{LH}$  increasingly diverges from expectations as  $A \rightarrow 1$
- Discrepancy may hint at additional physics

<sup>1</sup> Nucl. Fusion, **50**, 064010 (2010).

K.E. Thome, PPPL Seminar 2015

<sup>2</sup> Journal of Physics: Conference Series, **123**, 012033 (2008).

<sup>3</sup> <u>Tokamaks</u>, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. (2011), p 630



 FM<sup>3</sup>: Predicts P<sub>LH</sub> minimum for PEGASUS at n<sub>e</sub> ~ 1 x10<sup>18</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>

 $- n_e/n_G << 0.1$ , inaccessible due to runaways

 P<sub>LH</sub> topology independence: selfsimilar q profiles at A ~1

$$\frac{P_{L-H}^{\lim}}{P_{L-H}^{div}} \approx \left(\frac{q_*^{\lim}}{q_*^{div}}\right)^{-7/9} \longrightarrow 1 @A \sim 3$$
$$\longrightarrow 1 @A \sim 1$$

- Model does not explain strong  $P_{LH}$  dependence on A
  - Multi-Machine P<sub>LH</sub> Studies Proposed (NSTX-U, DIII-D, PEGASUS)



<sup>1</sup> Fundamenski W., Militello D., Moulton D., McDonald D.C., Nucl Fusion **52**, 062003 (2012).





## Small and Large ELMs are Seen

- Filament structures observed
  - Coincident with  $D_{\alpha}$  bursts \_\_\_\_
- Small ("Type III") ELMs ubiquitous, less perturbing
  - $P_{OH} \sim P_{LH}$
- Large ("Type I") ELMs infrequent, violent
  - $P_{OH} >> P_{LH}$
  - Can cause H-L back-transition

# Quiescent 0.20







- Measured with near-edge
   magnetics probe
- Type III: A dependent
  - $-A \le 1.4: n \le 1-3$ 
    - PEGASUS and NSTX
  - $A \sim 3: n > 8$
- Type I: A independent
  - Intermediate-n,  $n \sim 4 12$
  - Low and high-A similar, but low-A lower n
- Increased peeling drive at low
   A (higher J<sub>edge</sub>/B) → lower n



<sup>1</sup>Nucl. Fusion **45**, 1066 (2005). <sup>2</sup>Nucl. Fusion **38**, 111 (1998). <sup>3</sup>Nucl. Fusion **52**, 609 (2004).





- Magnetic signature of ELMs have multiple n components
  - Simultaneously unstable modes

- Modes show different time evolutions (isolated with bandpass filter)
  - n = 8 grows continuously
  - n = 6 fluctuates prior to crash

K.E. Thome, PPPL Seminar 2015





#### Type I ELM J<sub>edge</sub>(R,t) Dynamics Measured Throughout Single ELM Cycle

- Complex J<sub>edge</sub>(R,t) evolution
  - 1) Modest but steep pedestal
  - 2) Rapid buildup until crash
  - 3) Collapse: wider pedestal
  - 4) Current-hole filament ejection
  - 5) Recovery: After ELM



 J<sub>edge</sub>(R,t) measurements similar to JOREK MHD<sup>1</sup> simulations

K.E. Thome, PPPL Seminar 2015 <sup>1</sup> Plasma Physics Control. Fusion. **53**, 054014 (2011).



#### Closer Inspection of J<sub>edge</sub> Reveals Complex Dynamic Behavior

 With less spatial smoothing, J<sub>edge</sub>(R,t) evolution through ELM cycle shows complex multimodal behavior

 Challenge: study nonlinear ELM dynamics at Alfvénic timescales





#### These Exploratory H-mode Studies Provide Motivation for Upgraded PEGASUS

- Unique opportunities for nonlinear pedestal and ELM studies
  - Simultaneous measurements of p(R,t), J(R,t),  $v_{\phi}(R,t)$ through ELM cycles
  - Compare to and help validate nonlinear simulations
- ELM modification and mitigation
  - LHI, 3D-Magnetic Perturbation
- Upgrade
  - New Centerstack = longer pulse, higher  $B_T$
  - Comprehensive 3D Magnetic Perturbation
  - Edge Diagnostics with high spatiotemporal resolution









- H-mode achieved in plasma with simple diagnostic access
  - Standard characteristics: pedestal; low  $D_{\alpha}$ ; increased  $\tau_e$ ;  $H_{98} \ge 1$ ; etc.
- Features unique to low-A emerging
  - Strong  $P_{LH}$  threshold scaling with A
  - Little to no difference between limited and diverted H-modes
- Operating regime allows detailed studies of ELMs
  - ELM Mode numbers at low-A systematically lower than high-A
  - J<sub>edge</sub>(R,t) through ELM event shows some correspondence with simulations
- Overall, complements experiments on larger fusion facilities
  - Detailed measurements can elucidate more limited results on larger facilities

