



Parametric dependencies of low-k turbulence in NSTX H-mode pedestals (P7-18)

David R. Smith¹, R. Fonck¹, G. McKee¹, R. Bell², Y. Chen³, A. Diallo², B. Dudson⁴, S. Kaye², B. LeBlanc², R. Maingi⁵, S. Parker³, B. Stratton², and W. Wan³

¹ University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA
 ² Princeton Plasma Physics Lab, Princeton, NJ, USA
 ³ University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
 ⁴ University of York, York, UK
 ⁵ Oak Ridge National Lab, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

24th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference San Diego, CA, USA October 8-13, 2012

What are the characteristics and parametric dependencies of **pedestal turbulence** in NSTX? Can simulations reproduce the observations?

- Global confinement predictions for ITER depend upon accurate edge and pedestal models
 - ST edge parameters are among the most challenging regimes for plasma turbulence simulations: steep gradients, large ρ^* , high β , strong shaping, strong beam-driven flow
- We measure pedestal turbulence parameters in NSTX H-mode plasmas during ELM-free, MHD quiescent periods
 - Poloidal correlation length, wavenumber, and decorrelation time
 - Identify parametric dependencies (∇n_e , ∇T_i , etc)
- In addition, we compare measurements to pedestal turbulence simulations (GEM and BOUT++)



2

Outline

- Beam emission spectroscopy (BES) diagnostic on NSTX
- Pedestal turbulence measurements and parametric dependencies
 - ELM-free, MHD quiescent periods
- Fluid and gyrokinetic simulations of pedestal turbulence
- Future work and summary

NSTX-U





BES measures Doppler-shifted D_{α} emission (λ_0 =656 nm) from neutral beam particles



The beam emission spectroscopy (BES) system on NSTX measures fluctuations on the ion gyroscale

- Presently 32 detection channels
- 56 sightlines in radial and poloidal arrays spanning core to SOL
- 2 MHz sampling with digital AA filter
- $k_\perp \rho_i \le 1.5$ & 2-3 cm spot size
- Field-aligned optics with high throughput (etendue = 2.3 mm²-ster)



56 BES sightlines in radial and poloidal arrays







Point spread function calculations indicate image distortion from atomic state lifetimes and field line geometry are negligible





We measure poloidal correlation lengths (L_c), poloidal wavenumbers (k_{θ}), and decorrelation times (τ_d) with BES



At the LH transition, L_{pol} increases and k_{θ} decreases



Also, measurements suggest eddy advection in lab frame shifts from *electron* to *ion* diamagnetic direction





Pedestal measurements show complex turbulence activity in H-mode during ELM-free, MHD quiescent periods





() NSTX-U

Questions about pedestal turbulence to ask and answer with BES measurements

• What are typical L_c , k_{θ} , and τ_d values in the H-mode pedestal during ELM-free, MHD quiescent periods?

- How do L_c, k₀, and τ_d change with plasma parameters? $- \nabla n_e, \nabla T_i, q/\hat{s}, v_e, \beta_e, n_{ped}, etc.$
- Can we connect observations to edge turbulence simulations?

- GEM, XGC1 or BOUT++?



Pedestal turbulence measurements and plasma parameters from ELM-free, MHD quiescent H-modes were gathered in a database

Database details

- 129 entries from 29 discharges
 B_{T0} = 4.5 kG
 I_p = 700-900 kA
 15-45 ms averaging
- Turbulence parameters $L_c/\rho_i \sim 12$ $k_{\theta}\rho_i \sim 0.2$ $\tau_d/(a/c_s) \sim 5$ $\tau_d\omega^*_{pi} \sim 0.15$
- Plasma parameters
 - generally 50%-300% variation
 - $\begin{array}{l} \ n_{e}, \ \nabla n_{e}, \ T_{e}, \ \nabla T_{e}, \ Ti, \ \nabla T_{i}, \ v_{t}, \\ \nabla v_{t}, \ q, \ \mathbf{\hat{s}}, \ v_{e}, \ v_{i}, \ \beta, \ \beta_{e}, \ n_{ped}, \\ \Delta R_{ped}, \ \delta_{r}^{\ sep} \end{array}$

00 NSTX-U



D. Smith, IAEA 2012

A search algorithm identifies regression models; models exhibit **similar scalings** despite different parameter compositions



- Algorithm adds or removes x_k in model to find local minimum in model error
- Many models (local minima) exist in high dimensional x_k space
- Screen models to ensure high statistical quality
 - Statistical significance (α_k t-statistics)
 - Multicollinearity (variance inflation factor)
 - Error normality (Studentized residuals)

(0) NSTX-U

Regression models exhibit similar scalings despite different parameter compositions

TABLE III: α coefficients for a subset of L_c/ρ_s models							
Model	α_k coefficients of parameters in model						
R^2	∇n_e	T_e	T_i	$1/L_{Ti}$	∇V_t	ν_e	n_{ped}
0.63	0.28	_	-0.20	-0.29	_	0.31	_
0.63	0.34	_	_	_	-0.37	0.30	_
0.61	0.46	-0.21	_	_	-0.38	_	_
0.60	_	_	_	_	-0.47	0.38	0.24
0.60	_	_	-0.22	-0.35	_	0.40	0.15
0.55	_	-0.24	-	_	-0.55	_	0.36

L_c increases at higher ∇n_e , 1/L_{Te}, v, and n_{ped} ; decreases at higher T_i, ∇T_i , and ∇v_t



k_{θ} scalings consistent with L_c scalings; τ_{d} scalings provide additional insight





 \bigcirc

NSTX-U

D. Smith, IAEA 2012

Parametric scalings point to **TEM turbulence** and possibly **KBM** or μ**-tearing turbulence** in NSTX H-mode pedestal

Parametric dependencies are ...

most consistent with TEM turbulence

- $\nabla n_e (L_c \text{ and } k_{\theta})$ and $1/L_{Te} (\tau_d)$ scalings are consistent with TEM; Te/Ti and ν_e scalings show mixed agreement

partially consistent with KBM turbulence

– β_e scalings (k₀ and τ_d) are consistent with KBM; $\nabla n_e, \ \nabla T_i$, and 1/L_{Te} show mixed agreement

partially consistent with **µ-tearing turbulence***

- all β_e and ν_e scalings are consistent with $\mu\text{-tearing},$ but 1/L $_{\text{Te}}$ scaling for τ_d is inconsistent
- * NSTX core μ -tearing simulations indicate BES is not sensitive to μ -tearing, but pedestal simulations show tearing-parity instabilities

least consistent with ITG turbulence

- ∇n_e and ∇T_i (L_c and k_{θ}) and all ν_e scalings are inconsistent with ITG; Te/Ti scalings (k_{θ} and τ_d) show mixed agreement



Parametric scalings also consistent with equilibrium and zonal E×B flows

 ∇v_t scalings for L_c and k_θ point to turbulence suppression by
 equilibrium E×B flow shear

– L_c decreases and k_θ increases at higher ∇v_t

- Collisionality scalings are consistent with turbulence reduction via zonal flows
 - τ_{d} decreases and L $_{c}$ increases at higher ν

- Pedestal height (n_{ped}) increases at larger L_c and τ_d
 - Consistent with empirical relationship between wider pedestals and larger turbulent structures (Z. Yan et al., PoP 18, 056117 (2011))



Linear growth rates from GEM gyrokinetic simulations show scalings consistent with measured L_c scalings

GEM simulations with $6 \le n \le 15$ and $k_0 \rho_s \sim 0.2$ indicate instabilities are **electromagnetic**, destabilized by **collisions**, and exhibit both **ballooning** and **tearing parity**



5 of 6 ∇n_e scenarios indicate low-n growth rates increase at higher ∇n_e

NSTX-U

7 of 7 ∇T_i scenarios indicate low-n growth rates decrease at higher ∇T_i

GEM γ dependencies on ∇n_e and ∇T_i are consistent with measured L_c scalings

GEM simulations point to tearing parity mode structures and highlight the importance of collisions





0.95

0.95

L_c and k_{θ} from BOUT++ pedestal simulations compare favorably with measurements

Initial value 3D Braginskii fluid simulations evolve n_i , ω , j_{\parallel} , A_{\parallel} , T_i , and T_e with collisionality, E×B advection, field line curvature, and drive terms for j_{\parallel} and ∇P . Simulations do not include toroidal rotation and parallel advection.



D. Smith, IAEA 2012

NSTX-U

BOUT++ parameter scans point to larger fluctuation amplitudes at **lower** ∇n_i and **higher** ∇T_i



Note that measurements indicate L_c increases at **higher** ∇n_i and **low** ∇T_i



Future work

- Fluctuation amplitudes
 - Radial dependence
 - Parametric dependencies
- Radial correlation length analysis
- Radial and poloidal wavenumber spectra
- Flow fluctuations and time-delay estimation
 - Predator-prey model between flow fluctuations and turbulence parameters
- Fluid simulations with parallel advection and V_{tor}





Summary

- Pedestal model validation is critical for ITER, and ST edge parameters are among the most challenging regimes for turbulence simulations
- We measured pedestal turbulence parameters in NSTX H-mode plasmas during ELM-free, MHD quiescent periods

 $- \ L_c / \rho_i \thicksim 12 \qquad k_\theta \rho_i \thicksim 0.2 \qquad \tau_d / (a/c_s) \thicksim 5$

- Parametric dependencies for pedestal turbulence measurements are most consistent with TEM turbulence and partially consistent with KBM and μ-tearing turbulence
- **GEM** gyrokinetic simulations show higher γ at higher ∇n_e and lower $\nabla T_i \rightarrow \text{consistent}$ with measured L_c
 - Collisions increase γ at low-n \rightarrow consistent with measured scalings
- **BOUT++** Braginskii fluid simulations show **saturation amplitudes** that decrease with ∇n_i and increase with $\nabla T_i \rightarrow$ not consistent with scalings



Pedestal turbulence measurements





Pedestal turbulence parametric dependencies in ELM-free, MHD quiescent H-modes





Preliminary pedestal turbulence simulations (gyrokinetic and Braginskii fluid)



