Kinetic equilibrium reconstruction of KSTAR plasmas including internal pitch angle profile measurement

Y.Z. Jiang¹, S.A. Sabbagh¹, Y.-S. Park¹, J.H. Ahn¹, J.W. Berkery¹ and J. Ko²

¹Department of Applied Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA ²National Fusion Research Institute, Daejeon, Korea

NSTX-U Physics Meeting

November 29th, 2017 PPPL, Princeton, NJ





Kinetic equilibrium reconstruction of KSTAR plasmas including internal pitch angle profile has begun

Motivation

Requirement for accurate stability analysis and disruption prediction to support continuous operation of high beta KSTAR tokamak plasmas

- Goals
 - Built accurate kinetic equilibrium reconstruction of KSTAR plasmas

Provided input for initial plasma stability evaluation¹ and disruption event characterization and forecasting (DECAF)²
¹ Y.S. Park, S.A. Sabbagh, J.W. Berkery, et al., Nucl. Fusion 51 (2011) 053001
² S.A. Sabbagh, A.C. Sontag, J.M. Bialek, et al., Nucl. Fusion 46 (2006) 635.



<u>Outline</u>

- 1. Overview of kinetic equilibrium reconstruction
- 2. KSTAR diagnostics and model for kinetic equilibrium reconstructions
- 3. Reconstructed profiles and plasma parameters in various KSTAR operation regimes
- 4. Initial determination of ideal MHD stability
- 5. Collaborative improvement of equilibrium diagnostics



Kinetic equilibrium reconstruction approach methodically improves accuracy

Philosophy

Use best model

- for a given physics model/data set, reliably fit all data within error
- improved model/data set reduces artificial constraint

Provide rapid reconstruction

- between-shots capability
- find constraint set for a given (model/data) pair

Progress to create more accurate equilibria

- more complete physics
- more complete data
- less artificial constraints
- suitable for stability calculations



Y.S. Park, et al., Nucl. Fus. 51 (2011) 053001

EFIT* provides a flexible equilibrium reconstruction

• Solve for (1) poloidal flux, ψ , and (2) toroidal current, J_t

□ that satisfy the GS equation: $\Delta^* \psi = -\mu_0 R J_t(\psi)$, where

 $\Delta^* \psi = \mathsf{R}^2 \nabla \bullet (\nabla \psi / \mathsf{R}^2); \ \mathsf{J}_t = \mathsf{R}\mathsf{p}'(\psi) + \mu_0 \mathsf{ff}'(\psi) / (4\pi^2 \mathsf{R}); \ \mathsf{f}(\psi) = \mathsf{R}\mathsf{B}_t; \ i \equiv \partial / \partial \psi$

that provide a least-squares fit to a set of constraints

- Typical constraints for fit
 - Diagnostic data response from plasma and external coils
 - magnetic (flux loops, I_p, coils, diamagnetic loop, stabilizing plates)
 - P_e from Thomson scattering
 - T_i , V_{ϕ} from charge exchange recomb. spectroscopy (CES)
 - field pitch angle from motional Stark effect data (MSE)

^{*}L. Lao, et al., Nucl. Fusion **25** (1985) 1611 S. Sabbagh, et al, Nucl. Fusion **41** (2001) 1601



<u>Outline</u>

- 1. Overview of kinetic equilibrium reconstruction
- 2. KSTAR diagnostics and model for kinetic equilibrium reconstructions
- 3. Reconstructed profiles and plasma parameters in various KSTAR operation regimes
- 4. Initial determination of ideal MHD stability
- Collaborative improvement of equilibrium diagnostics



KSTAR magnetic diagnostics provide constraints for equilibrium reconstruction (1)

- KSTAR magnetics
 - □ Flux loops (45)
 - Magnetic probe(105)
 - Plasma currents(1)
 - Coil currents(18)
 - Voltage loops(5)
 - Vessel current group (12)

S.A. Sabbagh, et al., Nucl. Fus. **41** (2001) 1601 Y.S. Park, et al., Nucl. Fus. **51** (2011) 053001





KSTAR magnetic diagnostics provide constraints for equilibrium reconstruction (2)

Interior of KSTAR vessel



- Copper passive plates
- In-vessel control coils(IVCC)
- S.A. Sabbagh, et al., Nucl. Fus. **41** (2001) 1601 Y.S. Park, et al., Nucl. Fus. **51** (2011) 053001

Vessel currents required

- Total vessel currents and plasma current
- Vessel / plates broken into 12 groups (poloidally)
- Wall currents determined by local loop voltage data (5 loops)
- Vessel element resistances matched against independent model of vacuum field shots
- Stabilizing plates / divertor plates currents are modelled



Kinetic data supplements magnetics input for KSTAR kinetic equilibrium reconstructions





<u>Motional stark effect data provides constraint</u> <u>to improve accuracy of q-profile</u>



TAR

"Partial kinetic" approach for total pressure to allow greater flexibility in profile shape

- Electron Pressure $P_e \leftarrow 27$ Thomson scattering $(T_e \& N_e)$
- Ion Pressure $P_i \leftarrow 32 \text{ CES} (T_i \& N_i \text{ estimated from } N_e)$
- Fast particle pressure P_{fast} is based on P_e with 100% error bar
- Total pressure $P_{tot} = P_e + "P_i" + "P_{fast}"$ with large total error



Kinetic equilibria has similar global parameters to magnetic-only equilibria



Kinetic equilibrium reconstruction with MSE produces substantial detail in P and q profiles



<u>Outline</u>

- 1. Overview of kinetic equilibrium reconstruction
- 2. KSTAR diagnostics and model for kinetic equilibrium reconstructions
- 3. Reconstructed profiles and plasma parameters in various KSTAR operation regimes
- 4. Initial determination of ideal MHD stability
- Collaborative improvement of equilibrium diagnostics





Kinetic reconstructions were performed on plasmas with high-non-inductive fraction



See J.H. Ahn's talk





Kinetic equilibrium reconstruction of KSTAR plasmas including internal pitch angle profile measurement

Time (s)

K 🏂

Time (s)



Kinetic equilibrium reconstruction of KSTAR plasmas including internal pitch angle profile measurement

Ideal stability of new kinetic equilibria is examined by DCON

ITB

3

0

-3

3

 $-\delta W_{\rm no-wall}$

2

Above no-wall limit

4

🗸 Below

(10₅J)

Stored energy

0

- DCON stability calculations of ideal δW indicate whether plasmas are above or below the no-wall beta limit
- Analysis of new KSTAR kinetic equilibria indicates ideal stability (below no-wall limit) during later phase (as expected)



See also Y.S. Park and J.H. Ahn's talk

5

Time (s)

Plasma total stored energy vs. time

Limited plasma

6

Time (s)

KSTAR

18476

DCON

8

6

10

7

Improvement in confinement is comparable to the H-mode in the discharge



Present kinetic reconstructions will allow more accurate stability determination

- K 🄊

AR





<u>Outline</u>

- 1. Overview of kinetic equilibrium reconstruction
- 2. KSTAR diagnostics and model for kinetic equilibrium reconstructions
- **3.** Reconstructed profiles and plasma parameters in various KSTAR operation regimes
- 4. Initial determination of ideal MHD stability
- 5. Collaborative improvement of equilibrium diagnostics



Kinetic reconstructions will continue to improve by Columbia / PPPL / MIT Collaboration

- Transferred 10 channel MSE (See S.D. Scott poster in 2017 APS DPP meeting) background light polychrometer from C-Mod to KSTAR (4/2017)
 Fitch angle can be troublesom some channels due to neglec
 - Investigating improvement of MSE measurement by background light subtraction
 - Continued calibration improvements through collaborative investigation
- Collaborative effort to further improve Thomson scattering data
- Continued improvement of kinetic equilibrium reconstructions
 - Activate the completed sensor compensation of 3D IVCC coil currents
 - Improved modeling / planned neural net delivery of fast particle pressure P_{fast}





Kinetic equilibrium reconstruction of KSTAR plasmas including MSE data provide critical input for disruption prediction and avoidance research

- Inclusion of key input data
 - Thomson scattering, charge exchange spectroscopy, and allowance for fast particle pressure; motional Stark effect data (25 channels)
 - External magnetics and shaping field currents, vacuum vessel currents, and passive plate currents allowed

Exploration of operational regimes and new physics

- Plasmas with high non-inductive fraction display advanced tokamak profiles, including weak/reversed shear
- Low shear regions found at various levels of q
- Critical input for stability analysis and understanding
 - Continue investigation of weak q shear as possible explanation of tearing mode stability at in higher q vs. lower q regions

Provide key input for disruption prediction, active RWM control in 2018

