

# Recent RWM control, stabilization physics, and non-resonant magnetic braking results in NSTX

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL **PPPL** PSI Princeton U SNL Think Tank. Inc. UC Davis UC Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

#### S.A. Sabbagh<sup>1</sup> / S.P. Gerhardt<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA <sup>2</sup>Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA

For the

#### NSTX Macroscopic Stability Topical Science Group

#### 12th Meeting of the ITPA MHD Stability Topical Group October 20-22, 2008 CRPP, Lausanne, Switzerland

Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kvoto U Kvushu U Kyushu Tokai U **NIFS** Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST ENEA. Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP.** Garching ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

### Research advances to understanding mode stabilization physics and reliably maintaining the high beta plasmas

#### Motivation

Maintenance of high  $\beta_N$  with sufficient physics understanding allows confident extrapolation to ITER and CTF

<u>CTF</u>:  $\beta_N = 3.8 - 5.9 \quad (W_L = 1-2 MW/m^2)$  <u>ST-DEMO</u>:  $\beta_N \sim 7.5$ 

- Both at, or above ideal no-wall  $\beta$ -limit; deleterious effects at ~ ½  $\beta_N^{no-wall}$
- high  $\beta_N$  accelerates neutron fluence goal takes 20 years at  $W_L = 1 \text{ MW/m}^2$ )

#### Outline

- Active control of beta amplified n = 1 fields / global instabilities
- Mode dynamics and evolution during active control
- Control performance compared to theory, connection to ITER
- Kinetic effects on resistive wall mode (RWM) stabilization
- Non-axisymmetric field influence on plasma rotation profile



# NSTX equipped for passive and active RWM control

- Stabilizer plates for kink mode stabilization
- External midplane control coils closely coupled to vacuum vessel
- Varied sensor combinations used for feedback
  - □ 24 upper/lower B<sub>p</sub>: (B<sub>pu</sub>, B<sub>pl</sub>)
  - □ 24 upper/lower B<sub>r</sub>: (B<sub>ru</sub>, B<sub>rl</sub>)





# Active RWM control and error field correction maintain high $\beta_N$ plasma



n = 1 active, n = 3 DC control

- $\square n = 1 \text{ response } \sim 1 \text{ ms} < 1/\gamma_{\text{RWM}}$
- $\square \beta_N / \beta_N^{\text{no-wall}} = 1.5 \text{ reached}$
- $\Box$  best maintains  $\omega_{\phi}$
- NSTX record pulse lengths
  - limited by magnet systems
  - n > 0 control first used as standard tool in 2008
- Without control, plasma more susceptible to RWM growth, even at high  $\omega_{\phi}$ 
  - Disruption at  $\omega_{\phi}/2\pi \sim 8$ kHz near q = 2
  - □ More than a factor of 2 higher than marginal  $\omega_{\phi}$  with n = 3 magnetic braking

## Probability of long pulse and $<\beta_N>_{pulse}$ increases significantly with active RWM control and error field correction



- Standard H-mode operation shown
  - I<sub>p</sub> flat-top duration > 0.2s (> 60 RWM growth times)



Control allows  $<\beta_N>_{pulse} > 4$ 

 $\beta_N$  averaged over I<sub>p</sub> flat-top

## During n=1 feedback control, unstable RWM evolves into rotating global kink



RWM grows and begins to rotate

- With control off, plasma disrupts at this point
- With control on, mode converts to global kink, RWM amplitude dies away
- Resonant field amplification (RFA) reduced
- Kink either damps away, or saturates
  - Tearing mode can appear during saturated kink

#### Soft X-ray emission shows transition from RWM to global kink



<u>Tearing mode appears during kink</u>

#### RWM onset time + 35 ms



- Initial transition from RWM to saturated kink
- Tearing mode appears after 10 RWM growth times and stabilizes

# ITER support: Low $\omega_{\phi}$ , high $\beta_N$ plasma not accessed when feedback response sufficiently slowed



- □ Low  $\omega_{\phi}$  access for ITER study
  - □ use n = 3 braking
- n = 1 feedback response speed significant
  - "fast" (unfiltered) n = 1 feedback allows access to low  $V_{\phi}$ , high  $\beta_N$
  - "slow" n = 1 "error field correction" (75ms smoothing of control coil current) suffers RWM at  $\omega_{\phi} \sim$ 5kHz near q = 2

#### ITER support: Low $\omega_{\phi}$ , high $\beta_N$ plasma not accessed when two feedback control coils are disabled



- ❑ Low ∞<sub>φ</sub> access for ITER study
  - use braking
- n = 1 feedback doesn't stabilize plasma with 2 of 6 control coils disabled
  - scenario to simulate failed coil set in ITER
  - Feedback phase varied, but no settings worked
  - RWM onset at identical time, plasma rotation



#### Significant $\beta_N$ increase expected by internal coil proposed for ITER



3 toroidal arrays, 9 coils each

12th ITPA MHD Meeting 10-2008 - S.A. Sabbagh/S.P. Gerhardt 11

## VALEN, STARWALL, & CarMa in good agreement on passive RWM growth with 3D ITER Vacuum Vessel



- Single-Mode model in all codes
  - Complex 3D ITER VV models for passive RWM growth rates in Scenario 4 plasma

# ITER blanket modules affect RWM passive stability significantly more than port extensions



Double-walled vacuum vessel with port extensions (STARWALL model) 50% change to growth rate with port extensions alone

□ Far greater change w/blankets



# <u>Multi-mode version of VALEN now being tested and</u> <u>compared to experiment</u>



## <u>mmVALEN shows modest qualitative changes to n = 1 RWM</u> <u>structure in DIII-D</u>

#### Single mode



Toroidal angle

Multi-mode



Toroidal angle

DIII-D 125701, t = 2.5s

#### Multi-mode pattern shows influence of resistive wall

- Eigenfunctions with n = 1 used
- Code capable of including higher n modes to be tested and compared to experiment

Poloidal angle

Poloidal angle

<u>Non-resonant magnetic braking allows  $V_{\phi}$  modification to probe</u> <u>RWM critical rotation and stabilization physics</u>

Scalar plasma rotation at q = 2 inadequate to describe stability

□ Marginal stability  $\beta_N > \beta_N^{\text{no-wall}}, \omega_{\phi}^{q=2} = 0$ 

•  $\Omega_{crit}$  doesn't follow simple  $\omega_0/2$  rotation bifurcation relation

A.C. Sontag, et al., NF 47 (2007) 1005.



Slowest rotation profiles produced in NSTX are at DIII-D balanced-NBI levels
 Ion collisionality profile variation appears to alter experimental Ω<sub>crit</sub> profile

### Modification of Ideal Stability by Kinetic theory (MISK code) investigated to explain experimental stability

- Simple critical  $\omega_{\phi}$  threshold stability models or loss of torque balance do not describe experimental marginal stability Sontag, et al., Nucl. Fusion 47 (2007) 10 Sontag, et al., Nucl. Fusion 47 (2007) 1005.
- Kinetic modification to ideal MHD growth rate
  - Trapped and circulating ions, trapped electrons
  - Alfven dissipation at rational surfaces
- Stability depends on
  - Integrated <u> $\omega_{h}$  profile</u>: resonances in  $\delta W_{\kappa}$  (e.g. ion precession drift)
  - Particle collisionality

precession drift

 $\gamma \tau_{w} = -\frac{\partial W_{\infty} + \partial W_{K}}{\partial W_{L} + \partial W_{W}}$ 

Hu and Betti, Phys. Rev. Lett 93 (2004) 105002.

$$\frac{\omega_{\phi} \text{ profile (enters through ExB frequency)}}{\omega_{E}}$$

$$\frac{\omega_{E}}{\omega_{E}} = \omega_{\phi}^{D} - \omega_{ei}^{D} - \frac{v_{\theta}}{2\pi R} \frac{B_{\phi}}{B_{\theta}}$$

$$\delta W_{K} \propto \int \left[ \frac{\omega_{*N} + (\hat{\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{2})\omega_{*T} + \omega_{E} - \omega - i\gamma}{\langle \omega_{D} \rangle + l\omega_{b} - iv_{eff}} + \omega_{E} - \omega - i\gamma \right] \hat{\varepsilon}^{\frac{5}{2}} e^{-\hat{\varepsilon}} d\hat{\varepsilon} \quad \leftarrow \text{ Energy integral}$$

12th ITPA MHD Meeting 10-2008 - S.A. Sabbagh/S.P. Gerhardt 17

# <u>Kinetic modifications show decrease in RWM stability</u> <u>at relatively high $V_{\phi}$ – consistent with experiment</u>

<u>Theoretical variation of  $\omega_{\phi}$ </u> <u>RWM stability vs.  $V_{\phi}$  (contours of  $\gamma \tau_{w}$ )</u> 80  $\omega_{\phi}/\omega_{\phi}^{exp}$ Marginally 0.03  $\omega_{\phi}/\omega_{\phi}^{exp}$ -0.6  $\gamma \tau_w$ stable 0.2 60  $\omega_{\phi}/2\pi$  (kHz) 2.0 experimental  $\omega_{\phi}/\omega_{\phi}^{exp}$ 04 0.6 profile -0.4 0.8 40 1.0 1.0 0.02 2.0 1.2  $Im(\delta W_{k})$ -0.2 1.4 20 1.6 121083 1.8 0.2 0.0 • 2.0 0 0.01 1.0 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 ψ/ψ<sub>a</sub> 0.2 experiment Marginal stable experimental plasma unstable reconstruction, rotation profile  $\omega_{\phi}^{exp}$ 0.00

0.00

0.01

- □ Variation of  $\omega_{\phi}$  away from marginal profile increases stability
- **Unstable region** at low  $\omega_{\phi}$

0.02

 $Re(\delta W_{\kappa})$ 

0.03

# Stabilizing influence of kinetic effects changes as plasma rotation varies



- **Low**  $\omega_{\phi}$ : kinetic effects relatively small
- □ Intermediate  $\omega_{\phi}$ : trapped ion strengthens/weakens
- **High**  $\omega_{\phi}$ : circulating ion stabilization increases

- => plasma unstable
- => stable/marginal
- => plasma stable

# Kinetic model shows overall increase in stability as collisionality decreases



- **D** Vary v by varying T, n at constant  $\beta$
- $\hfill\square$  Simpler stability dependence on  $\omega_\phi$  at increased  $\nu$



- □ Increased stability at  $\omega_{\phi}/\omega_{\phi}^{exp} \sim 1$
- **D** Unstable band in  $\omega_{\phi}$  at increased  $\omega_{\phi}$

#### Non-resonant rotation braking produced using n = 2 field



 $\square$  n = 2 has broader braking profile than n = 3 field (from field spectrum)



## Stronger non-resonant braking at increased T<sub>i</sub>



- Examine T<sub>i</sub> dependence of neoclassical toroidal viscosity (NTV)
- Li wall conditioning produces higher T<sub>i</sub> in region of high rotation damping
- □ Expect stronger NTV torque at higher  $T_i$  $(-d\omega_d/dt \sim T_i^{5/2} \omega_d)$ 
  - At braking onset,  $T_i \text{ ratio}^{5/2} =$  $(0.45/0.34)^{5/2} \sim 2$
  - Consistent with measured d∞ /dt in region of strongest damping

R(m)

## <u>n = 2 non-resonant braking evolution distinct from resonant</u>



<sup>12</sup>th ITPA MHD Meeting 10-2008 – S.A. Sabbagh/S.P. Gerhardt 23

## Advances in global mode feedback control, kinetic stabilization physics and magnetic braking research

- □ Active n = 1 control, DC n = 3 error field correction maintain high  $\beta_N$  plasma over ideal  $\beta_N^{\text{no-wall}}$  limit for long pulse
  - Growing RWM converts to kink that stabilizes; can yield tearing mode
- Control performance compares well to theory
  - **I** Significant  $\beta_N$  increase expected for ITER with proposed internal coil
- Kinetic modifications to ideal stability can reproduce behavior of observed RWM marginal stability vs. V<sub>6</sub>
  - Simple critical rotation threshold models for RWM stability inadequate
- □ Non-resonant  $V_{\phi}$  braking observed due to n = 2 applied field
  - Braking magnitude increases with increased T<sub>i</sub>

