Progress on developing the spherical tokamak for fusion applications

J. Menard¹, T. Brown¹, J. Canik², J. Chrzanowski¹, L. Dudek¹, L. El Guebaly³,

S. Gerhardt¹, S. Kaye¹, C. Kessel¹, E. Kolemen¹, M. Kotschenreuther⁴, R. Maingi²,

C. Neumeyer¹, M. Ono¹, R. Raman⁵, S. Sabbagh⁶, V. Soukhanovskii⁷, T. Stevenson¹, R. Strykowsky¹, P. Titus¹, P. Valanju⁴, G. Voss⁸, A. Zolfaghari¹, the NSTX Upgrade Team email: imenard@pppl.gov

¹Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543

²Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

³University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

⁴University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA

⁵University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁶Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, USA ⁸Culham Centre for Fusion Energy, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, UK

A Fusion Nuclear Science Facility (FNSF) could play an important role in the development of fusion energy by providing the high neutron flux and fluence environment needed to develop fusion materials and components [1-7]. The spherical tokamak (ST) is a leading candidate for an FNSF due to its compact size and modular configuration. Two activities preparing the ST for possible FNSF applications have been advanced in the U.S. during the past two years. First, a major upgrade of the National Spherical Torus eXperiment (NSTX) has been designed, approved, and initiated. Second, previously reported "pilot plant" studies [7] identified key research needs and design issues for ST-based FNSF devices and motivate studies of the impact of device size on neutron wall loading, tritium breeding, and electricity production. Progress in both research activities will be described.

NSTX is a MA-class ST facility in the U.S. actively developing the physics basis for an ST-based FNSF. A key research goal of NSTX Upgrade (NSTX-U) is to access 3-5 times lower collisionality to more fully understand transport, stability, and non-inductive start-up and sustainment in the ST. For example, NSTX and MAST observe a strong (nearly inverse) scaling of normalized confinement with collisionality, and if this trend holds at low collisionality, high fusion neutron fluences could be achievable in very compact ST devices. Such considerations motivate the upgrade of NSTX to higher toroidal field $B_T=0.55T \rightarrow 1T$, plasma current $I_P = 1MA \rightarrow 2MA$, NBI heating power $P_{NBI} = 5MW \rightarrow 10MW$, aspect ratio A = 1.3 \rightarrow 1.5, and pulse length $\tau_{pulse} = 1-1.5s \rightarrow 5-8s$. Higher toroidal field and pulse length will be achieved by fabricating and installing a new center stack (CS) in NSTX-U. Figure 1 shows the extensive vessel modifications required to handle the up to four times higher electromagnetic loads. TRANSP simulations indicate that more tangential neutral beam injection (NBI) can increase NBI current drive efficiency by up to a factor of two, support fully non-inductive operation at 1MA plasma current, enable control of the core q profile, and ramp-up the plasma current from intermediate current (~0.4MA) to ~1MA levels.





Figure 3 - Injection geometry of present and new 2nd NBI of NSTX-U.

As shown in Figure 2a, higher toroidal field = 1T and increased NBI current drive are predicted to enable 100% non-inductive operation (indicated by white line) with $H_{98} \sim 1.05$ for a wide range of Greenwald fraction values and with $q_{min} > 1$ as shown in Figure 2b. Figure 3 shows the injection geometry of the present and new 2nd more tangential NBI system of NSTX-U.



Figure 4 –ST-FNSF/Pilot Plant cross-section.



Figure 5 – ST-FNSF parameters vs. R for fixed average neutron wall loading = $1MW/m^2$.



Figure 6 – ST-FNSF engineering gain Q_{eng} and fusion gain Q_{DT} vs. R at fixed $\beta_N = 6$, $q^* = 2.5$.

Recent assessments of the divertor heat flux scaling in NSTX project to peak divertor heat fluxes ≥ 20 MW/m² in the Upgrade for conventional divertor configurations with flux expansion ~20. Very high flux expansions of ~40-60 have recently been shown to successfully reduce peak heat flux in NSTX [8], and additional divertor poloidal field coils are being incorporated into the Upgrade design to support high flux expansion "snowflake" [8] and "X/Super-X" [9] divertors and strike point control for high heat flux mitigation while also improving CHI plasma startup capabilities.

For ST-FNSF design, the divertor region is also a critical and challenging area. Figure 4 shows the cross-section of an ST FNSF/Pilot Plant [7] indicating the blanket modules (pink), vacuum vessel (gray), TF coil legs (orange), center-stack (dark orange), divertor PF coils (orange), and superconducting outer PF coils (green). In this unique configuration, the divertor Cu PF coils are placed in the ends of the center-stack to enable high-triangularity plasma shapes with flux expansion of 15-20 compatible with demountable TF legs and a removable center-stack. Design work to incorporate the snowflake divertor in ST-FNSF is underway.

Understanding the impact of varied device size is another important ongoing ST-FNSF research activity. For example, for an ST-FNSF with A=1.7, κ =3, B_T=3T, 500keV NNBI for heating and current drive, H₉₈=1.2, $f_{Greenwald}$ =0.8, and average neutron wall loading of $1MW/m^2$, Figure 5 shows that as the plasma major radius R is increased from 1m to 2.2m, the impact is stabilizing, since $\beta_T = 19 \rightarrow 14\%$, $\beta_N = 4.5 \rightarrow 3.8$, and $q^* = 3.5 \rightarrow 4.2$. However, the overall fusion power = $60MW \rightarrow 300MW$, the tritium consumption also therefore increases by a factor of 5, and the electric power consumed increases from 350MW \rightarrow 500MW. For higher performance operation targeting net electricity production with fixed $B_T=2.6T$, $H_{98}=1.5$, $\beta_N = 6$, $\beta_T = 35\%$, and $q^* = 2.5$, Figure 6 shows that as R is increased from 1m to 2.2m the smallest possible ST device that can achieve electricity break-even (Qeng=1) has R=1.6m assuming very high

blanket thermal conversion efficiency $\eta_{th} = 0.59$ [10]. For $\eta_{th} = 0.45$, the device size increases to R=1.9-2m, and still larger devices are required for lower η_{th} . A near-term issue to be addressed is the impact of device size on tritium breeding ratio (TBR) where smaller devices will likely have more difficulty achieving TBR > 1 since a higher fraction of in-vessel surface area must be dedicated to auxiliary heating ports and blanket test modules.

- [1] M. Peng, et al., Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion 47 (2005) B263–B283
- [2] M. Peng, et al., Fusion Science and Technology 56 (2009) 957
- [3] G. Voss, et al., Fusion Engineering and Design 83 (2008) 1648–1653
- [4] M. Kotschenreuther, et al., Fusion Engineering and Design 84 (2009) 83-88
- [5] B. Kuteev, et al., Nuclear Fusion **51** (2011) 073013
- [6] V. Chan, et al., Fusion Science and Technology 57 (2010) 66
- [7] J. Menard, et al., Nuclear Fusion 51 (2011) 103014
- [8] V. Soukhanovskii, et al, Nuclear Fusion 51 (2011) 012001
- [9] M. Kotschenreuther, et al., Physics of Plasmas 14 (2007) 072502
- [10] F. Najmabadi, et al., Fusion Engineering and Design 80 (2006) 3-23

This work is supported by U.S. DOE Contract # DE-AC02-09CH11466