

Supported by



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF** 

#### **Towards Identifying the Mechanisms Underlying Field-Aligned Edge Losses of HHFW Power on NSTX**

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics** ORNL PPPL **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC Irvine** UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** X Science LLC

Rory J. Perkins, J.-W Ahn, R.E. Bell, N. Bertelli, A. Diallo, S. Gerhardt, T.K. Gray, D.L. Green, J.C. Hosea, E.F. Jaeger, M.A. Jaworski, G.J. Kramer, B.P. LeBlanc, R. Maingi, A. McLean, C.K. Phillips, L. Roquemore, P.M. Ryan, S. Sabbagh, F. Scotti, G. Taylor, J.R. Wilson, and the NSTX Research Team

#### **Radio-Frequency Power in Plasmas** Sorrento, Italy Jun 28, 2012





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hvogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFRI KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Science

### HHFW power lost *directly* to divertor regions along SOL field lines on NSTX



() NSTX-U

- RF power deposited in bright spirals on upper and lower divertor
- Occurs as waves propagate away from antenna prior to LCFS
  - Large single-pass absorption (high beta)
  - Negligible multi-pass dampening

#### Outline

#### NSTX exhibits field-aligned loss in SOL

- Deposits power in spirals on divertor
- Losses occur along all SOL field lines around antenna
- Loss amplitude peaks near antenna and also LCFS
- Field-aligned losses are significant

NSTX-U

- Account for large portion of total losses
- Other loss mechanisms may be significant too

#### Fast-wave propagation in SOL may be responsible

- Losses are related to onset density for perpendicular fastwave propagation
- Codes show signs of large RF fields in SOL but need proper edge damping.

### Field-line mapping models flow of lost HHFW power



### Computed strike points form a spiral that closely matches the observed RF spiral

#### Strike points on divertor for field lines started at midplane...



**(D)** NSTX-U

### Computed strike points form a spiral that closely matches the observed RF spiral



... create spiral pattern close to camera images



R.J. Perkins et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 045001



### Spiral location moves when magnetic field pitch changes

- Radius shifts by ~ 15 cm inward
  with increased pitch
  - Low pitch:  $I_P/B_T = 0.8 \text{ MA} / 5.5 \text{ kG} (31^\circ)$
  - High pitch:  $I_P/B_T = 1 \text{ MA} / 4.5 \text{ kG} (42^\circ)$
- Can sweep RF spiral across diagnostics
  - Langmuir probes
  - Instrumented divertor tiles

141888 time = 0.312s - bkg 0.247s 141899 time = 0.319s - bkg 0.247s



#### High field pitch puts spiral over Langmuir probe<sup>\*</sup> 4 but not on other probes a few cm in





- Langmuir probe P4 floating potential responds strongly to RF
- Langmuir probe P2, 6 cm inboard, has a much weaker response
- RF-induced effects are localized

### Modeling indicates that edge RF power propagates along field lines



- Computed strike point spirals match tile and probe measurements
- High field pitch places spiral at probe 4 location

**(D)** NSTX-U

- Probe connected to SOL 4 cm away from antenna

#### **Divertor IR camera measures** strong RF-induced heating



#### IR camera data agree with calculated strike points



### Lost-HHFW-power profile at midplane is large near LCFS as well as antenna

- Midplane profile shows two-peak structure:
  - Peaking of power coupled close to antenna and LCFS
  - Relatively low coupling in between
- Loss mechanism cannot be localized to antenna



### SPIRAL strike points generally agree with Qdiv for pitch scan



(0)

- SPIRAL strike points agree with heat peak
- Second heat peak moves inward with increasing pitch
  - Also grows in amplitude
  - Strike points track this motion
- A portion of heat falls outside range of strike points from midplane

### Outer heat appears to come from field lines linking the edge of bottom antenna plate



Black strike points connect to edge of antenna bottom plate.



🔘 NSTX-U

#### **Estimating losses in the spirals is important**

- Determines the overall magnitude of field-aligned losses to divertor
- Decides whether other loss mechanisms are significant
- Losses in spiral cannot yet be determined
  - IR camera coverage is limited
  - Power deposited has strong toroidal variation along spiral
  - NSTX-U will have improved IR coverage

### Use red-pixel intensity as a rough proxy for toroidal variation of heat flux



- Red light emission available from wide-angle midplane camera
- Red light emission is subject to spectroscopic issues and is not a perfect representation of heat flux
- However, red emission decays after RF pulse on time scale consistent with heat diffusion

### Rough estimate of power deposited in lower spiral



# Estimated losses in spirals are significant but other losses may be playing a role

- Spiral losses estimated around 0.6 MW
  - Assuming top/bottom symmetry

- Total losses estimated around 1.1 MW
  - Amount of power missing from the core plasma

- Other losses are probably contributing
  - Parametric decay instability heats edge impurity ions
  - Sheath losses to antenna components and magnetically connected structures

# The critical question: what is the mechanism behind these field-aligned losses to divertor?

- Fast-wave propagation in SOL is leading candidate
  - Dissipation could occur via RF sheaths formed on divertor tiles
  - Dissipation could also occur via nonlinear saturation of RF currents

- Core heating efficiency related onset density of perpendicular fast-wave propagation (righthand cutoff)
  - If cutoff is too far from antenna, coupling is poor
  - Moving cutoff too close to antenna leads to poor heating efficiency on NSTX
  - Possibly due to significant wave propagation and dissipation in SOL

### Fast-wave propagation in SOL might explain loss profile



### AORSA with SOL shows significant RF fields outside of LCFS



- Possible indication of FW propagation in SOL
  - N. Bertelli, this conference
  - NSTX-U equilibrium, Bt = 1 T
- Edge field amplitudes vary with k
  - P. M. Ryan, this conference
  - D.L. Green et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 145001
  - Onset density also a strong function of k

#### Adding relevant loss mechanisms in SOL is vital for RF codes



- Without edge damping physics:
  - Absorption weak in relatively cold SOL plasma
  - Field-amplitudes grow until Poynting flux to core balances antenna input
  - No real indication of field-aligned power flux in SOL to divertor
- Edge damping competes with Poynting flux to core!

#### **Future work**

- Preparations for NSTX-U
  - RF probes needed to confirm presence of RF fields in divertor region
  - Improved IR coverage

- Field-line mapping predicts optimal location for probes
- Results provide a benchmark for RF codes
  - Codes must reproduce field-aligned loss to divertor
  - May use various edge damping
  - Important for predicting impact on ITER
- Develop cylindrical cold-plasma model
  - Search for densities and magnetic fields combinations that give significant wave-propagation in SOL

#### Summary

- NSTX exhibits field-aligned losses to the divertor
  - Losses occur across width of SOL

- Losses peak close to antenna and also the LCFS
- Field lines connected to antenna bottom plate are involved
- Field-aligned losses are significant but may not account for total RF losses
- Fast-wave propagation in SOL may be responsible
  - Measurements on NSTX-U will confirm or deny presence of RF fields in divertor region
  - Edge damping models must be added to RF codes

#### Various diagnostics detect RF-effects in divertor regions



- Spiral moves with changing pitch
- Langmuir probes respond strongly to RF when under spiral
- Current to divertor tiles increase
  when under spiral
- IR cameras show strong divertor heating under spiral

#### **Current-sensor tiles track movement of RF spiral**



- Bay K tile current decreases as RF spiral moves inward...
- ... while Bay I tile current rises

(D) NSTX-U

### Modeling indicates that edge RF power propagates along field lines



- Computed strike point spirals match tile and probe measurements
- Spiral goes over tile 3i and off of tile 3k with increasing pitch