

Supported by



# Investigation of electron gyro-scale fluctuations in the National Spherical Torus Experiment



#### **David Smith**

Advisor: Ernesto Mazzucato

Final public oral exam February 26, 2009

#### Investigation of electron gyro-scale fluctuations in the National Spherical Torus Experiment

- Transport in NSTX and ETG turbulence
- The NSTX collective scattering system
- Analysis tools
  - Ray tracing calculations
  - Linear gyrokinetic calculations
- Fluctuation measurements and analysis
  - Enhanced fluctuations and the ETG critical gradient
  - Reduced fluctuations, ETG growth rates, and E×B flow shear
  - Fluctuations and transport
  - Fluctuation magnitudes and k-spectra
- Future work and summary





#### NSTX is well-suited to investigate the connection between ETG turbulence and electron thermal transport

- Turbulence & transport in NSTX
  - Large E×B flow shear with NBI → inferred ITG/TEM suppression (no direct evidence) → ion thermal transport is near neoclassical in H-mode (Kaye et al, NF, 2007 & PRL, 2007)
  - Electron thermal transport remains anomalous  $\rightarrow$  what is the mechanism?
- Electron temperature gradient (ETG) turbulence
  - ETG modes can be linearly unstable with growth rates exceeding E×B flow shear rates
  - NL GK simulations predict experimentallyrelevant electron thermal transport for ŝ > 0.4 for typical tokamak parameters (Nevins et al, PoP 2006)
  - Electron gyro-scale fluctuations  $\rightarrow k_{\perp} \rho_e \lesssim 1$
  - Propagate in electron diamagnetic direction







#### ETG turbulence can generate greater normalized transport than ITG turbulence due to a weak secondary instability



For nonlinear ETG dynamics, the ion response weakens the secondary Kelvin-Helmholtz instability and  $\rho_e$ -scale zonal flows

Consequently, ETG turbulence can saturate at higher normalized amplitudes and generate greater normalized transport than ITG turbulence for typical tokamak parameters



$$\frac{\chi_e^{etg}}{\chi_e^{gB}} \le 15$$

F. Jenko et al, PoP 2001 W. Nevins et al, PoP, 2006



#### Collective scattering measures density fluctuations with spatial and k-space localization



3-wave coupling among 2 high-frequency EM waves and 1 low-frequency plasma fluctuation



k-matching:  $\vec{k_s} = \vec{k_i} + \vec{k}$ Bragg condition:  $k = 2k_i \sin(\theta_s/2)$ k-space resolution:  $\Delta k = 2/a$ frequency matching:  $\omega_s = \omega_i + \omega$ high-freq EM waves:  $\omega_i, \omega_s >> \omega$ 



# The NSTX collective scattering system measures fluctuations up to $k_{\perp}\rho_{e}\approx$ 0.6

- 280 GHz collective scattering system
- Five detection channels
  - $k_{\perp}$  spectrum for up to five discrete  $k_{\perp}$ 
    - $k_{\perp}\rho_{e} \lesssim 0.6$  and  $k_{\perp} \lesssim 20 \ cm^{\text{-1}}$
  - $-\omega$  spectrum from time-domain sampling
    - 7.5 MS/s  $\rightarrow$  f  $\leq$  3.25 MHz
  - Heterodyne detection
- Tangential scattering
  - Beams nearly on equatorial midplane
    - Sensitive to radial fluctuations
  - Toroidal curvature enhances spatial localization along probe beam,
     ΔL ~ 10 cm
  - Radial localization,  $\Delta R \sim \pm 2.5$  cm
- Steerable optics
  - Scattering volume can be positioned throughout the outer half-plasma





### Steerable optics enable good radial coverage; toroidal curvature enhances spatial localization



E. Mazzucato, PoP, 2003 E. Mazzucato, PPCF, 2006



## **Scattering system hardware**



- BWO source
  - ~200 mW at 280 GHz
- Overmoded, corrugated waveguide
  - low-loss transmission
  - delivers ~100 mW for PB
- Probe & receiving beams
  - quasi-optically coupled with
    5 cm dia. waist
- Heterodyne receiver
  - five channels
  - two mixing stages
  - quadrature detection with
     7.5 MHz bandwidth
  - reference signal from BWO
    - D. R. Smith et al, RSI, 2008



# Ray tracing calculations optimize configurations and provide measurement parameters



#### Measurement parameters

	Ch. 2	Ch. 3	Ch. 4	Ch. 5
r/a	0.27	0.28	0.29	0.30
d <sub>min</sub> (cm)	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
k <sub>∥</sub> (cm⁻¹)	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0
k <sub>r</sub> (cm⁻¹)	6.9	11.0	14.6	17.8
k <sub>θ</sub> (cm⁻¹)	-1.6	-3.4	-4.4	-5.5
k <sub>⊥</sub> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	7.1	11.5	15.2	18.6
k <sub>θ</sub> /k <sub>r</sub>	0.23	0.30	0.30	0.31
$k_{\perp}\rho_{e}$	0.23	0.38	0.51	0.62
$k_{\perp}\rho_{s}$	14	22	30	37
k <sub>⊤</sub> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.4	-0.7	-1.2	-1.3
f <sub>D</sub> (MHz)	-1.0	-1.8	-3.0	-3.3

Alignment

Ion/electron drift direction

ETG scale

Doppler shift



### Linear GS2 calculations provide ETG growth rates and critical gradients

GS2 is an initial value, flux tube code that evolves the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations



#### Toroidal rotation from NBI (co-I<sub>p</sub>) produces a Doppler shift in fluctuation spectra toward the ion drift direction





**NSTX** 

### Enhanced fluctuations observed in core region of high-Te L-mode plasma

#### 5.5 kG, 600 kA, 1.2 MW HHFW, **R=119 cm, r/a≈0.28**





# Enhanced fluctuations occur when ⊽Te is comparable to the ETG critical gradient





February 26, 2009 13

#### Enhanced fluctuations observed at mid-radii in NBI-heated H-mode plasma

4.5 kG, 700 kA, 4 MW NBI, R=133 cm, r/a≈0.55





## Near ETG marginal stability, fluctuation amplitudes decrease when the E×B shear rate exceeds the ETG growth rate



D. R. Smith et al, submitted to PRL



#### E×B shear rate is larger at higher B<sub>T</sub>, yet enhanced fluctuations are still observed

5.5 kG, 700 kA, 4 MW NBI, R=133 cm, r/a≈0.55



## Near ETG marginal stability, fluctuation amplitudes decrease when the ETG growth rate drops below the E×B shear rate





# Enhanced fluctuations observed near magnetic axis in NBI-heated H-mode plasma

4.5 kG, 700 kA, 4 MW NBI, R=113 cm, r/a≈0.15





### Enhanced fluctuations occur while the ETG mode is linearly stable



Turbulence spreading from outer plasma to core?



# Fluctuation amplitudes decrease at higher $B_T$ with similar E×B shear rates, ETG growth rates, and $\nabla$ Te



Note that the 4.5 kG case exhibits the largest difference between E×B shear rates and ETG growth rates.



Final public oral exam

## Electron thermal diffusivity decreases when fluctuation amplitudes increase



Observation suggests fluctuations simply respond to local plasma conditions without generating transport



## Fluctuation magnitudes and wavenumber spectral exponents

5.5 kG discharges 124885 @ R=111-115 cm 124889 @ R=131-135 cm



k - spectrum :  $|\delta n_e(k_r)/n_e|^2 \propto k_r^{-\alpha}$ 



#### Fluctuation magnitudes are in order-of-magnitude agreement with NL GK simulations for typical tokamak parameters



- Spectral exponent is α = 4.6 near magnetic axis and α = 2.8 at midradius (Δα ≈ 0.5–0.6)
- ETG simulations with GYRO predict  $|\delta n_e(k_r)/n_e|^2 \approx 10^{-10} 10^{-11}$  for  $k_r \rho_s \approx 10 20$ , but spectral resolution is about 10× greater; synthetic diagnostics needed (R. Waltz et al, PoP 2007)

#### Ideas to extend high-k measurements and analysis

- Nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations
  - Saturation amplitudes
  - Turbulence spreading into core
  - Synthetic diagnostics
- ETG isotropy in  $k_r k_{\theta}$  plane
  - Adjust vertical position of scattering volume to vary  $k_r/k_{\theta}$
  - Radial streamers
  - Unique capability for NSTX; XP submitted 2/23/09
- Mode coupling coefficients
  - Mode coupling is necessary for turbulence
  - Calculate mode coupling coefficients with bicoherence analysis (see Itoh et al, PoP, 2005)
  - Unique capability for NSTX
- Low-k fluctuation measurements with BES
  - Coupling between low-k ITG and high-k ETG
  - ETG saturation via ion-scale zonal flows

### **Contributions to the NSTX program**

- Contributions to the design, fabrication, and installation of the NSTX collective scattering system
  - Quasi-optical design
  - Waveguide and mirror placement
  - Steerable exit window mirrors
- Extensive alignment and calibration activities
  - Alignment mappings with FaroArm measurements
  - Power and frequency response calibrations
- Computational tools used by other NSTX team members
  - Ray tracing code
  - GS2 tools (~16k linear GS2 runs, ~400k CPU-hrs, ~50 CPU-yrs)
- Key role in meeting NSTX milestones
  - R(06-1) in FY06 and R(07-1) in FY07
- 3 invited talks, 2 RSI papers, 1 PRL submitted, and 1 APS-DPP invited paper in prep for PoP
  - plus contributions to invited talks and papers by Ernesto and Howard

### **Summary of physics results**

- In L-mode plasmas, enhanced fluctuation amplitudes occur when ∇T<sub>e</sub> is comparable to or exceeds the ETG critical gradient
- At mid-radii in H-mode plasmas with large toroidal rotation, fluctuation amplitudes decrease when the E×B shear rate exceeds the ETG growth rate
- Near the magnetic axis in H-mode plasmas, enhanced fluctuations were observed without unstable ETG modes
- For similar ∇T<sub>e</sub>, ETG growth rates, and E×B shear rates, fluctuation amplitudes decrease at higher B<sub>T</sub> at mid-radii in H-mode plasmas
- Transport analysis fails to establish a correlation between larger fluctuation amplitudes and higher electron thermal diffusivity
- Fluctuation magnitudes,  $|\delta n_e(k_r)/n_e|^2 \approx 10^{-9} 10^{-8}$ , are within order-of magnitude agreement with NL GK simulations for typical tokamak parameters
- Wavenumber spectral exponents are in the range  $\alpha = 2.8 4.6$  in H-mode plasmas



## **Acknowledgements**

Ernesto Mazzucato (advisor), Hyeon Park (prior co-advisor), Woochang Lee, Cynthia Phillips, Stan Kaye, Dave Mikkelson, Howard Yuh, Ron Bell, Ben LeBlanc, Bob Kaita, Greg Hammett, Phil Efthimion, Mike Bell, Russ Feder, John Trafalski, Barbara Sarfaty, Jon Menard, Masa Ono, Luc Peterson, Mark Normberg, and many others



#### **Backup slides**



## Again, electron thermal diffusivity decreases when fluctuation amplitudes increase



#### Similar magnitudes and exponents at lower TF

4.5 kG discharges 124887 @ R=111-115 cm 124888 @ R=131-135 cm



