Ideas for NSTX Research Forum '03

S. M. Kaye NSTX Research Forum 12 Sept. 2003

Counter-injection

- A tool that can benefit most ETs
 - MHD: Rotation effects on MHD stability
 - T&T: Generate large E_r (reduce L-H threshold power), Rotation effects on core microturbulence, Outward heat pinch (beam-thermal friction, a la Houlberg), High core confinement (improve performance).
 - MHD: Rotation effects on MHD stability
 - **Boundary**: Edge E_r effects on edge turbulence
 - Fast Particles: Beam deposition, heating, importance of nonadiabaticity
 - **RF**: Reverse B_T/I_p for phasing studies
- Large losses are anticipated, even at 1 MA
 - This generates the large E_r
- Operate at max I_p (>1 MA) first to determine actual loss, heat flux to walls
 - Reverse both I_p and B_T
 - Reduce I_p gradually to increase loss and E_r

Intra-Machine Aspect Ratio Scaling (XP was approved)

- Generate equilibria in ratio range of A=1.25 to 2.0 to assess confinement as a function of aspect ratio
 - Investigation in OH and NBI at fixed R, q*, β_{n}, κ
 - Results will help to identify optimal R/a for NSTX c-s upgrade, NSST designs
- At fixed R, q^{*}, κ $\tau_E \propto A^{-1}$ (neo-Alcator scaling) $\tau_E \propto A^{-1.8}$ (L-mode scaling) $\tau_E \propto A^{-3.3}$ (H-mode scaling)
- Fixed R-a: beams miss highest R/a equilibrium
- Fixed R+a: beam losses too high for higher (lower) R/a (I_p)

Fixed R equilibria can be produced, but near PF coil current limits at highest R/a

A	a (cm)	I _p (MA)	κ_x / δ_x	q*/β _n	PF1 (kA)	PF2 (kA)	PF3 (kA)	PF5 (kA)
1.25	67	1.00	2.1/0.3	2.8/2.0				
1.5	57	0.70	2.1/0.3	2.7/2.0	4.2	9.6	-4.6	-7.6
1.65	51	0.55	2.1/0.3	2.6/2.0	6.8	12.4	-4.1	-7.2
1.85	46	0.45	2.1/0.3	2.6/2.0	10.0	17.2	-4.9	-6.6









Issues

Shape production

PF coil currents near limits

- Vertical stability
 - An issue for k=1.9-1.9
 - Cannot run at lower k (required PF coil currents exceed limits)
 - If vertically stable plasmas cannot be produced at R/a=2.0 or 1.75, XP will be abandoned