### **Direct Comparison of GPI and BES measurements of Edge Fluctuations in NSTX**

Y. Sechrest<sup>1</sup>, D. Smith<sup>2</sup>, T. Munsat<sup>1</sup>, S. J. Zweben<sup>3</sup> (<sup>1</sup> CU-Boulder, <sup>2</sup> UW-Madison, <sup>3</sup> PPPL)

#### Abstract

The Gas Puff Imaging (GPI) diagnostic has been used in numerous studies of turbulent fluctuations in the edge region of NSTX since its installation in 2001. Before the recent 2010 run campaign a Beam Emission Spectroscopy (BES) diagnostic was added on NSTX to study density fluctuations in the scrape-off layer (SOL) edge and nedestal regions. Both diagnostics operate using similar principles to view visible light fluctuations from collisional excitation of neutral atoms, and the diagnostic views share coverage at some R and 7 positions just above the outboard midplane. Making use of these commonalities, we conduct a cross-diagnostic comparison of fluctuation measurements of edge turbulence in NSTX including: poloidal correlation lengths, decorrelation times, probability density functions and their moments, and dominant poloidal wavenumber estimates. In addition, we explore cross-correlation and cross-spectral analyses between diagnostics. By characterizing the amount of shared information, it may be possible to use the two diagnostics collaboratively to effectively extend the diagnostic coverage

#### Why are Edge Fluctuations Interesting?



#### **Gas Puff Imaging**

 Discharges neutral deuterium into edge Images visible light from collisional excitation (D\_656 nm) •Views along B-field •24x32 cm (64x80 pixels) •400 kHz framerate I≈n₀f(n₀,T₀)

#### **Beam Emission Spectroscopy**

141254

LCFS

BES

lines to same constant toroidal angle cross-se

 Measures D<sub>a</sub> emission from collisional excitation of heating beam neutrals Doppler shift separates beam D<sub>α</sub> from thermal D<sub>a</sub> •Figure at right illustrates BES channel positioning relative to GPI view •2 MHz sampling rate with PIN photodiode detectors •δI/I ≈ C δn/n



#### Discussion



- Summary description of fluctuations: Localized, traveling pulses GPI observations, correlation functions, and CWT describe spatially localized, traveling, pulses/wavepackets
- CWT describes intermittent, time localized events distributed around 4-30 kHz band
- Coherent for "long" times/distances - Cross-diagnostic comparison shows that pulses travel coherently between diagnostic views (~40 cm)

#### 2D correlation maps:

•Correlate all pixels with reference pixel Tilted or sheared pattern observed •Green line traces field through gas cloud Shape not attributable to field line curvature or alignment •Further analysis needed

 Cross-correlations do not peak on shared flux surfaces Can Atomic Physics explain these?



#### Summary

- Edge fluctuations in MHD-quiescent, ELM-free, H-mode plasmas compared
- · Strong cross-diagnostic correlation and coherence are observed at separations of ~36 cm
- Fluctuation statistics differ between the diagnostics
- Measured poloidal corr. lengths and decorrelation time show agreement at +/-40%
- · Dominant fluctuation band of 4-30 kHz exhibits short-lived, intermittent time -frequency behavior
- · Large dI/I differences and peak locations of cross-correlation still unexplained

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the entire NSTX team whose combined efforts made this work possible. This work was supported by DOE Grants DE-SC0001966 and DE-FG02-08ER54995



· Intermittent events in 4-30 kHz band

#### Characteristic decorrelation times ~30 microsed



**Outstanding Questions:** Large difference in dI/I



Supported by



# Direct Comparison of GPI and BES Measurements of Edge Fluctuations in NSTX

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL **Johns Hopkins U** LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics** ORNL PPPL **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank. Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** X Science LLC

## Y. Sechrest<sup>1</sup>

D. Smith<sup>2</sup>, T. Munsat<sup>1</sup>, S. J. Zweben<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>CU-Boulder, <sup>2</sup>UW-Madison, <sup>3</sup>PPPL

and the NSTX Research Team

Division of Plasma Physics Denver Nov 2013





**Culham Sci Ctr** York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U **NIFS** Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFR KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT **FOM Inst DIFFER** ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Science

# Abstract

The Gas Puff Imaging (GPI) diagnostic has been used in numerous studies of turbulent fluctuations in the edge region of NSTX since its installation in 2001. Before the recent 2010 run campaign a Beam Emission Spectroscopy (BES) diagnostic was added on NSTX to study density fluctuations in the scrape-off layer (SOL), edge, and pedestal regions. Both diagnostics operate using similar principles to view visible light fluctuations from collisional excitation of neutral atoms, and the diagnostic views share coverage at some R and Z positions just above the outboard midplane. Making use of these commonalities, we conduct a cross-diagnostic comparison of fluctuation measurements of edge turbulence in NSTX including: poloidal correlation lengths, decorrelation times, probability density functions and their moments, and dominant poloidal wavenumber estimates. In addition, we explore cross-correlation and cross-spectral analyses between diagnostics. By characterizing the amount of shared information, it may be possible to use the two diagnostics collaboratively to effectively extend the diagnostic coverage



# Why are edge fluctuations interesting?

- Steep gradients in edge drive various instabilities
- Transport at edge leads to loss of particles and heat to open flux regions
- Edge affects formation of pedestal and determination of pedestal height/width
- This Study: Compare fluctuations measured by GPI and BES in MHDquiescent, ELM-Free, H-mode plasmas



# **Gas Puff Imaging**

- •Discharges neutral deuterium into edge
- •Images visible light from collisional excitation ( $D_{\alpha}$  656 nm)
- •Views along B-field
- •24x32 cm (64x80 pixels)
- •400 kHz framerate
- •l≈n<sub>0</sub>f(n<sub>e</sub>,T<sub>e</sub>)





- •Measures  $D_{\alpha}$  emission from collisional excitation of Heating Beam Neutrals
- •Doppler shift separates beam  $D_{\alpha}$  from thermal  $D_{\alpha}$
- •Figure at right illustrates BES channel positioning relative to GPI view
- •2 MHz sampling rate with PIN photodiode detectors
  •δI/I ≈ C δn/n



\*BES channels and GPI points mapped along field lines to same constant toroidal angle cross-section

# Cross-diagnostic correlation and coherence are strong

## **Correlation vs. Time:**

- Strong correlation peak persists
- Timebases have offset and linear drift
- Correct BES timebase using linear fit to persistent correlation feature
- Unable to separate offset due to physical separation from timing issues





## **Single Point Correlations:**

Timebase correction applied
Strong Correlations, R>0.75
Raw traces exhibit clear correlation



## **Cross-phase and Coherence:**

Moderate-Strong Coherence between 5-20 kHz
Steady cross-phase
Physical separation ~36 cm along poloidal dir. Perp. To B-field





# Fluctuation statistics differ between diagnostics

## Fluctuation traces:

- •Low frequencies sensitive to beam oscillations
- •Ī low pass filtered below 4 kHz

 $\bullet \tilde{I} = I - \bar{I}$ 



# Fluctuation PDFs:

Distinctly different distributions
GPI positively skewed, nonzero kurtosis

- •BES near gaussian
- •Large difference in relative fluctuation levels



# **Skewness and Kurtosis:**

•GPI moments

- Exhibit positive skew
- relationship most consistent with previous observations of L-mode plasmas (Sattin PPCF 2009)

•BES moments

- •group around zero skew
- •kurtosis marginally differs from gaussian.



# Measured characteristic scales show moderate agreement

## **Coherence and Autopower:**

- Low frequencies exhibit similar features
- Some High frequency content not present in GPI
- Focus on 7-30 kHz band



ΔPOL: 2.4 cm, 4.8 cm, 7.2 cm



# $L_{\text{POL}}$ and $\tau_{\text{C}}$ :

- •Records BP filtered between 7-30 kHz
- •Envelope calculated with Hillbert Transform
- •Envelope decay at zero time lag yields  $L_{POL}$
- •Decay of Envelope peak vs. time of peak yields
- $\tau_{C}$

## Fluctuation Characteristic Scales:

- •Pol. Corr. Agree within +/- 30%
- •Decorrelation times agree within +/-40%
- •Typical L-mode values: 4 cm, 6 microsec





DPP 2013 - Y. Sechrest

# Discussion



## Time and Frequency Behavior:

•Time localized, Intermittent pulses of activity •Activity concentrated in f=4-30 kHz band



# **Summary description of fluctuations:**

- Localized, traveling pulses
  - GPI observations and correlation functions describe spatially localized, traveling, pulses/wavepackets
- Intermittent events in 4-30 kHz band
  - CWT describes intermittent, time localized events distributed around 4-30 kHz band
- Coherent for "long" times/distances
  - Cross-diagnostic comparison shows that pulses travel coherently between diagnostic views (~40 cm)
  - Characteristic decorrelation times ~30 microsec



# 2D correlation maps:

- •Correlate all pixels with reference pixel
- •Tilted or sheared pattern observed
- •Green line traces field through gas cloud
- •Shape not attributable to field line curvature or alignment
- •Further analysis needed



## **Outstanding Questions:**

- Large difference in dl/l
- Cross-correlations do not peak on shared flux surfaces
- Can Atomic Physics explain these?
- Tilted/Sheared correlation structures



- Edge fluctuations in MHD-quiescent, ELM-free, H-mode plasmas compared
- Fluctuation statistics differ between the diagnostics
- Measured poloidal corr. lengths and decorrelation time show reasonable agreement
- Strong cross-diagnostic correlation and coherence are observed at separations of ~36 cm
- Dominant fluctuation band of 4-30 kHz exhibits short-lived, intermittent time-frequency behavior.



We would like to acknowledge the entire NSTX team whose combined efforts made this study possible. This work was supported by DOE Grants #XXXXX









DPP 2013 - Y. Sechrest