

Supported by



Role of kinetic dissipation in modifying kink/RWM eigenfunctions

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL **PSI Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin**

J. Menard, Y.Q. Liu

R. Bell, S. Gerhardt, J.K. Park, S. Sabbagh, K. Tritz and the NSTX Research Team

NSTX pre-forum discussion March 10, 2011

*This work supported by US DoE contract DE-AC02-09CH11466





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP.** Garching ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

MARS-K self-consistent calculations for stable case indicate modifications to eigenfunction begin to occur at low rotation



- $-Low \omega_E / \omega_E \text{ (expt)} = 0.3\%, \delta W_K / \delta W_K \text{ (expt)} = 12\%$
- -Reduced amplitude could reduce dissipation, stability

MARS-K self-consistent calculations indicate rotation and dissipation can strongly modify RWM eigenfunction



–Does reduced edge ξ_{\perp} amplitude explain reduced stability?

At full rotation and kinetic effects in NSTX, MARS-K indicates likely transition to 2nd unstable eigenfunction



-Moderate $\omega_{\rm E} / \omega_{\rm E}$ (expt) = 22%, $\delta W_{\rm K} / \delta W_{\rm K}$ (expt) = 37%

- SC RWM ξ_{\perp} at full experimental ω_{E} and δW_{K}
 - Eigenfunction shape substantially modified \rightarrow transition to 2nd mode?

Summary

- Predicted changes to eigenfunctions due to kinetic effects are not small
- Suggests new edge SXR could likely distinguish eigenfunction changes
- Possible approach attempt to vary dissipation and look for any eigen-function changes:
 - Use n=1 travelling waves: co/counter propagation (30Hz? Faster?)
 - Measure ME-SXR perturbations also reflectometer? BES?
 - Measure RFA for all cases
 - Use n=3 (maybe n=2,3) to vary rotation profile and kinetic damping
 - Look for shot-by-shot changes in n=1 RFA and eigenfunction
 - Use Li and/or Ne puffing to decrease/increase collisionality
- Compare to MARS-F and MARS-K

Analysis issues, physics questions

Near-term/future work:

- Collisions were not included in MARS-K analysis shown

- YQ Liu adding this now will modify e-contribution to δW_{κ} , other?
- Need more systematic benchmarking of fluid and kinetic δW – More complete comparisons to experiment: γ , ω , ξ
- Questions (from MHD mode-control workshop):
 - Are differences between perturbative & SC unique to NSTX?
 - What determines range of validity of perturbative approach?
 - How large can δW_{κ} and dissipation be?
 - $\gamma au_w^* \simeq rac{\delta W_\infty + \delta W_k}{\delta W_k + \delta W_k}$ • What are effects of large ω_{F} on dispersion?
 - Is underlying single-fluid MHD treatment sufficient?
 - Eigenfunctions, dissipation can be highly localized near rationals
 - Is continuum damping computed accurately?