Supported by





XP408: Toroidal Rotation Damping **Physics in NSTX**

Wubiao Zhu

Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics

Columbia University

NSTX Result Review

Sept 20, 2004

Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** NYU ORNL **PPPL PSI SNL** UC Davis **UC Irvine UCLA** UCSD **U** Maryland **U New Mexico U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin Culham Sci Ctr Hiroshima U HIST Kyushu Tokai U Niigata U Tsukuba U **U** Tokyo JAERI loffe Inst TRINITI KBSI KAIST ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP, Garching U** Quebec





Rotation Damping Physics Investigated with <u>a Variety of Models</u>

Motivation

- Rotation damping impedes achievement of high plasma beta
- Comparison of theory and experiment can give critical understanding of rotation damping

Outline

- Theoretical models of rotation damping
- Quantitative comparison of theory to experiment





NTV applied to edge



Rotation Evolution Equation used for <u>Experimental Comparison</u> $\left[\left(\partial \Omega_{i}\right), \Omega_{i} - \Omega_{i}\right]$

$$\rho R^{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \Omega_{\phi}}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\Omega_{\phi} - \Omega_{\phi 0}}{\tau_{v}} \right] = T_{\text{damping}} = T_{\text{NTV}} + T_{J \times B}$$

Resonant EM force on island (R. Fitzpatrick, et al.)

Couple of island with static error field and NSTX conducting wall

$$T_{\varphi EM_{err}} = 4\pi^2 R_0 \frac{r_s^2}{\mu_0} \frac{n}{m} \delta B_{r_island} \delta B_{r_error_field} | \times Fac_{shielding} \delta B_{r_error_field} | \times Fac_{shielding} \delta B_{r_island} | \delta B_$$

Inertia term and rotation relaxation term

C Rotation relaxation time τ_v (use energy confinement time)

Resonant JâB Torque Matches Local Small Saturated Island Rotation Damping





Non-resonant NTV Physics Model Matches the Measured Global Damping Profile during RWM





Quantitative Agreement between NTV Theory for Large 1/1 Mode and Measurement



- Blue: Calculated torque density
- Red: Measured rotation damping profile

NTV torque applied to entire plasma
Parabolic δb, inside rational surface
80 G at center¹

Mode rotating

Doppler shift

- Resonant EM torque applied at rational surface
 - 30G at rational surface¹

Momentum transfer across q=1

¹ J. Menard, ICC workshop, 2004

Quantitative Agreement within a Factor of 3 over Many Shots



Electromagnetic Torque Alone Too Small to Quantitatively Explain Global Damping



- Assume only EM torque in plasma core
- Constant δb_r within q=1 rational surface
- □ Required δb_r for best fit is ~1550 G



Edge Rotation Damping by ELM is Insignificant



- Edge rotation decreases while plasma in the core speeds up
- Rotation recovers after each ELM
- Repetitive ELMs can clamp edge rotation
- No other low frequency modes (NTM, RWM, etc.) during ELM







Rotation Damping Explained by Resonant and Non-resonant Physics Mechanisms

- Resonant mode interaction with NSTX conducting wall in reasonable quantitative agreement with local rotation evolution near rational surface
- Non-resonant NTV model estimate in good quantitative agreement with measured global damping in RWM and 1/1 mode plasmas, as well as local damping in ELMing plasma
- Electromagnetic drag alone is too weak to cause fast global damping

Future work

- Better RWM growth and rotation control (new active coils)
- Acquire more CHERS data before plasma disrupts



Supporting Slides Follow



NTV Theory Predicts Global Characteristic of RWM



To be compared with ideal MHD code result





Onset Time of 1/1 Mode Consistent with Core Rotation Damping



– NSTX

Edge Rotation Damping by ELM is Insignificant



