MAST

Overview of MAST results

Mikhail Gryaznevich for the MAST team

EURATOM/UKAEA Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, UK

This work is funded jointly by the UK Department of Trade and Industry, and EURATOM.





MAST team:

J-W. Ahn 2) R.J. Akers 1), L.C. Appel 1), E.R. Arends 3), K.B. Axon 1), R.J. Buttery 1), C. Byrom 4), P.G. Carolan 1), C. Challis 1), F.Chernishev 12), D. Ciric 1), N.J. Conway 1), M. Cox 1), G.F. Counsell 1), G. Cunningham 1), A.C. Darke 1), A. Dnestrovskij 5), J. Dowling 1), M.R. Dunstan 1), A.R. Field 1), S.J. Fielding 1), S. Gee 1), M.P. Gryaznevich 1), P. Helander 1), M. Hole 1), M.B. Hood 1), A. Kirk 1), I.P. Lehane 6), B. Lloyd 1), G.P. Maddison 1), S.J. Manhood 1), R. Martin 1), G.J. McArdle 1), K.G. McClements 1), M.A. McGrath 7), H. Meyer 1), A.W. Morris 1), S.K. Nielsen 8), M.P.S. Nightingale 1), A. Patel 1), T. Pinfold 1), M.N. Price 1), J. Qin 2), C. Ribeiro 1), C.M. Roach 1), D.C. Robinson 1), O. Sauter 9), V. Shevchenko 1), S. Shibaev, 1) K. Stammers 1), A. Sykes 1), A. Tabasso 1), D. Taylor 1), M.R. Tournianski 1), G. Turri 2), M. Valovic 1), G.M. Voss 1), M.J. Walsh 10), S.E.V. Warder 1), J.R. Watkins 1), H.R. Wilson 1), Y. Yang 11), S. You 2) and the ECRH and NBI teams

Fusior

with Europe 🛪 🛶 🛪

Working ★

1) EURATOM/UKAEA Fusion Association, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon, UK

2) Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, London SW7 2BZ, UK

3) FOM Institut voor Plasmafysica Rijnhuizen, Nieuwegein, **Netherlands**

- 4) University of Manchester Institute of Science & Technology, Manchester, UK
- 5) Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia
- 6) University College, Cork, Ireland
- 7) University College, Dublin, Ireland
- 8) University of Aarhus, Denmark
- 9) CRPP, Association Euratom Confederation Suisse, EPFL,
- 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

10) Walsh Scientific Ltd, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, **OX14 3EB, UK**

11) Institute of Plasma Physics, Hefei, 230031, P.R. China 12)loffe Institute, St.Petersburg,Russia







On the top & inside MAST 2001

MAST Project mission:

- to contribute to the resolution of key issues for **ITER**
- to address outstanding issues specific to the <u>ST concept</u> which have a bearing on its viability as a potential fusion power plant

First three years of physics operations:

Considerable advances in a range **of physics areas of direct relevance to** <u>ITER</u> (*H-mode access, confinement scaling, NTMs, halo currents, ELMs, SOL scaling, divertor power loading)*

and in **areas relevant to the physics basis for operations in** <u>next-step STs</u> (start-up, current ramp, stability, confinement, current sustainment and exhaust issues)



| | Design | Achieved |
|--------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Minor & Major | 0.65, 0.85 | 0.65, 0.85 |
| radii a, R (m) | | |
| Elongation κ | ≥ 2 | 2.45 |
| Aspect ratio A | ≥ 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Plasma Current | 2 | 1.35 |
| I _p (MA) | | |
| Toroidal Field | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| B _{∲0} (T) at R | | |
| Aux. Heating: | | |
| Р _{NBI} (МW) | 5 | 3 |
| P _{ECH} (MW) | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Pulse length (s) | 5 | 0.7 |







Success of the first three years of physics operations was based on:

- Comprehensive diagnostics
- Flexibility of Magnetic Configuration
- Reliable operations in H-mode (OH or NBH) and easy H-mode access
- Low MHD and good confinement at high beta



Core and edge temperature and density measurements: *M Walsh E Arends A R Field N Conway G Counsell, A Kirk, M Tournianski*



- T_e(r), n_e (r): Thomson scattering (TS)
 - 300 point single pulse Ruby
 - 100 Hz 20 points NdYAG
- Pedestal
 - $-T_e$, n_e : TS and Helium line ratios (8 chords) HELIOS
 - n_o, dn_e/dr: 256 chords D_a camera
- T_i(r,t), V_f (r,t): Charge Exchange Radiation (CXR) 20 chords
- T_i(t) and fast ions: Scanning neutral particle analyser (collab with PPPL)
- SOL temperature and density measured with reciprocating LP
- Target temperature and density measured with 576 LP





Ion temperature and fast ion energy measurements:

N Conway F Chernishev M Tournianski

MAST

T_i(R,t), V_f (R,t) Charge Exchange Radiation (CXR) 20 chords



T_i(t) Scanning neutral particle analyser (PPPL)











Effect of Magnetic Configuration on L-H Transition: *H Meyer*



Subtle changes in the magnetic geometry are important for H-mode access - changes of the order ρ_i play a role.

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.140 \\ 0.150 \\ t[s] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.160 \\ t[s] \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.170 \\ 0.170 \\ 0.180 \end{array}$ H-mode access optimised for $|\delta r_{sep}|/\rho_i \leq 0.5$, i.e. connected DND configuration (CDND)

 \Rightarrow may be linked to large changes in parallel connection length

M Gryaznevich. Overview of MAST Results, 8th STW, Princeton, November 2002



= MAST

MAST







MAST

Low MHD and good confinement at high beta:



Main Results in Heating & Confinement

- H-mode Access
- H-mode Confinement
- Internal Transport Barriers



H-mode access and pedestal studies

MAST

 Parametric study of H-mode access (magnetic geometry of the edge, fuelling, plasma-wall separation)

High resolution (300pt) TS system gives pedestal profiles in a single discharge



H-mode confinement

• Data-sets of power threshold and energy confinement of ELMy H-mode from MAST have been assembled

Comparisons with international scalings show that:

- MAST introduces a weak positive *E*-dependence in the <u>L-H power threshold</u>

- MAST data is consistent with the <u>global IPB98(y,2)</u> scaling but supports a stronger aspect ratio dependence

- MAST indicates a quadratic R/a-dependence for pedestal energy scaling

UKAEA Fusion **

H-mode power threshold scaling



• MAST data significantly extends range of ϵ in ITPA database





MAST data supports a stronger aspect ratio dependence: more detailed analysis indicates $\mathcal{E}^{0.8}$ may be better (cf $\mathcal{E}^{0.57}$ in IPB98(y,2)), which is beneficial for STs

NB Power scan in L-mode shows no degradation in confinement

MAST



Pedestal Scaling



First data from MAST on pedestal scalings



M Gryaznevich. Overview of MAST Results, 8th STW, Princeton, November 2002

MAST W_e^{ped} ~ [0.5W_{scal}^{ped}]/4, where $W_{scal}^{ped} = e^{-3.74}I_p^{1.71}R^{1.16}P^{0.31}M^{0.3}(q_{95}/q_{cyl})^{1.2}$ (Thomsen 2002)

⇒ if difference attributed to aspect ratio dependence, a scaling $W_{scal}^{ped} \propto \epsilon^{-2}$ is implied



Internal Transport Barriers

Strong indication of ITBs in MAST :

A R Field, C Challis





 T_i and V_{ϕ} profiles, from CXR C⁶⁺, showing large thermal gradient at high velocity shear.

Electron and ion pressure profiles, showing steep gradients at position of high velocity shear.

0.8 R (m)

1.0

0.6

t = 250ms - 255ms

P₁ (n₁/n_e = 0.6) (CXR, C⁶⁺)

1.2

Pulse No: 7051

5000

4000

(Pa)

) a 3000 , F

2000

1000

0.4

Combination of current ramp and NBI produced steep ion and electron pressure gradients in the plasma core







Main Results in High Beta & Stability

- Good Stability at High Beta
- Good Confinement at High Beta
- Avoidance of Neo-classical Tearing Modes



Route to high betas in STs: Limits

Unlike in conventional aspect ratio tokamaks, an empirical $\beta_N \leq 4l_i$ limit has not been justified in STs



MAST









Beta Operating Space

MAST

High beta discharges have low MHD activity:



Contribution to the baseline ST scenario

High beta sustained for several confinement times:



Many of parameters required for ST Component Test Facility have been achieved simultaneously

However, access to operating point of the ST Power Plant is a challenge for future experiments



and **ITER** parameters (**dots** - kinetically validated data with low FP component and $-0.05 \le (dW/dt)/P \le 0.35)$ *H Wilson, M Valovic*



MAST



Main Results in Exhaust, ELMs & Halo Currents

Divertor Power Loading & ELMs

Divertor Biasing

Halo Currents



SOL physics development

Scalings for L-mode SOL heat flux width developed



- weak negative dependence on $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{SOL}}$
- approx. linear with n_{e} and q_{95}
- inner and outer SOL comparison gives robust B_T scaling $\propto B_T^{-0.8}$

$abla_{\prime\prime}$ B/B factor 10 larger in ST, which drives strong upstream flows

MAST

- Mid-plane Mach probe measures $M\sim 0.2$



Up-down power distribution: balanced for CDN with dr_{sep} ~2mm asymmetric due to ion ∇B drift Balanced operation is important for minimising power loading in Next Step STs



n=∞ ballooning unstable - boundary extrapolated

6

8

from 6252 (+)

O

Power efflux & impact of ELMs

6

2

0

0

00

2

T_pq₉₅/B₀ (keVT⁻¹)

H_H increases at low ELM frequency:



ELMs exhibit Type III characteristics

Stability analysis indicates that pedestal parameters in some discharges approach high-n ballooning limit (Type-I ELMs)

 $n_p q_{95}/B_0 (10^{20} m^{-3} T^{-1})$

<u>but</u> $\Delta W_{ELM} f_{ELM} / P_{heat} < 5\%$ under all conditions so far



Power distribution favourable for ST:



Both steady-state and transient (i.e. due to ELMs) power efflux strongly biased to outboard side where it is more easily handled

and power load is tolerable:

MAST



ELM effluxes far into SOL



MAST

ELM effluxes extend up to 30cm beyond separatrix at outboard side





Applications to ITER using self-biasing of components (different materials, angled tiles etc.)



All halo current paths monitored: current entering structures ~ current returning to plasma Currents and asymmetries smaller than other tokamaks *R Martin*



Main Results ST steady-state issues

- Non-solenoid start-up and current ramp
- Current sustainment, bootstrap, current drive



Non-solenoid start-up and current ramp

Plasma formation without use of solenoid flux demonstrated



Merging-compression minimises use of central solenoid flux during start-up Reliably used in most MAST regimes **0.5 MA achieved on MAST** Good target for non-solenoid current ramp

MAST

Non-solenoid start-up and current ramp

Plasma current can be ramped-up using only flux from BV coils during NB heating and sustained for τ > resistive time

Plasma current doubled at constant U_{loop} during NBH only 20% assigned to change in resistivity

Plasma current sustained at zero $\rm U_{loop}$ for 0.2s, which is ~ resistive time

Current ramp in H-mode

I_{pl} ramp in H-mode allows high dI_{pl}/dt and uses BV flux more efficiently Current ramp-up speed up to 8MA/s achieved without increase in MHD

H-L transition depends on the **applied loop voltage** but is not directly connected with plasma current ramp-up speed ...

 $\iota_{\rm H/L},\,\rm ms$ 80 H-mode L-mode 60 40 typical $\tau_{\rm F}$ U_{lood} = 2.8 V range 00 20 5 2.0 0.0 3.0 0 > 2.0 Ω 2 MANA M M ו_{loop}= 3.2 ∨ loop' , 3.0 Time of H-L transition 0.10 0.12 0.14 0.16 0.18 0.20 0.22 0.24 Time (Sec) vs applied U_{loop}

... plasma current ramp-up speed can be more than doubled without increase in MHD activity when more NB is applied

Non-solenoid start-up and current ramp

 I_p > 0.3MA obtained using "merging-compression" formation without use of solenoid flux. Similar currents have been achieved on JT-60U

Plasma current can be ramped-up without use of the solenoid flux (ASTRA simulations)

MAST

NBCD and **Bootstrap**

M Gryaznevich. Overview of MAST Results, 8th STW, Princeton, November 2002

MAST

Conclusions

MAST data are making important contributions to key physics R & D issues for ITER, as well as helping to establish the viability of the ST concept

Considerable advances in areas relevant to the physics basis for operations in next-step STs (*start-up*, *current ramp*, *stability*, *confinement*, *current sustainment and exhaust issues*)

Together with the extensive array of high quality diagnostics on MAST, these results provide an excellent platform for further input to key ITER physics studies and issues of specific relevance to the viability of the ST concept

Forward Programme

Autumn 2002 - April 2003:

EBW antenna, P_{NBI} > 3MW & continuation of key ITER physics studies

Sustained high beta operation (incl. NTM studies)

Increased elongation

Non-inductive current drive (NBCD, high bootstrap current regimes)

Confinement optimisation (energy/particle), H-mode dynamics

EBW tests

Divertor power loading studies (SOL scaling, detachment, divertor biasing)

and ELM characterisation/impact

Implement digital control system

May - December 2003

Install MAST improved divertor Install new centre column PF coil modifications

2003 on - ITER studies + focus on key issues for development of ST concept

Extend pulse length to 5s, P_{NBI} to 5MW exploiting improved power handling capabilities Exploit strong shaping capabilities of the ST ($\kappa \rightarrow 3$)

Integrated scenarios (sustained high beta with high bootstrap fraction, NBCD, optimised fuelling and effective exhaust)

Innovative start-up/heating/current drive schemes (e.g. EBW)

MAST Improved Divertor (MID)

The design features:

- Controllable inboard gas puff
- Larger footprint for inner SOL strike points
- Smaller flat section of P2 armour, to ease H-mode access
- Longer solenoid & 10cm higher
 P2 coils/plates to aid high k
 studies

MAST

Present divertor

MID, to be installed in 2003

Plant Improvements

New centre column

60GHz EBW Heating in MAST (new antenna installed Sep 2002)

Summary

Reliable H-mode access established allowing input to ITPA databases

H-mode access optimised for

- (i) inboard fuelling link between neutral distribution & plasma rotation
- (ii) connected DND configuration (favourable exhaust properties)

Quasi-stationary H-modes established with good confinement $\tau_{E} \sim \tau_{E}^{IPB98(y,2)}$

Indications of both particle and energy internal transport barriers;

High normalised beta ($\beta_N > 5$) close to the ideal no wall stability limit;

Strong bias of steady-state & transient power efflux to outboard divertor targets where it is more easily handled; $\Delta W_{ELM} / \Delta W < 4\%$ to date but ELM effluxes up to 30cm beyond outboard separatrix

Initial divertor biasing tests promising - several results in accordance with theory

Low halo current magnitudes and asymmetries, $I_h/I_p \times TPF < 0.3$;

Promising indications of neutral beam current drive.

