



# **Aqua-pour Implications for NSTX-U Operations and Research Goals**

*Columbia U CompX General Atomics FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Nova Photonics New York U ORNL PPPL Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. UC Davis UC Irvine UCLA UCSD U Colorado U Illinois U Maryland U Rochester U Washington U Wisconsin*

**Masa Ono and Jon Menard**

*for the NSTX-U Team*

**NSTX-U Team Meeting August 15, 2014**



*Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U U Tokyo JAEA Tsukuba U Hebrew U Ioffe Inst RRC Kurchatov Inst TRINITI NFRI KAIST POSTECH SNU ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich IPP, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep*

Office of

Science

*NSTX-U* **NSTX-U Team Meeting August 15, 2014**



• **Operational Implications (M. Ono)**

• **Research Program Implications (J. Menard)**



# **OH Aquapour Removal Activity**

**Removal of Aquapour-epoxy mix in tight space proved to be highly challenging** 



**100 mill Aquapour gap**

- **During VPI CTD-425 epoxy was cured at ~ 170**°**C in oven**
- **Epoxy "barriers" were teflon sheets over aquapour and RTV silicon applied at the both ends.**
- **However during VPI, epoxy saturated the aquapour turning it into waster resistant substance.**
- •**~ two week of removal activities with various tools and methods only resulted in ~ 3" of removal.**
- **At the present time, there is no solution for removing the remaining aquapour- epoxy mixed material.**
- **TF/OH bundle was baked at 100** °**C in the oven overnight and it is being readied for electrical tests before being assembled.**

#### **Schematics of OH-TF bundle configuration**

**100 mill gap between OH and TF to provide free OH-TF operation**



### **Strategy for Achieving Full NSTX-U Parameters**

**After CD-4, the plasma operation could quickly access new ST regimes**



1<sup>st</sup> year goal: operating points with forces up to  $\frac{1}{2}$  the way between NSTX and NSTX-U, ½ the design-point heating of any PF/TF coil (~75% for OH) Will permit up to  $\sim$ 5 second operation at B<sub>T</sub> $\sim$ 0.65

2<sup>nd</sup> year goal: Full field and current, but still limiting the PF/TF coil heating Will revisit year 2 parameters once year 1 data has been accumulated

3<sup>rd</sup> year goal: Full capability

**This scenario most likely to be affected**

**1st and 2nd year goals not affected materially (see Jon's slides).**

#### **2 MA, 1 T, Partial Inductive (Later Years, 80 kV beams) (Favorable Profiles and H~1.05, 142301)**

• **If we do nothing, allowed temperature limit reached at t = 3 s.**



• **10% pre-heat and 7**°**C TF pre-cooling case allows 5 s at 1 T and 2 MA.**



*NSTX-U* **NSTX-U Team Meeting August 15, 2014**

### **Stress Analyses for 2 MA, 1 T, Partial Inductive Case Warmer OH and cooler TF help reduce peak tension**





## **OH Solenoid Thermal Growth Sensors Implemented FOD sensors will monitor OH solenoid growth in real time**

Two Fiber Optic Displacement (FOD) sensors to be installed at 180° apart.

The fixtures can be installed now and the sensors will be installed after the center stack is installed.





**E-DC1887, C-DC1888 and E-DC1889**

## **Possible Future Engineering Improvements Which could increase operational and physics flexibility**

- **1. Through engineering test specimens, it may be shown that the stress concerns may be greatly reduced or entirely eliminated.**
- **2. Raise the OH operational allowable temperature limit to 110 <sup>ᵒ</sup> C from the present 100 <sup>ᵒ</sup> C**
- **3. Consider pre-cooling TF by ~5 <sup>ᵒ</sup> C from present 12 <sup>ᵒ</sup> C to 7 <sup>ᵒ</sup> C.**
- **4. Consider pre-heating the OH coil by increasing the OH cooling water temperature**
- **5. Delay OH cooling during the initial OH-TF cool- down period to insure the TF coil cools down sufficiently.**

**It should be noted that if potential solutions 1 and/or 2 above are proven to be feasible, then the need for the further engineering system implementation indicated in 3 - 5 would be greatly reduced or eliminated entirely.**



### **J(r) equilibration varies most strongly with n/n<sub>Greenwald</sub> and H<sub>98</sub>** and weakly with I<sub>P</sub>, flux consumption depends on flat-top I<sub>P</sub>



**CO NSTX-U August 15, 2014** 

**Physics requirement to achieve J profile equilibration at**  $I_p$  **= 2MA should be feasible for broad range of**  $\tau_F$ **, n<sub>e</sub>** 







**OH** flux fraction <  $0.7 \rightarrow I_{OH} > -10kA$  for  $\Delta t_{flat} = 3\tau_{CR} \rightarrow$ **possible to achieve**  $T_{TF}$  **<**  $T_{OH}$  **< 100C** 

**For ITER-like confinement, good density control**   $(n/n_{Greenwald} \sim 0.5)$  facilitates 2MA, 5s flat-tops with  $T_{OH} \geq T_{TF}$ 

> $H_{98} = 1.05$  $f_{\text{Greenwald}} = 0.6$  $P_{NBI} = 8MW, \beta_N = 3.8$

 $H_{98} = 1.05$  $f_{\text{Greenwald}} = 0.5$  $P_{NB1} = 8MW, \beta_N = 3.7$ 



#### **Higher confinement enables 2MA, 5s flat-tops**  with  $T_{OH} \geq T_{TF}$  even with higher density



**CO NSTX-U August 15, 2014** 

### **Summary – Research Impact**

- With a high degree of confidence, we believe we can meet all of the physics objectives in the machine with the Aquament filling the TF-OH gap
- Research milestones for FY2015-16 unchanged
- More shot pre-planning and development needed to get maximum plasma pulse length at full current
	- Planned improvements in stored energy /  $\beta_N$  control, and implementation of line-average density control (likely requiring cryopump) will greatly aid shot reproducibility, scenario development
- Can readily meet each of the PEP parameters individually
	- Combination of parameters (2MA, 1T, 5s) may require additional engineering systems (initial ∆T for OH and TF) and administrative controls (operational procedures), DCPS modifications
- Planning to get a 10C T initial differential between the OH and TF with TF initially colder. Evaluating details of impact.

# **Discussion of options and risks/benefits**

- 1. Continue as is (our recommended option)
	- No down-side for first 2 years of operations
	- Performance acceptable for physics goals and for year ≥ 3
	- Narrower operating windows for 2MA, 5s operations
		- Improved density/confinement control can likely mitigate this
		- Higher  $\tau_F$  scenarios beneficial (also needed for FNSF!)
- 2. Keep trying to remove the Aquament (mostly risks)
	- More delays to first plasma, research operations
	- No guarantee can be removed in near future
	- Potential for causing damage to the coils
- 3. Remove the OH and try again (mostly risks)
	- Tremendous delays in schedule and cost impact
	- No guarantee of an alternative method succeeding (R&D)
- Continuing with Assembly; expect first plasma before the end of February
- Start-up in Feb would allow between 12-16 run weeks
- Additional engineered systems and administrative controls not needed for CD-4 or first 1-2 of yrs of ops
	- Evaluating best method for T differential control. As part of that, exploring ways to control humidity in the test cell.
- Will continue to evaluate options in the longer-term for removing the Aquament

