



# Initial Measurements of Beam Ion Confinement on NSTX-U

D. Liu, W. W. Heidbrink, G. Z. Hao

University of California, Irvine

D.S. Darrow, M. Podestà, E. Fredrickson

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

58th Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics San Jose, California October 31 - November 4 2016









# Motivation: Neutral Beam Checkout and NUBEAM Validation



NB line #2 is added to improve NBCD efficiency and provide more flexibility in current/q profile control. Good fast ion confinement is essential.

> Initial assessment of beam ion confinement in a diagnostic checkout experiment.

## Beam Blips Injected into Low n<sub>e</sub>, L-Mode Plasmas

1.8 Plasma conditions ~ Nb nd  $<\sigma v >$  Center-stack limited, n<sub>e</sub>~1-3x10<sup>13</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup> B<sub>t</sub>=0.65T, I<sub>p</sub>~0.7MA S<sub>neutron</sub> dominated by beam-plasma reaction <u>ග</u> 1.2 Exponential decayas beam ions thermalize Beam blips (~20ms pulses)  $\propto T_{c}^{3/2}$  / n'TRONS S<sub>neutron</sub> rise depends on number of confined beam ions injected 0.6 ■ S<sub>neutron</sub> decay depends on slowing down and <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> losses on t<sub>slowing-down</sub> E<sub>ini</sub>=85keV and E<sub>ini</sub>=65keV Infer confinement time from decay process Heidbrink, Nucl. Fusion (2003)  $\circ$  Limited diagnostics, large uncertainties in  $Z_{eff}$ , 0.24 0.25 0.23 0.26 0.27 T<sub>i</sub> equilibrium, edge neutral density TIME (s)

#### At E<sub>inj</sub>=85keV, Neutron Decay Time Agrees with TRANSP Modelling, but Rise is ~65% of Prediction (1/2)

-ow freq Mirnov [a. u.



- Exclude bad blips with strong MHD
- TRANSP: Classical, flat Z<sub>eff</sub>=1.5
- Use "2FG" scintillator neutron signal, cross calibrated to fission detector

Source (E <sub>inj</sub> =85keV)	Neutron Rise (Exp/TRANSP)	Neutron Decay (Exp/TRANSP)
1B (R <sub>tan</sub> 60cm)	0.58 +/- 0.02	1.02 +/- 0.08
1C (R <sub>tan</sub> 50cm)	0.72 +/- 0.05	0.92 +/- 0.09
2A (R <sub>tan</sub> 130cm)	0.66 +/- 0.03	1.01 +/- 0.09
2C (R <sub>tan</sub> 110cm)	0.62 +/- 0.04	1.02 +/- 0.08

#### At E<sub>inj</sub>=85keV, Neutron Decay Time Agrees with TRANSP Modelling, but Rise is ~65% of Prediction (2/2)



Good agreement on neutron decay time indicates fast ions are well confined

~35% discrepancy in neutron rise (and absolute neutron rate) could be induced by uncertainties in neutron rate calibration, Z<sub>eff</sub> & beam species mix



**NSTX-U** APS-DPP 2016 GO6.00005:

Initial Measurements of Beam Ion Confinement on NSTX-U

#### At E<sub>inj</sub>=65keV, Relatively Large Discrepancy between Measurements and TRANSP Modelling (2/2)



TRANSP decay time gets reasonable agreement with data when a small anomalous fast ion diffusivity ( $D_{af}=0.3m^2/s$ ) is used.

→ Beam ion behavior is still close to classical theory

**NSTX-U** 

#### Possible Reasons for Discrepancies in Neutron Decay and Neutron Rise

- Reasons for neutron decay discrepancy in E<sub>inj</sub>=65keV case
- Fast-ion Losses on 10 ms timescale
  - o likely, huge edge/background neutral density, error fields, MHD
  - $_{\odot}$  blips with E\_{inj}=65keV on March 30, blips with E\_{inj}=85keV on June 28  $_{\odot}$  discrepancies of 2A/2C are slightly larger than 1B/1C
- Reasons for neuron rise (and absolute neutron rate ) discrepancy
- $\underline{Z_{eff}}$ : likely, currently  $Z_{eff}$  =1.5, need to increase  $Z_{eff}$  to ~3.5 in TRANSP
- Neutron calibration uncertainties: possible, absolute error ~20%
- <u>Beam species mix</u>: possible, E<sub>full</sub> in TRANSP is ~15% higher than the estimation from beam-into-gas shots for E<sub>ini</sub>=65keV case
- <u>Equilibrium</u>: maybe, different equilibrium reconstructions lead to a 10% difference

### Conclusions

- > The behavior of NB line #2 is similar to NB line #1 for  $E_{ini}$ =85keV and 65keV.
- Based on neutron decay time after beam turn-off, beam ions are well confined when E<sub>inj</sub>=85keV. The confinement at E<sub>inj</sub>=65keV is slightly less than classical theory, but still ~100ms.
- The measured neutron rise and absolute neutron magnitude are only 60%-70% of TRANSP predictions.
- Likely because of large uncertainties in Z<sub>eff</sub>, E<sub>full</sub> fraction, neutron calibration or edge/background neutral density.

#### More data on fast ion confinement and transport see poster NP10.00016 G. Z. Hao