## NSTX MIMO SHAPE CONTROLLER DEVELOPMENT

Jim Leuer, General Atomics Presented at NSTX Research Forum, Sept, 9-13, 2002

Researchers Dave Gates & Other NSTX Staff, John Ferron, Dave Humphreys, Jim Leuer, Ben Penaflor & Mike Walker

- Objectives: Develop a Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output (MIMO) plasma shape/ stability controller for NSTX. Develop a flexible tool set for rapid, model-based, multivariable controller development on NSTX.
- Program: **3-Year =>** System model development, Experimental validation, MIMO controller design, Simulation, MIMO algorithm & tool set distribution.
- Needs Machine parameter data, Existing models (e.g. power supplies), Machine time: Year 1-2: 30-40 vacuum response shots, Year 2-3: 20-30 plasma response shots





## **NSTX MIMO BENEFITS**

- Design methods enable highly accurate shape control in presence of disturbances, noise and equilibrium uncertainty.
- Model-based MIMO control exploits knowledge of response of all output control variables to all input actuators - This leads to superior control.
- Design methods provide for robust stability Reduced sensitivity to plasma parameter variations.
- Integrated (MIMO) control is the only practical method for Advanced Tokamak (AT) Operation, which requires simultaneous control of strongly coupled internal profiles and plasma shape.
- Controller development and primary testing can be done off-line.
- Synergistic with DIII-D's AT plasma MIMO control effort. Many tools already developed for DIII-D can be readily applied to NSTX. Tool outputs readily interface to existing PCS architecture.





## NSTX MIMO STRAWMAN MACHINE REQUIREMENTS

- Vacuum Tests: Year 1-2: ( 2-3 shots per circuit + 10 extra => 30 40 shots )
  - Single coil tests PCS Sine wave input at different frequencies (1Hz-1kHz ?)
  - Multiple frequencies per shot (4-5 frequencies/shot ?)
  - Measure response at: PS, coils, sensors => Generate frequency response
  - Validation: power supplies, coil, passive elements & magnetic sensors
  - Extra tests useful for cross coupling & noise from other sources TF coil ...?
  - Need date: Distributed over 2003-2004 Campaigns.
- Plasma Tests: Year 2-3: (~10-20 shots)
  - Vertical, horizontal plasma motion for range of Shape, Beta & I<sub>i</sub>
  - Can be as multiple cases per shot or as piggy-backs at end of discharge.
  - Validation: plasma model, overall system model & controller properties.
  - Need date: Distributed over 2004-2005 Campaigns.





## Preliminary NSTX Geometry in Matlab/Simulink (from EFIT file)







## A Complete Suite of Software Tools Is Available for PCS Development

• <u>Simserver</u>: Emulates the tokamak using shot data or a model based tokamak simulator to provide off-line testing of the PCS

• <u>Tokamak Models</u>: A rich set of linear and non-linear plasma models are available and integrated into our PCS development environment.

• <u>MIMO</u>: Multiple Input Multiple Output controller tools are available for the design of robust shape and profile controllers.

• <u>Tokamak Simulator</u>: A relatively complete model of a Tokamak machine (based on DIII-D) has been developed which can be generated as a stand alone Simserver which connects to the actual multi-processor PCS to allow emulation of an actual tokamak machine.

• <u>PCS Simulator</u>: A software model of the multi-processor, real time PCS hardware/software is available for simulation of the control algorithms within the PCS. It can be connected by sockets to the <u>Tokamak Simulator</u> for a complete off-line simulation of the Tokamak/PCS.





### ADVANCED CONTROLLERS AND MODEL BASED SIMULATORS PROVIDE FRAMEWORK FOR ADVANCED TOKAMAK DEVELOPMENT AND OPERATION

#### Advanced shape and profile controllers

- o Multiple-Input-Mulitple-Output (MIMO) model based design
- o Fully integrated with the plasma control system
- o Design and testing can be done off-line
- o Design of controllers for next generation machines

# Complete simulators for tokamak system response

- o Models power supplies, coils and plasma o Enables optimization of high performance controllers
- o Allows emulation of next generation tokamaks











**Linear Plasma Response Model Based on Force Balance Relations** 

• Simple radial force balance:

$$B_{z_m}^{appl} = B_z^{Shafranov} = -\frac{\mu_0 I_p}{4\pi R_m} \left( ln \left[ \frac{8R_m}{a\sqrt{\kappa}} \right] + \frac{2\kappa}{\kappa^2 + 1} \beta_p + \frac{\ell}{2} - 1.5 \right)$$

• Simple vertical force balance:

$$\mathbf{0} = -2\pi\mathbf{R_m}\mathbf{I_{p_o}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B_r}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \, \delta \mathbf{z} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{M_{pc}}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \, \delta \mathbf{I_c} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F_z}}{\partial \beta_p} \, \delta \beta_p + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F_z}}{\partial \ell_i} \, \delta \ell_i$$

• Circuit equation:

$$\mathbf{M}_{ss} \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{I}_{s}}{\mathbf{d}t} + \mathbf{R}_{s}\mathbf{I}_{s} + \mathbf{I}_{p0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_{sp}}{\partial \mathbf{z}_{m}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{m}}{\partial \mathbf{I}_{s}} \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{I}_{s}}{\mathbf{d}t} + \mathbf{I}_{p0} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_{sp}}{\partial \mathbf{R}_{m}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_{m}}{\partial \mathbf{I}_{s}} \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{I}_{s}}{\mathbf{d}t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}_{sp}}{\partial \mathbf{I}_{p}} \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{I}_{p}}{\mathbf{d}t} = \mathbf{V}_{s}$$

- State space model:
  - $\dot{\mathbf{I}} = [\mathbf{A}] \mathbf{I} + [\mathbf{B}] \mathbf{V}$  $\Psi = [\mathbf{C}] \mathbf{I} + [\mathbf{D}] \mathbf{V}$

• The plasma is modeled as a distributed current source and linearized about a distribution defined by the GA Equilibrium Fitting code (EFIT)





## **Comprehensive Development Tools are Available for Controller Design**

- Multivariable controllers are used for tokamak control based on a rigorous, model based design methodology using a dynamic state space MIMO structure.
- Tools and models are integrated with the PCS, and applicable to other machines.





ATOMICS

## MIMO CONTROLLER, OPTIMIZED FOR X-POINT CONTROL, SHOWS EXCELLENT DYNAMIC PERFORMANCE

- Results of 1st MIMO experiments on DIII-D ('99) were very successful.
- X-point control was emphasized in the MIMO design (higher weights). This produced excellent control of the X-point location
- Vertical/shape control interactions and lesser design emphasis on \_\_\_\_\_\_ other shape parameters led to reduced control accuracy.
- Subsequent work has resolved vertical/shape control conflicts. (SOFT 2002)





RAL ATOM

#### MIMO Controller Linearized About a Single Point Controls an Entire DIII-D Discharge

