Modeling of HHFW Current Drive in NSTX using TORIC

P.T. Bonoli, PSFC, MIT S. Kaye, J. Menard, C.K. Phillips, PPPL

> NSTX Results Forum September 9 - 11, 2002

Outline of Talk

- Review full wave adjoint model for computing HHFW current drive
- Simulations of NSTX phasing experiments (Co -, Counter -, and Heating):
 - Results for ICRF power deposition (1-D, 2-D) and driven current density
- Conclusions

Model Description

- Use a full-wave ICRF field solver TORIC
 - [M. Brambilla, Plasma Phys. Cont. Fusion 41, 1 (1999)]
 - Code strictly valid in the ion FLR limit of $(k_{\perp}\rho_i)^2 \ll 1$
 - Run TORIC with an Order Reduction Algorithm (ORA) to obtain correct electric field polarization for the HHFW [see D. Smithe et al. Nucl. Fusion 27, 1319 (1987)].
 - Poloidal field neglected with ORA in TORIC $(B_{\theta} = 0)$
- TORIC employs a spectral representation for E(x):

$$E(x) = \sum_{m,n_{\phi}} E_m^{n_{\phi}}(\rho) \exp(im\theta + in_{\phi}\phi)$$

Model Description

- Full-wave solver has been coupled to an adjoint solution of the Fokker Planck equation:
 - Use parameterization of current drive efficiency $G_{rf}(\rho, \theta)$ due to Ehst and Karney [see Nucl. Fusion **31**, 1933 (1991)].
 - Obtain driven current density by convolving the spectral representation for absorbed power density with current drive efficiency:

$$J_{rf} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \sum_m G_{rf}^m(\rho,\theta) \sum_{m'} S_{rf}^{m,m'}(\rho,\theta)$$

Parameters Used for TORIC Simulations of HHFW Current Drive in NSTX

$$- T_{i} (0) = 1.0 \text{ keV}$$

$$- T_{e} (0) = 1.4 \text{ keV}$$

$$- n_{e} (0) = 1.3 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$$

$$- B_{t} = 0.445 \text{ T}$$

$$- I_{p} = 500 \text{ kA}$$

$$- Z_{eff} = 3.25$$

$$- a = 0.65 \text{ m}$$

$$- R_{0} = 0.89 \text{ m}$$

- Profiles:
 - $n_e \alpha [1 (r/a)^4]^{4.1}$

•
$$T_e \alpha [1 - (r/a)^2]^{2.5}$$

•
$$T_i \alpha [1 - (r/a)^2]^{2.5}$$

- TORIC Grid
 - $N_R = 240$ (Radial elements)
 - $N_m = 31$ (Poloidal Modes)

RF Parameters Used for HHFW Current Drive Simulations in NSTX

- $f_0 = 30 \text{ MHz}$
- Co Phasing
 - Shot 107899
 - $k_{\parallel ANT} = +7.6 \text{ m}^{-1}$
 - $P(n_{\phi} = +12) = 2.1 \text{ MW}$
- Counter Phasing
 - Shot 107907

$$- k_{\parallel ANT} = -7.6 \text{ m}^{-1}$$

$$- P(n_{\phi} = -12) = 1.1 \text{ MW}$$

- Heating Phasing
 - Shot 107906

$$- k_{\parallel ANT} = \pm 14 \text{ m}^{-1}$$

- $P(n_{\phi} = +22) = 0.66 \text{ MW}$ $P(n_{\phi} = -22) = 0.54 \text{ MW}$

$$\frac{P(n_{\phi} = +22)}{P(n_{\phi} = -22)} = 1.25$$

NSTX Co - Phasing $[n_{\phi} = +12 \quad (k_{\parallel ANT} = 7.6 \text{ m}^{-1})]$ Electric Field Solution along Mid-plane



NSTX Co - Phasing $[n_{\phi} = +12 \quad (k_{\parallel ANT} = 7.6 \text{ m}^{-1})]$ 100% Absorption of HHFW via ELD and TTMP



NSTX Co - Phasing $[n_{\phi} = +12 \quad (k_{\parallel ANT} = 7.6 \text{ m}^{-1})]$ Significant Reduction in J_{fw} due to Trapping effect



NSTX Counter - Phasing $[n_{\phi} = -12 \ (k_{\parallel ANT} = -7.6 \ m^{-1})]$ Absorption Profiles Identical to Co-Phase Case $(n_{\phi} = +12)$



NSTX Counter - Phasing $[n_{\phi} = -12 \quad (k_{\parallel ANT} = -7.6 \text{ m}^{-1})]$ J_{fw}(r) and (I/P) identical to Co-Phase case $(n_{\phi} = +12)$



NSTX Heating - Phasing $[n_{\phi} = +22 \ (k_{\parallel ANT} = 14 \ m^{-1})]$ 100% Absorption of HHFW via ELD and TTMP





 $P_{ICRF} = 1.2 \text{ MW}$ $P(n_{\phi} = 22) / P(n_{\phi} = -22) = 1.25$

$$I_{FW} = I_{FW} (n_{\phi} = 22) - I_{FW} (n_{\phi} = -22)$$

= (10.8 - 8.6) kA = 2.2 kA - Htg Phasing

Conclusions

- A combined full-wave and adjoint code was used to simulate HHFW current drive phasing experiments in NSTX:
 - Modeling predicts $I_{fw}(Co-) > I_{fw}(Cntr)$ in qualitative agreement with experiment
 - Reduction in $J_{fw}(r)$ due to trapping effect is significant
- Future work should include poloidal field in full-wave simulations in order to account for toroidal variations in k_{\parallel} due to nonconstancy of m.