### Suppression of turbulent transport in NSTX internal transport barriers

H. Yuh<sup>1</sup>, F.M. Levinton<sup>1</sup>, R.E. Bell<sup>2</sup>, J.C.Hosea<sup>2</sup>, S.M. Kaye<sup>2</sup>, B.P. LeBlanc<sup>2</sup>, E. Mazzucato<sup>2</sup>, D.R. Smith<sup>2</sup>, H.K. Park<sup>3</sup>

### EX/P3-1 TUESDAY, OCT 14, 2008 2:10PM – 4:15PM



# Suppression of turbulent transport in NSTX internal transport barriers

College W&M Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL **PPPL** PSI **Princeton U** SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine **UCLA** UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Marvland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

H. Yuh<sup>1</sup>, F.M. Levinton<sup>1</sup>, R.E. Bell<sup>2</sup>, J.C.Hosea<sup>2</sup>, S.M. Kaye<sup>2</sup>, B.P. LeBlanc<sup>2</sup>, E. Mazzucato<sup>2</sup>, D.R. Smith<sup>2</sup>, H.K. Park<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nova Photonics Inc., Princeton, NJ
 <sup>2</sup> PPPL, Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ
 <sup>3</sup>POSTECH, Pohang, Korea



#### 22<sup>nd</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference

Oct. 13-18, 2008 Geneva, Switzerland

Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST ENEA, Frascati CEA. Cadarache IPP, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

#### 16 ch MSE constrains reconstruction of dynamic NSTX current profiles

- Low field Lyot filter based MSE system expanded to 16 channels
- Diagnoses nearly all NSTX plasmas with beam heating or beam blips
- Provides full coverage from edge to well past magnetic axis



#### Low field on NSTX requires use of Lyot filters



- Lyot filter, collimating and focusing optics,
  APD detector, HV for wavelength tuning,
  and temperature
  control are built into
  compact modules
- Achieved a spectral FWHM of 0.062 nm

Instrument	input	Δ λ (nm)	f/#	Etendue	Trans-	Luminosity	Relative to
	aperture				mission		BIF
	A(mm <sup>2</sup> )			U ( mm <sup>2</sup> – sr )	t	U?t	
BIF(NSTX)	59.7	0.062	1.2	32.6	10%	3.3	1
Fabry-Perot	1	0.062	1.2	.5	25%	.13	1/ 25
Grating(ref.)	.04	.1	5.	10 <sup>-3</sup>	80%	8 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	$1/(4 \times 10^3)$
Grating(trans)	.04	.1	1.8	10 <sup>-2</sup>	80%	$8 \times 10^{-3}$	1/400

### Lyot filter properties suitable for ITER MSE



- High Bremsstrahlung to signal ratio favors viewing only the highest intensity Stark multiplet (σ<sub>0</sub>)
- High throughput, low bandpass filters allow the ITER MSE system enough etendue viewing σ<sub>0</sub> only
- Would require interference filter in a large size not available commercially

#### MSE accurately constrains q and j profiles of reversed shear profiles



- Minimization of flux consumption on NSTX plasma startup favors low  $\ell_{i},$  reversed shear plasmas
- Reconstructions performed using LRDFIT (a inductance-resistance 2D axisymmetric circuit model of a tokamak which can be constrained to fit various combinations of diagnostic data. Reconstruction shown here uses magnetics, E<sub>r</sub> correction, T<sub>e</sub> isothermal flux surfaces, and rotation)

#### Internal transport barrier in Te, Ti, $v_{\phi}$ profiles



- Peaked core gradients in electron and ion temperatures, and toroidal velocity
- Electron density gradient does not show much change with ITB, reducing possibility ITB is dominated by inward particle pinch
- NSTX profile diagnostics
  - 51 channel CHERS
  - 30 channel TS
  - 16 channel MSE
- Profiles optimally fitted with modified Tanh or splines
   2MW NBI
   1.3MW HHFW

#### Electron transport quenching via negative magnetic shear

Maximum  $R/L_{Te}$ 

- Increasing heating power does not increase T<sub>e</sub> gradients for minimum magnetic shear above -0.45
- Parallels the idea of γ<sub>E×B</sub> quenching of ITG turbulence, but ETG quench mechanism is via negative magnetic shear
- Consistent with Jenko, Dorland nonlinear gs2 predictions
   [PRL 89, (2002)]





All points have 2MW NBI heating

#### Peak R/L<sub>Te</sub> location highly correlated with \$ minima



- Statistical analysis of profiles using data from ~80 shots with about 460 profiles
- Radial location of minima in magnetic shear (ŝ) compared with locations of maximum gradients, but only for high R/L<sub>Te</sub> (ITB) profiles
- Standard deviation of the separation for electron ITB cases (4 cm) is significantly below the convolution width of the radial locations of min(ŝ) and max(R/L<sub>Te</sub>) (7.5cm)
  - minimum magnetic shear (ŝ) location is highly correlated with peak gradient location
  - Location of ion-ITB shows mean offset from minimum shear location



- The radial locations for ITBs in  $T_{e}, T_{i},$  and  $V_{\varphi}$  are offset
- The T<sub>i</sub>-ITB is tends to be furthest out, followed by  $V_{\phi}$ -ITB, and T<sub>e</sub>-ITB closest to the magnetic axis
- Separation between T<sub>i</sub>-ITB location and minima in magnetic shear suggests magnetic shear is not the dominant suppression
- Analysis shows peak E×B location to be at foot of V<sub>φ</sub> pedestal, close to the location of the T<sub>i</sub>-ITB
- Consistent with hypothesis that:
  - E×B shear quenches ITG
  - Negative magnetic shear quenches ETG

#### Ion ITB occurs at maximum E×B shear



- Ion ITB coincides with peak
   E×B shearing rate but
   electron ITB does not
- Result shown is for beam heated only ITB discharge
- TORIC HHFW power deposition profile has very recently been incorporated into TRANSP
- RF heated ITB discharges show increased T<sub>e</sub> gradients
- Ongoing analysis will show dependence of χ<sub>e</sub> on input power for ITB discharges

# High-k scattering comparison, top of electron-ITB (R=114cm)



- High-k scattering measures reduced local n<sub>e</sub> fluctuations in the ETG k range for ITB discharges.
- Compare measurements in ITB vs. non-ITG cases
- Shows strong activity for non-ITB case despite weak gradients
- Beam heated discharge, flow shear and T<sub>i</sub>-ITB also present

# High-k scattering comparison, peak R/L<sub>te</sub> electron-ITB (R=120cm)



- Measured frequency is in electron diamagnetic direction but strongly Doppler shifted by toroidal rotation
- High-k fluctuations strongly suppressed in reversed shear ITB case, despite strong gradients (R/L<sub>Te</sub>=10)

Mazzucato, EX/10-2Ra

# High-k scattering comparison, foot of electron-ITB (R=124cm)



- Similar suppression of fluctuations in strongly reversed shear ITB discharges near the foot of the ITB.
- Weak negative shear does not suppress high-k fluctuations

### High-k scattering comparison, outside electron-ITB (R=134cm)



- Near or outside of q<sub>min</sub>, there does not appear to be dramatic reductions in high-k fluctuations regardless of ITB formation
- For this location the directions are reversed from other cases, frequencies have reversed signs but still in electron direction

### Negative magnetic shear can suppress electron thermal transport without flow shear...



- Comparing 2 identical discharges with only HHFW (High Harmonic Fast Wave, Landau damped on electrons) heating
- MSE data taken using 50ms NBI blips, but at different times during discharge
- Only early phase of discharge is reversed shear with an ITB profile
- Later profile with lower gradients and monotonic q-profile shows increased high-k fluctuations
- No momentum input, minimal toroidal rotation, near zero flow shear. No ion-ITB
- Magnetic shear alone can suppress electron thermal transport

#### ...but flow shear can also affect electron turbulence



- Comparing two profiles from single discharge, beam heating only for both times
- Rotation profile is increasing E×B shearing rate at high-k measurement location
- q-profile is stationary throughout time period, and measurement is at q<sub>min</sub>
- Frequency evolves due to increase in Doppler shift
- The observed amplitude of high-k fluctuations decrease with increasing v<sub>φ</sub> shear rate
   Kaye, EX/3-2

#### Conclusion:

Negative magnetic shear suppresses electron transport

- Electron ITB location strongly correlated with minima of negative magnetic shear
- Ion ITB does not occur at \$ minima, but closer to maximum E×B shear location
- T<sub>e</sub> gradients appear to be limited to R/L<sub>Te</sub>  $\leq$  7.5 for cases where min( $\hat{s}$ ) > -0.45
- High-k fluctuations with ETG wavenumbers shows reduced amplitude in ITB regions with negative magnetic shear despite high gradients
- Magnetic shear can suppress electron transport and form electron ITBs even without flow shear, but flow shear does affect fluctuations at the ETG scale