

Supported by



Transport and Turbulence TSG Discussion on PAC and the 5-year Plan

Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U ORNL PPPL **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

Yang Ren On behalf of T&T TSG

B252 Feb. 14th, 2012



Culham Sci Ctr **U St. Andrews** York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI NFRI KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP**, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Goals of the Meeting

- Prepare T&T FY12-13 research plan
 - NSTX data analysis, modeling, physics design
 - Collaborations with other facilities, e.g. CMOD, DIII-D, MAST
- Develop T&T 5-year plan for FY14-18
 - Two thrusts identified
 - Develop the yearly plans
- Gather ideas for the upcoming theory brainstorming
 - Theories/ models experimentalists want
 - Experiments theorists want

NSTX FY12-13 Research Planning

- NSTX data analysis, modeling and physics design
 - Fulfilling FY 12 JRT with data available
 - Target shots identified and data analysis ongoing
 - Some linear stability analysis performed/ongoing
 - Need to identify target shots for nonlinear simulations
 - Analyzing existing turbulence data, e.g. BES, High-k, reflectometer, backscattering, coupled with linear and nonlinear simulations
 - Applying TGLF to various NSTX scenarios coupled with linear and nonlinear parametric scans
 - Integrated physics and optical design of the new high- k_{θ} FIR scattering system (NSTX-U FY13 milestone)
- Collaboration with other Facilities
 - DIII-D : explore micro-tearing regime with multiple-field measurement, in particular with polarimetry and BES (proposing)
 - MAST: explore micro-tearing mode with BES measurement (proposing)
 - CMOD on FY12 JRT (exploring)
 - Others?

Preparing PAC Talk (I)

- Overview goals and/or milestones for your TSG 1 slide
 Defining goals +FY12 JRT/NSTX FY13 milestone
- FY2011-12 research highlights/progress from your research area (newest/most important/best since last PAC in Jan 2011) – 6 slides
 - APS invited talks (Guttenfelder; Peterson; Ren); BES data analysis; Impurity transport and more
- Collaboration plans (NSTX team wide) in your respective research area for 2012-2013 1-2 slides
 - DIII-D : explore micro-tearing regime with multiple field measurement, in particular with polarimetry (Guttenfelder)
 - MAST: explore micro-tearing mode with BES measurement (Guttenfelder and Ren)

Preparing PAC Talk (II)

- Outline of plans for first 1-2 years of research on NSTX-U (FY2014-2015) – 2 slides
 - To be discussed
- Discuss linkages between collaboration and first 1-2 years of NSTX-U operation – 1 slides
 - Exploring micro-tearing mode with polarimetry and BES on DIII-D and with BES on MAST in preparation for NSTX-U operation
- Discussion of key diagnostics and facility upgrades needed to achieve FY2014-15 research goals – 2 slides
 - BES, High-k, polarimetry and others?
- Brief overview of research goals for 2016-2018 (last 3 years of 5 year plan) 1-2 slides
 - To be discussed
- Brief overview of major diagnostics and facility upgrades needed to support research goals for 2016-2018 – 1-2 slides
 - To be discussed

Two Thrusts and Three Radial Regions Identified from the 5year Plan

- Two thrusts for the 5-year plan
 - Identify instabilities responsible for anomalous electron thermal, momentum, and particle/impurity transport in L and H mode plasmas
 - Establish and validate reduced transport models (0D and 1D) for NSTX-Upgrade plasmas
- Three spatial regions of concern in NBI H-modes relevant to NSTX-U and beyond
 - Core gradient region (r/a~0.4-0.9) inside pedestal where significant gradients in thermal plasma exist (→<u>microinstabilities predicted</u> <u>unstable</u>) (also applies to L-mode plasmas)
 - Core flat region (r/a<~0.4) approaching magnetic axis where thermal gradients become small (\rightarrow <u>microinstabilities predicted stable</u>) but significant fast ion pressure (P_{fast}/P_{tot}≤50%) (Alfvenic modes important)
 - Pedestal (r/a>0.9) pedestal height plays an important role in global H-mode confinement (BP TSG)

Overview of Tasks of the Two Thrusts

- Identify instabilities responsible for anomalous transport (thermal, momentum, and particle/impurity)
 - Focus on most relevant scenarios: low ν^* H-mode plasmas; fully non-inductive plasma; ITER-relevant plasmas
 - Measure scaling of local transport (χ_e , χ_{ϕ} , D_d, D_c) with relevant parameters (ν_e , I_p, B_T, γ_E , s, q, ...)
 - Steady state analysis and perturbative experiments
 - Measure turbulence characteristics ($\delta n_e, \delta B_r, ...$) and scaling with parameters
 - k_{θ} spectra (k_r ~0) highest priority, most relatable to transport
 - <u>Multi-scale</u> spectrum of modes possible ($k_{\theta}\rho_s$ =0.1-20+), would like complete k-space coverage
 - · Focus on parameter regimes where instabilities are expected to be isolated
 - Use 2nd NB and 3D field coils as controlling tools
 - Compare with linear and non-linear predictions to discriminate theoretical modes
 - k spectra and transport fluxes

Establish and validate reduced transport models for NSTX-Upgrade plasmas

- Explore 0D confinement scalings (v_e , β_e , I_p , B_T , ...) in NSTX-Upgrade parameter regime: higher Bt, Ip and lower ν^* and project to FNSF/Pilot
- Develop profile database for most relevant scenarios
- Focus on developing reduced transport model for ion thermal transport
 - Validate TGLF against gyrokinetics for NSTX/NSTX-U parameters for low-k turbulence
 - Validating neoclassical transport models (pretty good prediction just using)
- Validate reduced ion thermal transport model for NSTX/NSTX-U/MAST
- Will attempt to develop electron thermal transport model
 - Start with analytic fits to linear and non-linear GK simulations (*a la* IFS-PPPL for ITG) for μ-tearing and ETG
 - Validate TGLF against gyrokinetics for NSTX/NSTX-U parameters for μ-tearing and ETG

FY14 Goals

- BES and polarimeter are expected to be available; high-k not available; 2nd NBI available-> focus on low-k turbulence
- Implement high-k scattering in FY14
- Thrust 1:
 - Measure low-k turbulence in and out of expected micro-tearing dominant regime in H-mode plasmas with transport measurements and compare with low-k gyro-kinetic simulations
 - Determine transport channels correlated with low-k turbulence
 - Vary q and flow profiles using 2nd NB to differentiate/control low-k turbulence
 - Similar experiments in ITG/TEM dominant L-mode plasmas
- Thrust 2:
 - Start applying TGLF against gyrokinetics for NSTX-U parameters for lowk turbulence
 - Validate gyrokinetic codes with turbulence and transport measurement

FY15 Goals

- Shaking down the high-k scattering system; expecting higher Bt and Ip (lower ν^*)
- Thrust 1:
 - Measure low-k turbulence and take preliminary high-k turbulence measurement in H-mode plasmas in lower ν^* regime with higher Bt and Ip with transport measurements and compare with low-k/high-k gyro-kinetic simulations and neo-classical transport calculations
 - Determine whether ion thermal transport is still near neo-classical level
 - Determine transport channels correlated with low-k turbulence
 - Correlate transport channels with high-k turbulence
- Thrust 2:
 - Establish/Validate 0D confinement scalings with higher Bt, Ip and lower ν^*
 - Use 0D confinement scaling to predict 0D performance of FNSF/Pilot
 - Validate gyrokinetic codes with turbulence and transport measurement
 - Start analytic fits to linear and non-linear GK simulations (as IFS-PPPL for ITG) for μ-tearing and ETG

FY16-18 Goals

- Full availability of the high-k system
- Thrust 1:
 - Identify responsible k ranges for different transport channels in H-mode plasmas by correlating measured local transport trends (against v_e , I_p , B_T , γ_E , s, q, ...) with low-k and high-k measurements and theoretical predictions
 - Using the unique properties of the high-k system to identify ETG and its operational regime
 - Identify micro-tearing mode and its relation with transport by combining low-k and high-k measurements and its operational regime
 - Use steady state transport analysis and perturbative techniques
 - Compare with gyrokinetic simulations to identify responsible instabilities
- Thrust 2:
 - Validate 0D confinement scalings with the full range of Bt, Ip and lower ν^{*}
 - Use validated 0D confinement scaling to predict 0D performance of FNSF/Pilot
 - Validate gyrokinetic codes with turbulence and transport measurement
 - Validate (and update as necessary) TGLF against gyrokinetics in improved NSTX-U parameter regimes
 - Start validation of predictive transport simulations for NSTX/NSTX-U/MAST

Ideas for Theoretical Brainstorming Topcis

Presentations

- Simulation Study of Turbulence and Transport by Gyro-Center Shift Theory (KC Lee)
- EP(enhanced pedestal) H-mode transition on NSTX (KC Lee)
- Modeling needs (Guttenfelder)

Ion Thermal Transport

- Thrust 1: Identify instabilities responsible for anomalous ion thermal transport
 - Determine whether ion thermal transport is still neo-classical in NBI H-mode plasmas in the NSTX-Upgrade regime
 - Measure full k range turbulence with BES, Doppler backscattering and high-k system
 - Determine turbulence parametric dependence, e.g. on Ip, Bt, q, ExB shear rate, and associated ion-thermal transport trends using TRANSP
 - Investigate the role of zonal flow in regulating low-k turbulence
 - Compare with linear and nonlinear gyrokinetic simulation to identify observed instabilities
- Thrust 2: Establish and validate reduced transport models for NSTX-Upgrade plasmas
 - Validate neoclassical transport models
 - Identify responsible instabilities and their operating regimes; develop reduced mode for ion thermal transport
 - Validate reduced ion thermal transport model for NSTX/NSTX-U/MAST
 - Update TGLF against gyrokinetics for NSTX/NSTX-U parameters for low-k turbulence and validate predictive simulations again NSTX/NSTX-U

Electron Thermal Transport

- Thrust 1: Identify instabilities responsible for anomalous electron thermal transport and their operating regimes
 - Measure full k range density fluctuations with BES, Doppler backscattering and high-k system
 - Combination of BES and polarimetry /Doppler backscattering for the identification of micro-tearing instability
 - Use Ip, Bt, q and ExB shear to isolate instabilities and establish parametric trends
 - Control ν_{e}^{*} and β_{e} to distinguish micro-tearing and ETG
 - Control ExB shear to distinguish low-k and high-k contributions
 - Infer electron diffusivity with steady-state analysis (TRANSP) and perturbative experiments, e.g. heat/cold pulse propagation
 - Compare with linear and nonlinear gyrokinetic simulation to identify observed instabilities
- Thrust 2: Establish and validate reduced transport models for NSTX-Upgrade plasmas
 - Develop reduced model, start with analytic fits to linear and non-linear GK simulations (*a la* IFS-PPPL for ITG) for μ-tearing and ETG

Momentum Transport

- Thrust 1: Identify instabilities responsible for anomalous momentum transport
 - Use perturbative momentum injection to measure momentum diffusivity and pinch
 - Measure full k range turbulence with BES, Doppler backscattering and high-k system and identify responsible k range
 - Compare with linear and nonlinear gyrokinetic simulation to identify observed instabilities
- Thrust 2: Establish and validate reduced transport models for NSTX-Upgrade plasmas
 - Update TGLF against gyrokinetics for NSTX/NSTX-U parameters for low-k turbulence and validate predictive simulations again NSTX/NSTX-U

Particle/ Impurity Transport

- Thrust 1: Identify instabilities responsible for anomalous particle/impurity transport
 - Determine impurity transport with ME-SXR and impurity seeding
 - Whether impurity transport is still neo-classical in the core of NBI H-mode plasmas in the NSTX-Upgrade regime
 - Focus on impurity transport at plasma edge
 - Determine particle transport with SGI gas puffings and reflectometer measurements
 - Measure full k range turbulence with BES, Doppler backscattering and high-k system
 - Compare with linear and nonlinear gyrokinetic simulation to identify observed instabilities
- Thrust 2: Establish and validate reduced transport models for NSTX-Upgrade plasmas
 - Validate neoclassical transport models
 - Update TGLF against gyrokinetics for NSTX/NSTX-U parameters for low-k turbulence and validate predictive simulations again NSTX/NSTX-U

Turbulence – What diagnostic should be used to measure?

- ITG χ_i , χ_e , χ_{ϕ} , D
 - driven by ∇T_i ; suppressible by ∇n , E×B shear (ion thermal transport often neoclassical)
 - $\delta n_e k_{\theta}\rho_s \sim 0.2$, $k_r\rho_s \sim 0$, isotropic eddies, $L_{\theta} \sim L_r \sim 5-8 \rho_s$ (BES, high-k)
- TEM χ_e , χ_{ϕ} , D
 - Driven by ∇T_e , ∇n_e ; suppressible by E×B shear, reduced by collisionality
 - δn_e Similar to ITG, maybe slightly higher $k_{\theta}\rho_s \sim 0.5$ +, especially electron heat transport (BES, high-k)
- KBM χ_i , χ_e , χ_{ϕ} (?), D
 - Driven by $\beta'=\beta \cdot a/L_p$; suppressible by E×B shear
 - δn_e ~similar to ITG, narrower k_{θ} spectrum (broader L_{θ}) (BES, high-k)
- ETG χ_e
 - Driven by ∇T_e , low s/q; suppressible by ∇n_e , Z_{eff} , E×B shear; weak dependence on collisionality
 - $\delta n_e k_{\theta} \rho_s \sim 10, k_r \rho_s \sim 0, \text{ anisotropic, } L_{\theta} \sim 3^* L_r \sim 0.5 \rho_s \text{ (high-k, ...)}$
- MT χ_e
 - Driven by ∇T_e , increasing s/q, sufficient β_e , peak around $Z_{eff}v_{ei}/\omega_{*e}$ ~5; suppressible by E×B shear
 - $\delta n_e k_\theta \rho_s \sim 0.2$, $k_r \rho_s$ larger, anisotropy opposite to ETG (maybe not BES, ...)
 - $\delta B_r k_{\theta} \rho_s \sim 0.2$, $k_r \rho_s \sim 0$, very broad, strongly ballooning, $\delta B/B_0 < 1\%$ (polarimetry of some sort?)
- BES and high-k are high priority for almost all modes (ITG/TEM/KBM/ETG) and are expected to be available
- Not clear what will be useful for microtearing, both δn and δB_r

Transport – What measurements are required to discriminate theoretical mechanisms?

- Local steady state transport coefficients vs. parameter scans
 TS, CHERS, TRANSP analysis
- Local perturbative measurements, flux-gradient relationships
 - Impurity seeding, ME-SXR
 - Heat/cold pulse propagation, ME-SXR
 - n=3 braking and 2nd NBI, CHERS, UF-CHERS
 - SGI, reflectometery
- What diagnostics are needed to validate transport models?
- Seems like biggest new diagnostic priority is identifying modes present through turbulence measurements
- What more transport tools do we need?