

Supported by



Turbulent energy transport and parallel heat flux in the SOL

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** SNL Think Tank. Inc. **UC Davis UC Irvine** UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

D.A. Russell, J.R. Myra, D.A. D'Ippolito, *Lodestar,* J-W. Ahn, *ORNL.*, R. Maqueda, *Nova Photonics,* D.P. Lundberg, D.P. Stotler, S.J. Zweben, *PPPL*, and the NSTX team acknowledgements: M. Umanksy, *LLNL*, J. Boedo, *UCSD*

presented at the 51st APS/DPP Meeting. November 2-6, 2009, Atlanta, GA



Supported by the USDOE under grants DE-FG02-02ER54678 and DE-FG02-97ER54392.

U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Culham Sci Ctr

SOLT code, research goals & FY2010 JRT

- SOLT code
 - 2D fluid turbulence code: model SOL in outer midplane
 - classical parallel + turbulent cross-field transport
 - evolves n_e , T_e , Φ with parallel sheath closure relations
 - strongly nonlinear: $\delta n/n \sim 1 \Rightarrow$ blobs
 - model supports drift waves, curvature-driven modes, sheath instabilities ...
 - synthetic GPI diagnostic
- Goals
 - simulation of SOL profiles of $n_e(r)$, $T_e(r)$, $\Gamma(r)$, and $q_{||}(r)$
 - not fully predictive (\Rightarrow use for interpretation); need
 - profile information inside LCS; effective core BCs
 - E_r (model has incomplete physics for plasma rotation) or fit
 - constrain by data (e.g. dissipation, viscosity)
 - study cross-field energy transport
 - near SOL profiles , SOL width, P scaling
 - far SOL blob transport

FY2010 JRT

NSTX

Recent work on SOLT simulations of NSTX

APS/DPP Atlanta GA – Russell

- Completed blob simulations of a well diagnosed and analyzed shot #112825
 - He-puff GPI, low power L-mode
- Conclusions from that study:
 - The simulated turbulence is sensitive to the parameters that control the stability of the system: drives and dissipations, some of which are poorly known, but can be constrained indirectly by data.
 - "Successful" simulation of GPI profiles occurs close to marginality: balance instability drive ↔ sheared flows, dissipation
 - 2D fluid simulations with the SOLT code yield a reasonable match to GPI data for SOL blob/turbulence.
 - GPI statistics; blob size PDF
 - blob velocity PDF off by factor 2

GPI fluctuations radial dependence

 $\langle ar{I}
angle$ & Median I (red)

Sheath Entrance @ $\Delta r = 4.52$ cm



Present work: ELM-free H-mode plasmas (Modelling of XP952)

- Shot selection
 - ELM-free H-modes at 0.8 MW and 1.3 MW NBI with GPI-D puff
 - shots <u>135009</u>, 135011 and 135038
- Shot modelling procedure
 - TS profile fit (in core region)
 - GPI data extraction
 - geometry: camera view; magnetic geometry: R_{sep} , connection lengths, B_{θ} ...
 - power across $LCS = P_{sep}$
 - synthetic GPI for D-puff: $D_0(r)$, atomic physics for D_α emission
- Simulations
 - sensitivity studies to input parameters
- Comparisons (underway)
 - profile comparison with probes: $n_e(r)$, $T_e(r)$
 - mapped divertor heat flux comparison with $q_{\parallel}(r)$ near SOL
 - blob velocity and size distributions with GPI far SOL

Simulation philosophy

- For these studies, which focus on near SOL profiles, we set up the SOLT code as follows:
 - use ad-hoc sources in closed-surface ("edge") region to maintain measured profiles of n_e, T_e
 - impose edge zonal flows as a turbulence control parameter
 - the edge region effectively provides a boundary condition for the SOL $\langle \overline{n} \rangle$ (blue) & $\langle \overline{T} \rangle$ (red)
- Goal
 - a "first principles" self-consistent simulation in the SOL
 - in practice sensitivity of results to some poorly known inputs requires numerical experimentation ("tuning" to achieve realistic results
 - zonal flow strength
 - effective parallel blob length and connection length
 - dissipation parameters (e.g. viscosity)

 1.2_{f} 1.0 $\alpha_{sh} \sim 1/L_{\mu}$ 0.8<n> 0.6 $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{n,T}$ 0.4<T> source 0.2rate 0.0 $\Delta r (cm)$ 8 -4 -22 4 6 0 LCS

0.5 < t < 1. ms

Synthetic GPI for D-puff has been tested

- input ne, Te
 - here from TS data
 - later from SOLT code
- output D-puff emission
- achieved a good match to data for a quiescent frame
- calculated =>
 - Lundberg & Stotler fits for $D_0(R)$
 - fits to TS profiles for n_e, T_e
 - D₀ emissivity I(n_e,T_e)



NSTX

H-mode simulations require strong zonal flows

- Results of a sequence of SOLT simulations with varying levels of imposed zonal flow
 - strong zonal flows inhibit heat transport across separatrix
 - ZF required to match P_{sep} of simulation to experiment



NSTX

Matched P_{sep} runs show H-mode like, nearly laminar SOL



NSTX

Lodestar

APS/DPP Atlanta GA – Russell

GPI camera data similarly shows sparse intermittent blob ejection



frames shown every 35 μ s for a total duration of 0.84 ms (higher time res. available)

NSTX

Near SOL n_e profile: SOLT vs. NSTX

- SOLT profile shows a near-SOL enhancement not seen in probe data
- speculate that this is a 3D effect
 - ejected blobs don't fill SOL flux tube initially
 - parallel sonic expansion (not in 2D SOLT) dilutes midplane density



Near SOL T_e profile: SOLT vs. NSTX

- no near-SOL effect expected from sonic expansion
 - agreement better in this regard
- probe data shows hotter far SOL floor Te



Parallel heat flux at midplane

- caveat on code dynamics
 - simulations use sheath-limited (SL) heat flux dynamics in the evolution
 - for this shot, need to upgrade to conduction-limited (CL) dynamics
- heat flux magnitude and width similar to experiment
 - detailed comparison in progress



$$P = 2\pi R b_{\theta} \int dr q_{||}(r)$$
$$q_{||SL} = \left\langle nc_{s} T s_{E} e^{e(\Phi_{B} - \Phi)/T} \right\rangle$$
$$q_{||CL} = \left\langle \frac{3.2nc_{s} T}{\Lambda} \right\rangle$$
$$\Lambda = \frac{v_{ei} L_{||}}{\Omega_{e} \rho_{s}}$$

Caveats and future work

- collisional q_{\parallel} and Γ_{\parallel} closures needed in dynamics
- present SOLT results use estimates for magnetic-geometry based inputs
 - compute connection length $L_{||}(x = \Delta r)$ and curvatures from LRDFIT
 - use parallel mode-structure information from the 2DX code to improve fidelity of our 2D SOLT simulations
 - see poster . GP8.00069 Baver et al. for a description of 2DX
- additional code sensitivity studies needed
- fine tune separatrix location in SOLT
 - LRDFIT and power balance separatrix match well
 - LRDFIT $R_{sep} = 147.5$ cm at Z = 0
 - T_e (30eV)Rmid = 147.2 cm
- Compare SOLT blob velocities in far SOL with GPI data



Summary and conclusions

- Previous work with the SOLT code has modelled some features of edge SOL turbulence and blob transport but questions remain.
 - Can we resolve the factor of 2 discrepancy in the blob velocity?
 - Are the simulations consistent with observed scalings for different shots and regimes (e.g. H-mode)?
- Ongoing work is addressing these questions, plus
 - power (P) scaling studies of SOL width for the three shots (Ahn XP952).
- Results so far show that
 - H-mode simulations require strong edge zonal flows to match P_{sep}.
 - Nearly quiescent/laminar states are found in this case.
 - Blob ejection is (only) triggered by transient forcing in SOLT; and is similarly rare in NSTX camera data.
 - Near-SOL n_e, T_e and q_{||} profiles from SOLT are similar to probe data, but differ in some features with NSTX probe data.
- Results will contribute to the FY2010 Joint Research Target
 - Understand SOL transport of heat, SOL width, and blob transport.