

Supported by



## HHFW Heating and Current Drive Modeling Results for NSTX H-Mode Plasmas\*

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL **PSI Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC Irvine** UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** 

#### **Gary Taylor**<sup>1</sup>

P. T. Bonoli<sup>2</sup>, R. W. Harvey<sup>3</sup>, J. C. Hosea<sup>1</sup>,
E. F. Jaeger<sup>4</sup>, B. P. LeBlanc<sup>1</sup>, C. K. Phillips<sup>1</sup>,
P. M. Ryan<sup>4</sup>, E. J. Valeo<sup>1</sup>, J. R. Wilson<sup>1</sup>,
J. C. Wright<sup>2</sup>, and the NSTX Team

<sup>1</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA
 <sup>2</sup>MIT Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Cambridge, MA, USA
 <sup>3</sup>CompX, La Jolla, CA, USA
 <sup>4</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

\*Work supported by US DoE contracts DE-AC02-09CH11466 and DE-AC-05-00OR22725

US-Japan RF Plasma Physics Workshop Toba, Mie Prefecture, Japan, February 8-9, 2011

Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kvushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP. Jülich IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

Science

# HHFW heating and current drive (CD) are being developed on NSTX for non-inductive ramp-up, bulk heating and q(0) control



- Two major roles for HHFW heating and CD in NSTX:
  - Enable fully non-inductive plasma current (I<sub>p</sub>) ramp-up through Bootstrap CD (BSCD) and RFCD generated during early HHFW H-mode
  - Provide bulk electron heating during the I<sub>p</sub> flat top, when the discharge is in H-Mode and also heated by neutral-beam injection (NBI)

# NSTX HHFW research in 2008-10 was focused on studying HHFW & HHFW+NBI deuterium H-modes at low and high $I_p$

- Near-term approach to assess HHFW heating during ramp-up has been to heat low  $I_p$  ohmic plasmas and access 100% non-inductive CD ( $f_{\rm NI} \sim 1$ )
- Experiments at low I<sub>p</sub> (~ 300 kA) last year produced sustained HHFW H-mode with  $f_{NI} \sim 0.65$ , even though arc-free  $P_{RF} \le 1.4$  MW [Phil Ryan's talk]
- Experiments at high  $I_p$  (0.7 1 MA) in 2008-9 produced significant bulk electron heating when HHFW was coupled to NBI H-mode plasmas:
  - HHFW acceleration of NBI fast-ions produced enhanced fast-ion losses during HHFW heating
  - Conducted extensive studies of HHFW heating and edge power loss mechanisms during high I<sub>p</sub> ELMy and ELM-free H-modes [Joel Hosea's talk]
- This talk presents deposition and CD results for H-mode plasmas heated by HHFW and HHFW+NBI at  $I_p$  = 300 kA, and HHFW+NBI at  $I_p$  = 900 kA

- RF Modeling Codes
- Low I<sub>p</sub> H-mode Modeling Results
- High I<sub>p</sub> H-mode Modeling Results
- Summary & 2011-12 Plans



- Low I<sub>p</sub> H-mode Modeling Results
- High I<sub>p</sub> H-mode Modeling Results
- Summary & 2011-12 Plans

# GENRAY ray tracing code calculates the HHFW power deposition and RF-driven current profile

- GENRAY is an all-waves general ray tracing code for RF wave propagation and absorption in the geometrical optics approximation
- GENRAY outputs ray trajectory and absorption data to other codes
- Recently, an all-frequencies, linear, momentum conserving CD calculation has been added to GENRAY (GENRAY/ADJ-QL)
  - The CD calculation utilizes an adjoint (ADJ) approach based on the relativistic Coulomb Fokker-Planck collision operator and the relativistic quasi-linear (QL) flux

#### TRANSP-TORIC code provides a time-dependent calculation of the HHFW power deposition and CD profile

- TORIC full-wave RF code has been integrated into the TRANSP plasma transport code
- TORIC solves the kinetic wave equation in a 2-D axisymmetric equilibrium
- Solves for a fixed frequency with a linear plasma response
- Present implementation of TORIC in TRANSP can model HHFW deposition but cannot evolve the fast-ion energy distribution self consistently:
  - As a result, the neutron rate (S<sub>n</sub>) calculated by TRANSP-TORIC reflects the beam-target reactions for the NBI fast-ions without HHFW acceleration

#### CQL3D Fokker-Planck code can predict the RF-driven current and the wave field acceleration of the NBI fast-ions

- CQL3D is a relativistic collisional, quasi-linear, 3-D code which solves a bounced-averaged Fokker Planck equation:
  - Uses the ray trajectories and absorption input from GENRAY to calculate the RF power deposition and CD profile
  - > CQL3D also computes wave field effects on the fast-ions & predicts S<sub>n</sub>
- Using input data from TRANSP at a particular time-of-interest (TOI), CQL3D can be "run to equilibrium" in order to estimate S<sub>n</sub>
- CQL3D currently provides two fast-ion loss calculation options:
  - "No loss" (NL) option, which assumes zero ion gyroradius and banana width
  - "Simple-banana-loss" (SBL) calculation which assumes that any ion which has a gyroradius + banana width > than the distance to the last closed flux surface (LCFS) is promptly lost

### RF Modeling Codes

## ➡ Low I<sub>p</sub> H-mode Modeling Results

- High I<sub>p</sub> H-mode Modeling Results
- Summary & 2011-12 Plans

# Achieved sustained $I_p$ = 300 kA HHFW H-mode, with internal transport barrier (ITB) and $T_e(0)$ = 3 keV with $P_{RF}$ = 1.4 MW

- Experiments in 2005 did not maintain RF coupling during  $I_p = 250$  kA HHFW H-mode due to poor plasma position control at L-H transitions
- Sustained HHFW H-mode at  $I_p \sim 300$  kA in 2010 made possible by reduced plasma control system latency:
  - ITB formed during H-mode



#### GENRAY-ADJ predicts peaked RF deposition on electrons and 115 kA/MW RFCD efficiency, assuming no coupling loss





HHFW Heating and CD Modeling Results for NSTX H-Mode Plasmas (Taylor)

NSTX

**US-Japan RF Workshop** 

### 80% of the non-inductive current is generated inside the ITB in the I<sub>p</sub> = 300 kA HHFW H-mode



#### <u>TORIC-TRANSP modeling for $\eta_{eff}$ = 100%:</u>

HHFW H-Mode	
I <sub>BS</sub> (kA)	130 kA
I <sub>RF</sub> (kA)	70 kA
f <sub>NI</sub>	0.65

For  $n_{eff} = 60\%$ 

 Motional Stark Effect – Laser Induced Fluorescence (MSE-LIF) diagnostic will allow current profile measurements during HHFW H-modes in 2011-12

#### Coupling $P_{RF}$ = 1.4 MW into $I_p$ = 300kA $P_{NBI}$ = 2 MW H-mode resulted in lower $f_{NI}$ than the $I_p$ = 300kA HHFW H-mode

- Density increased during HHFW heating probably due to fast-ion interaction with the antenna
- Much lower T<sub>e</sub>(0) and higher n<sub>e</sub>(0) than HHFW H-mode resulted in lower
   → I<sub>RFCD</sub> ~ 10-20 kA
- 50% of injected NBI fast-ions are promptly lost at this low I<sub>p</sub>
- $I_{Bootstrap} = 60-90 \text{ kA}, I_{NBICD} = 50-70 \text{ kA}$
- $\eta_{eff}$  was only ~ 40%:
  - ➢ high n<sub>edge</sub> ~ 1-2 x10<sup>12</sup> m<sup>-3</sup> (n<sub>crit</sub> ~ 5x10<sup>11</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>), probably caused more surface wave loss



#### 40% of coupled RF power accelerates NBI fast-ions which are then promptly lost from the plasma



# HHFW heating of I<sub>p</sub> = 300 kA NBI H-mode produces a small increase in f<sub>NI</sub>, due to increased I<sub>Bootstrap</sub>

#### TORIC-TRANSP modeling for $\eta_{eff}$ = 100%:



- RF Modeling Codes
- Low I<sub>D</sub> H-mode Modeling Results



- ➡ High I<sub>p</sub> H-mode Modeling Results
  - Summary & 2011-12 Plans

#### Compare two closely matched I<sub>p</sub> = 900 kA ELM-free H-mode plasmas: NBI+HHFW and NBI



- $I_P = 900 \text{ kA}, B_T = 0.55 \text{ T}, P_{NBI} = 2 \text{ MW}, P_{RF} = 1.9 \text{ MW}, k_{||} = 13 \text{ m}^{-1}$
- Benign MHD activity in both plasmas
- MSE q profiles unavailable
- Times-of-interest (TOI) 0.248 s and 0.315 s



- Identical  $T_e$  and  $n_e$  H-mode profiles before HHFW power onset
- Broad T<sub>e</sub> profile increase during HHFW heating, n<sub>e</sub> profile remains unchanged and plasma stayed in H-mode

## TRANSP-TORIC predicts ~ 50% of $P_{RF}$ coupled to $I_p$ = 900 kA ELM-free NBI H-mode absorbed inside LCFS

- Fraction of P<sub>RF</sub> absorbed within LCFS (f<sub>A</sub>) obtained from TRANSP-calculated electron stored energy:
  - $W_{eX}$  from HHFW+NBI H-mode
  - $W_{\rm eR}-$  from matched NBI H-mode
  - $W_{eP}-$  using  $\chi_{e}$  from NBI H-mode to predict  $T_{e}$  in HHFW+NBI H-mode
- $\eta_{eff} = (W_{eX} W_{eR}) / (W_{eP} W_{eR}) = 0.53 \pm 0.07$
- TORIC used to calculate the power absorbed by electrons (P<sub>eP</sub>) assuming 100% RF plasma absorption
- Electron absorption,  $P_{eA} = \eta_{eff} \times P_{eP}$ For  $P_{RF} = 1.9$  MW:
  - 0.7 MW letter
  - 0.3 MW ions



#### CQL3D code predicts significant fast-ion losses in I<sub>p</sub> = 900 kA ELM-free HHFW+NBI H-modes

 Without fast-ion loss CQL3D predicts much higher neutron production rate (S<sub>n</sub>) than is measured



• 
$$f_{NI} \sim 0.3 \ (I_{Bootstrap} = 180 \text{ kA}, \ [I_{RFCD} + I_{NBICD}] = 60 \text{ kA})$$

# Fast-ion diagnostic measures no change in fast-ion density during HHFW heating, consistent with CQL3D modeling



- RF Modeling Codes
- Low I<sub>D</sub> H-mode Modeling Results
- High I<sub>D</sub> H-mode Modeling Results



➡ Summary & 2011-12 Plans

### Summary

• Positive feedback between ITB, high  $T_e(0)$  and RFCD during  $I_p = 300$  kA HHFW H-mode produced  $T_e(0) = 3$  keV and  $f_{NI} \sim 0.65$  using  $P_{RF} = 1.4$  MW

➢ To achieve f<sub>NI</sub> ≥ 1 at I<sub>p</sub>~ 300kA will require P<sub>RF</sub>~ 3 MW, well below minimum arc-free P<sub>RF</sub> available in 2009

- $T_e(0)$  and  $f_{NI}$  in  $I_p = 300$  kA NBI+HHFW H-mode significantly lower than for  $I_p = 300$  kA HHFW H-mode due to fast-ions interacting with antenna
- Modeling results for I<sub>p</sub> = 900 kA ELM-free H-modes are consistent with broad T<sub>e</sub> profile increase and enhanced fast-ion loss during HHFW:
   ~ 40% of P<sub>RF</sub> directly heats bulk electrons
   ~ 15% of P<sub>RF</sub> accelerates fast-ions, that are mostly promptly lost
   ~ 45% of P<sub>RF</sub> goes to edge losses (surface waves, parametric decay etc.)

## Plans for 2011-12 HHFW Research

#### HHFW Experiments:

- HHFW coupling at low  $I_p$  & during  $I_p$  ramp-up
  - $\succ$  HHFW heating of low I<sub>p</sub> plasma
  - Couple HHFW into CHI-initiated plasma
  - > HHFW-assisted  $I_p$  ramp-up of an inductively generated discharge to 400kA
- HHFW+NBI high  $I_p$  H-modes at  $P_{nbi}$  up to 6 MW:
  - Interaction of fast-ions with antenna and antenna heating
  - Study surface waves at maximum available P<sub>rf</sub> & P<sub>nbi</sub>
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Dependence of heating and CD efficiency on k<sub>II</sub>, outer gap, n<sub>edge</sub>

#### HHFW Modeling:

- Complete full finite-orbit-width CQL3D (late 2011)
- Benchmark core HHFW CD in HHFW+NBI H-mode against advanced RF codes upgraded to include interactions with fast-ions

New & Upgraded Diagnostics will Aid HHFW Research in 2011-12:

- MSE-LiF will provide q(r) without NBI heating
- Additional Thomson scattering channels will improve RF modeling
- Tangential FIDA will improve the study of RF fast-ion interaction