## Plasma Startup via Local Helicity Injection and Stability Studies at NearUnity Aspect Ratio in the Pegasus Experiment

R.J. Fonck, J.L. Barr, M.W. Bongard, M.G. Burke, E.T. Hinson, A.J. Redd, N. Schoenberg,
D.J. Schlossberg,K.E. Thome

The Joint Meeting of 5th IAEA Technical Meeting on Spherical Tori

University of Wisconsin-Madison

16th International Workshop on Spherical Torus (ISTW2011)

2011 US-Japan Workshop on ST Plasma
National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki, Japan September 27-30, 2011


## PEGASUS is a Compact Ultralow-A ST
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## Pegasus Mission: Physics of Low $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow 1$

- University-scale, Low-A ST
$-\mathrm{R}_{0} \leq 0.45 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{a} \sim 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$
- Physics of High $I_{p} / I_{T F}$
- Expand operating space of the ST
- Study high $\beta_{\mathrm{T}}$ plasmas as $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow 1$
- Non-solenoidal startup

- Point-source helicity injection
- Helicity injection discharges couple to other current drive methods
- Peeling-mode studies
- Experimental tests of peeling-ballooning theory (ELM, ITER)



## Local Plasma Current Sources + Helical Vacuum Field Give Simple DC Helicity Injection Scheme

- Current is injected into the existing helical magnetic field
- High $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{inj}} \&$ modest $\mathrm{B} \Rightarrow$ filaments merge into current sheet
- High $\mathrm{I}_{\text {inj }}$ \& low $B \Rightarrow$ current-driven $B_{\theta}$ overwhelms vacuum $B_{z}$
- Relaxation via MHD activity to tokamak-like Taylor state w/ high toroidal current multiplication


Current filaments

Reduced $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{z}}$




Relaxed tokamak

- Technical attractiveness: can remove sources and anode after startup


## DC Helicity Injection Startup on PEGASUS Utilizes Localized Washer-Gun Current Sources

- Plasma gun(s) biased relative to anode:
- Helicity injection rate:

$$
\dot{K}_{i n j}=2 V_{i n j} B_{N} A_{i n j}
$$

$V_{i n j}$ - injector voltage
$B_{N}$ - normal B field at gun aperture
$A_{\text {inj }}$ - injector area



Simplified illustration of a plasma gun for helicity injection (not to scale)


## Evolution of midplane-gun-driven plasma

Pegasus shot \#40458: two midplane guns, mild outer-PF ramp

$\mathrm{t}=21.1 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=2-3 \mathrm{kA}$
Filaments only

$\mathrm{t}=28.8 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=42 \mathrm{kA}$ Driven diffuse plasma Guns off, Decaying

## Taylor Relaxation Criteria Sets the Maximum Ip for a Given Magnetic Geometry

Helicity balance in a tokamak geometry:

$$
\frac{d K}{d t}=-2 \int_{V} \eta \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{B} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{x}-2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \Psi-2 \int_{A} \Phi \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{s} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad I_{p} \leq \frac{A_{p}}{2 \pi R_{0}\langle\eta\rangle}\left(V_{i n d}+V_{e f f}\right)
$$

- Helicity injection can be expressed as an effective loop voltage
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ limit depends on the scaling of plasma confinement via the $\eta$ term

$$
V_{e f f} \approx \frac{A_{i n j} B_{\phi, i n j}}{\Psi_{T}} V_{b i a s}
$$

Taylor relaxation of a force-free equilibrium:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla \times B=\mu_{0} J=\lambda B \\
\lambda_{p} \leq \lambda_{\text {edge }}
\end{gathered} \longrightarrow \frac{\mu_{0} I_{p}}{\Psi_{T}} \leq \frac{\mu_{0} I_{i n j}}{2 \pi R_{i n j} w B_{\theta, i n j}} \longrightarrow I_{p} \leq\left[\frac{C_{p}}{2 \pi R_{i n j} \mu_{0}} \frac{\Psi_{T} I_{i n j}}{w}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Assumptions:

- Driven edge current mixes uniformly in SOL
- Edge fields average to tokamak-like structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { Plasma area } \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { Plasma circumference } \\
& \Psi_{\mathrm{T}} \text { Plasma toroidal flux } \\
& w \text { Edge current channel width }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Achieving the Maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ at the Taylor Limit Requires Sufficient Helicity Injection Input Rate




- Helicity input rate, and effective net volt-seconds, increases as $\mathrm{V}_{\text {inj }}$ increases
- Sufficient net V-sec needed to reach Taylor relaxation limit


## Experiments Confirm Relaxation Limit Scalings with $\left.\right|_{\mathrm{TF}}$ and $l_{\text {ini }}$

- The relaxation limit $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ scales with:

$$
I_{p} \propto\left[\frac{I_{T F} I_{i n j}}{w}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

- Experimental plasma current limits follow these scalings:




## Slowly-evolving Gun-driven Plasmas Hand Off Most Efficiently to Ohmic Drive

- Poloidal flux generated by helicity injection is equivalent to that generated by Ohmic Drive


## $-\mathrm{I}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{HI}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{OH}}$

- Excessive skin current => poor coupling to OH drive
- Slowly evolving: ~ flat j(r) (black)
- Smooth handoff to Ohmic inductive drive ( $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{R}$ ) profiles from external-only equilibrium reconstructions; $l_{i}<0.3$ )
- Rapidly evolving: ~ hollow, strong skin j(r) (red)
- Does not hand off efficiently to Ohmic drive




## Initial Spectroscopy Measurements Suggest Energetic lons

- Spectroscopic $T_{i}$ suggest high ion energies during reconnection period

- However, situation is much more complex if viewed toroidally
- Need improved time-resolution and spatial scans


## Several Issues to Address for a Predictive Model

- Extension to higher current, longer pulse
- Verify limit scalings
- Discharge evolution for long growth phase
- Test confinement properties, especially $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t})$
- Helicity dissipation scaling model
- Optimal gun-electrode configuration
- Increased helicity injection rate
- Test regime where helicity drive dominates PF induction for growth
- Active guns vs. passive electrode approach for long-pulse growth
- Injected current source impedance model
- What sets helicity injection rate?
- Edge j(r) measurements and $\lambda(\psi, t)$
- Physics of ultimate relaxation limits
- Current transport: MHD behavior


## Gun-Electrode Geometry: PF Induction, Plasma Size, and Null Formation

- Original: array was nearly vertical (red):
- $\mathrm{J}_{\text {edge }}$ width $w$ scaled with \# of guns
- Maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.11 \mathrm{MA}$.
- Aligned gun array tilt (green):
- Maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.17 \mathrm{MA}$.
- 3-fold reduction in $w$., consistent with changing the projected width at midplane.
- Maximize plasma size: array moved further away from midplane (blue):
- Maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.13 \mathrm{MA}$
- Larger startup plasma = reduced PF induction
- Poorer poloidal field null formed by current streams = more difficult to induce relaxation to tokamak state
- Tight gun-anode geometry preferred



## Active Gun / Passive Electrode Assembly Points to Simpler, Higher $I_{0}$ Operation

- Potential for much higher $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{inj}}$ without need for either more plasma guns or larger guns.
- Helicity injection physics is agnostic to the exact source of the edge charge carriers.
- Passive electrodes allow arbitrary shaping:
- Can optimize both helicity input (large cross-sectional area) and the Taylor limit on $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (narrow in radial direction)



## Initial Tests of Gun/Electrode Helicity Injection System Are Promising

- Operations use two steps:
- 1. Form initial tokamak-like state with minimal active arc gun
- 2. Grow to much larger $I_{p}$ with passive electrodes fed by electrode charge carriers induced and moderated by tokamak edge plasma.
- First tests are promising
- Arc current off after relaxation and formation of tokamak-like state
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ rise is virtually the same, whether arc discharge or passive electrode provide the charge carriers



## Integrated Arc Gun - Passive Electrode Experiments Begun

- New gun-electrode assembly has has extended electrode coupled to arc gun exit cathodes
- Offers 5-times increase in helicity injection rate
- Integrated scraper limiters to protect assembly and control local edge density
- Gas-puff control of

$$
V_{\text {bias }} \sim V_{\text {loop, effective }}
$$



## Local Limiters Reduce N to Negligible Levels in Well-behaved Injection Cases

- N dominant impurity with unprotected gun assembly
- $1^{\text {st }}$ estimates of impurity content via bolometer measures
- $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }} \sim 2.2$. +/- 0.8 during injection; $\leq 1.4$ after injection
- Mainly $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}} \sim 5 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ to 2 $\times 10^{19} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$
- Local scraper limiters much reduce N, O remains
- Bursts of N still evident with flare at BN surface
- Ohmic-only reference plasma very clean
- Zeff $\leq 1.2$

RJF ISTW 2011


## Source Impedance Appears to be Governed by Sheath Physics

- Model evolving for source impedance ~ helicity injection rate
- Predicative model requires edge density measurements
- Initiation phase: vacuum space charge limitation
$-I_{\text {bias }} \sim V^{3 / 2} / d^{2}$
- High $\mathrm{I}_{\text {bias }}$ drive phase:
expanding double layer sheath
$-d^{2} \sim V \Rightarrow I_{\text {bias }} \sim V^{1 / 2}$



## Intermittent $20-60 \mathrm{kHz} \mathrm{n}=1$ mode observed with strong edge current drive

- Bursts of $n=1$ magnetic oscillations
- Observed when plasma is coupled to edge current drive
- Different in nature from inboard current injection experiments




## The magnetic topology quickly changes with each burst of MHD activity

- Each burst typically ~ 0.1 ms
- With each burst...
- $l_{i}$ decreases $\rightarrow I_{p}$ increases
- $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ decreases $\rightarrow$ plasma expands
- $\mathrm{B}_{\phi 0}$ increases $\rightarrow \mathrm{q}_{0}$ increases
- Slight drop in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$
- Very little change in poloidal flux at plasma edge
- Rapid decrease in the total trapped poloidal flux

RJF ISTW 201 Temporally and spatially averaged $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ind }}$ $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~V}$


## Current Drive Tools Providing Access to High Field Utilization Regime

OH only $=$ large $2 / 1$ modes limit $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$


HI startup = MHD quiescent


- Helicity injection startup and Ohmic sustainment provides MHD-stable profiles at $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}<1$
- Need to extend to higher $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$, then to low $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}$ for high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and high $\beta_{\mathrm{T}}$ as $\mathrm{A} \approx 1$


## Medium-Term Upgrades Will Allow Further Tests of Point-Source Helicity Injection

- Gun-electrode Evolution
- Passive electrode material variations
- C electrode being installed
- Separate plasma gun and electrode
- Power Supplies, Heating
- New helicity injection power: $2 \mathrm{kV}, 15 \mathrm{kA}$
- Double TF current: Taylor limit increase
- Commission HHFW system: electron heating
- Expanded PF Coil Set and control
- Internal coils for radial position control
- New external divertor coils
- Implement GA Plasma Control System
- Diagnostic Additions
- Multipoint Thomson Scattering
- High-speed $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t})$
- Anomalous reconnection heating



## Thomson Scattering system uses new technologies for visible wavelength system

- Frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser provides $\sim 10^{18}$ photons
- For typical Pegasus plasma, $\mathrm{n}_{\text {scattered }} \sim 10^{4}$ photons
- VPH grating efficiency $>85 \%$ for $\lambda_{\text {inc }}=532-632 \mathrm{~nm}$
- Gen III image intensifiers $\sim 50 \%$ efficient in visible region
- $\sim 6 \mathrm{~ns}$ ICCD gating provides easy detector technology

| Laser Specifications | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Output Energy at 532 nm | $\geq 2000 \mathrm{~mJ}$ |
| Beam diameter at head | 12 mm |
| Beam diameter at waist | 3 mm |
| Pointing stability | $\leq 50 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Divergence | $\leq 0.5 \mathrm{mrad}$ |
| Repetition Rate | $\leq 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| Pulse length | $\geq 10 \mathrm{~ns}$ |

zy


## HI Conclusion: High-I ${ }_{p}$ Non-Solenoidal Startup via Point-Source Helicity Injection Looks Promising

- Significant progress with non-solenoidal startup of ST
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.17 \mathrm{MA}$ using helicity injection and outer-PF rampup
- Using understanding of helicity balance and relaxation current limit to guide hardware and operational changes
- So far, predicted scalings supported
- Goal $\approx 0.3-0.4$ MA non-solenoidal $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ to extrapolate to next level/NSTX
- Outstanding physics questions: $\lambda_{\text {edge }}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{inj}}$, confinement, etc.
- Deploying plasma diagnostics to better understand properties
- Exploration of high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}, \beta_{\mathrm{t}}$ space facilitated by $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{r})$ tools
$-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}>2, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}>14$ achieved; extend operation to high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}$ for high $\beta_{\mathrm{t}}$
- Holds Promise as a Scalable Non-Inductive Startup Technique
- Simpler injection system using plasma gun - passive electrode combination may be feasible


## Some Low-A ST ITER-relevance: Access to Peeling Instability and Conditions to Measure J

- Spherical tokamaks naturally provide strong peeling drive
- Toroidal field utilization $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{tf}} \sim \mathrm{j}_{\|} / \mathrm{B}$
- Pegasus accesses peeling modes

- Strong $\mathrm{j}_{\|} / \mathrm{B}$ MA $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{T}$ at
- Comparable to DIII-D in H-mode
- Machine parameters permit internal edge measurements
- Short pulse lengths (<50 ms)
- Modest $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}<200 \mathrm{eV}$


Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011)

## Pegasus Hall Probe Deployed to Measure J



- Solid-state InSb Hall sensors
- Sypris model SH-410
- Slim C armor as low-Z PFC
- Minimizes plasma perturbation
- 16 channels, 7.5 mm radial resolution
RJF ISTW 2011
- 25 kHz bandwidth


## $J_{\phi}(R, t)$ Calculable Directly from Ampère's Law

$$
\mu_{0} J_{\phi}=(\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_{\phi}=\frac{\partial B_{R}}{\partial Z}-\frac{\partial B_{Z}}{\partial R}
$$

- Simplest test follows from $B_{R}(Z)$ or $B_{Z}(R)$ measurements
- Petty* solves for an off-midplane $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{R})$ measurement set and an elliptical plasma cross-section:

$$
\mu_{0} J_{\phi}=-\frac{B_{Z}}{\kappa^{2}\left(R-R_{0}\right)}\left(1-\frac{Z^{2} R_{0}}{\kappa^{2} R\left(R-R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)-\frac{d B_{Z}}{d R}\left(1+\frac{Z^{2}}{\kappa^{4}\left(R-R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

- Does not make assumptions on shape of $J(R)$


## Direct $J_{\phi}(R)$ Profiles Obtained in PegAsus

- Straightforward J estimation
- Obtain Hall Probe $B_{z}(R, t)$
- Compute $\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{Z}} / \mathrm{dR}$ using interpolated smoothing spline*
- Compute $\mathrm{J}_{\phi}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{t})$ given geometry
- Resultant $J_{\phi}(R, t)$ consistent with $I_{p}$, MHD evolution
- Radial span extendible with multi-shot averaging
- Higher-order shaping effects negligible within errors


Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011)

## Peeling MHD Strongly Scales with Theoretical Drive



- Mode helicities estimated from port 8 Mirnov array
$-\mathrm{n}<3$ via cross-phase analysis
$-\mathrm{m}_{\text {lab }} \geq 10$ via radial decay rate
$-10 \leq \mathrm{m}_{\text {lab }} / \mathrm{n} \leq 30(\psi \downarrow N>0.9)$
- MHD power spans two orders of magnitude with factor-offive variation in J/B


## Stability Analysis Confirms Peeling Instability

- Analytic peeling criterion computed from Hallconstrained equilibrium indicates instability
- More than factor of two in region of optimal $\langle J \downarrow \phi\rangle$ constraint
- Free-boundary ideal stability analysis performed with DCON
- Indicates instability to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n}=19 / 1$ external kink
- Both methods agree with experiment


Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011)


## $J_{\text {edge }}$ Dynamics Measured on ELM Timescales

- Jedge resolved during peeling filament generation
- Propagating filament forms from initial "current-hole" $J_{\text {edge }}$ perturbation
- Validates formation mechanism hypothesized by EM blob transport theory
- Filament carries toroidal current $I_{f} \sim 100-220 \mathrm{~A}$
- Comparable to MAST ELM estimates
$-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}<0.2 \%$ of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$



## Filament Radial Motion Qualitatively Consistent with Electromagnetic Blob Transport

- Trajectory of detached filament tracked with 275 kHz imaging
- Radially accelerates, followed by constant velocity motion

- Magnetostatic repulsion* plausibly contributes to dynamics
- Current-hole $\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B}$ drives $a R$
- Transition at $\sim 35 \mu$ s comparable to healing time of current-hole
- Measured $V R$ comparable to available EM blob models**
- $V R \sim 4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} ; V R, I B \sim 8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
- Agrees to $\mathrm{O}(1)$ accuracy of theory

Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011)

*: Myra, Phys. Plasmas 14, 102314 (2007)

## Peeling Mode / ELM Conclusions

- Direct measurements of $J_{\text {edge }}$ conducted with Hall probe
- Direct analysis, equilibrium reconstruction
- $\mathrm{J}_{\text {edge }}$ controllable with $\mathrm{dI}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{dt}$
- Characteristics of Peeling Modes Consistent with Theory
- Macroscopic features: Low-n, high-m external kink
- Onset consistent with ideal MHD, analytic peeling stability theories
- Observed MHD scales with measured J/B peeling drive
- Coherent, propagating filaments
- Jedge dynamics supports current-hole \& EM blob hypotheses
- Nonlinear filaments generated from current-hole $\mathrm{J}_{\text {edge }}$ perturbation
- Transient magnetostatic repulsion
- Constant- $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}$ propagation in agreement with available EM blob theory


## HI Conclusion: High-I ${ }_{p}$ Non-Solenoidal Startup via Point-Source Helicity Injection Looks Promising

- Significant progress with non-solenoidal startup of ST
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.17 \mathrm{MA}$ using helicity injection and outer-PF rampup
- Using understanding of helicity balance and relaxation current limit to guide hardware and operational changes
- So far, predicted scalings supported
- Goal $\approx 0.3-0.4$ MA non-solenoidal $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ to extrapolate to next level/NSTX
- Outstanding physics questions: $\lambda_{\text {edge }}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{inj}}$, confinement, etc.
- Deploying plasma diagnostics to better understand properties
- Exploration of high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}, \beta_{\mathrm{t}}$ space facilitated by $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{r})$ tools
$-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}>2, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}>14$ achieved; extend operation to high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}$ for high $\beta_{\mathrm{t}}$
- Holds Promise as a Scalable Non-Inductive Startup Technique
- Simpler injection system using plasma gun - passive electrode combination may be feasible
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## Pegasus Mission: Physics of Low $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow 1$

- University-scale, Low-A ST
$-\mathrm{R}_{0} \leq 0.45 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{a} \sim 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$
- Physics of High $I_{p} / I_{T F}$
- Expand operating space of the ST
- Study high $\beta_{\mathrm{T}}$ plasmas as $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow 1$
- Non-solenoidal startup

- Point-source helicity injection
- Helicity injection discharges couple to other current drive methods
- Peeling-mode studies
- Experimental tests of peeling-ballooning theory (ELM, ITER)



## Local Plasma Current Sources + Helical Vacuum Field Give Simple DC Helicity Injection Scheme

- Current is injected into the existing helical magnetic field
- High $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{inj}} \&$ modest $\mathrm{B} \Rightarrow$ filaments merge into current sheet
- High $\mathrm{I}_{\text {inj }}$ \& low $B \Rightarrow$ current-driven $B_{\theta}$ overwhelms vacuum $B_{z}$
- Relaxation via MHD activity to tokamak-like Taylor state w/ high toroidal current multiplication


Current filaments

Reduced $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{z}}$




Relaxed tokamak

- Technical attractiveness: can remove sources and anode after startup


## DC Helicity Injection Startup on PEGASUS Utilizes Localized Washer-Gun Current Sources

- Plasma gun(s) biased relative to anode:
- Helicity injection rate:

$$
\dot{K}_{i n j}=2 V_{i n j} B_{N} A_{i n j}
$$

$V_{i n j}$ - injector voltage
$B_{N}$ - normal B field at gun aperture
$A_{\text {inj }}$ - injector area



Simplified illustration of a plasma gun for helicity injection (not to scale)


## Evolution of midplane-gun-driven plasma

Pegasus shot \#40458: two midplane guns, mild outer-PF ramp

$\mathrm{t}=21.1 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=2-3 \mathrm{kA}$
Filaments only

$\mathrm{t}=28.8 \mathrm{~ms}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=42 \mathrm{kA}$ Driven diffuse plasma Guns off, Decaying

## Taylor Relaxation Criteria Sets the Maximum Ip for a Given Magnetic Geometry

Helicity balance in a tokamak geometry:

$$
\frac{d K}{d t}=-2 \int_{V} \eta \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{B} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{x}-2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \Psi-2 \int_{A} \Phi \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{s} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad I_{p} \leq \frac{A_{p}}{2 \pi R_{0}\langle\eta\rangle}\left(V_{i n d}+V_{e f f}\right)
$$

- Helicity injection can be expressed as an effective loop voltage
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ limit depends on the scaling of plasma confinement via the $\eta$ term

$$
V_{e f f} \approx \frac{A_{i n j} B_{\phi, i n j}}{\Psi_{T}} V_{b i a s}
$$

Taylor relaxation of a force-free equilibrium:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla \times B=\mu_{0} J=\lambda B \\
\lambda_{p} \leq \lambda_{\text {edge }}
\end{gathered} \longrightarrow \frac{\mu_{0} I_{p}}{\Psi_{T}} \leq \frac{\mu_{0} I_{i n j}}{2 \pi R_{i n j} w B_{\theta, i n j}} \longrightarrow I_{p} \leq\left[\frac{C_{p}}{2 \pi R_{i n j} \mu_{0}} \frac{\Psi_{T} I_{i n j}}{w}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Assumptions:

- Driven edge current mixes uniformly in SOL
- Edge fields average to tokamak-like structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { Plasma area } \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { Plasma circumference } \\
& \Psi_{\mathrm{T}} \text { Plasma toroidal flux } \\
& w \text { Edge current channel width }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Achieving the Maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ at the Taylor Limit Requires Sufficient Helicity Injection Input Rate




- Helicity input rate, and effective net volt-seconds, increases as $\mathrm{V}_{\text {inj }}$ increases
- Sufficient net V-sec needed to reach Taylor relaxation limit


## Experiments Confirm Relaxation Limit Scalings with $\left.\right|_{\mathrm{TF}}$ and $l_{\text {ini }}$

- The relaxation limit $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ scales with:

$$
I_{p} \propto\left[\frac{I_{T F} I_{i n j}}{w}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

- Experimental plasma current limits follow these scalings:




## Slowly-evolving Gun-driven Plasmas Hand Off Most Efficiently to Ohmic Drive

- Poloidal flux generated by helicity injection is equivalent to that generated by Ohmic Drive


## $-\mathrm{I}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{HI}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{OH}}$

- Excessive skin current => poor coupling to OH drive
- Slowly evolving: ~ flat j(r) (black)
- Smooth handoff to Ohmic inductive drive ( $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{R}$ ) profiles from external-only equilibrium reconstructions; $l_{i}<0.3$ )
- Rapidly evolving: ~ hollow, strong skin j(r) (red)
- Does not hand off efficiently to Ohmic drive




## Initial Spectroscopy Measurements Suggest Energetic lons

- Spectroscopic $T_{i}$ suggest high ion energies during reconnection period

- However, situation is much more complex if viewed toroidally
- Need improved time-resolution and spatial scans


## Several Issues to Address for a Predictive Model

- Extension to higher current, longer pulse
- Verify limit scalings
- Discharge evolution for long growth phase
- Test confinement properties, especially $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t})$
- Helicity dissipation scaling model
- Optimal gun-electrode configuration
- Increased helicity injection rate
- Test regime where helicity drive dominates PF induction for growth
- Active guns vs. passive electrode approach for long-pulse growth
- Injected current source impedance model
- What sets helicity injection rate?
- Edge j(r) measurements and $\lambda(\psi, t)$
- Physics of ultimate relaxation limits
- Current transport: MHD behavior


## Gun-Electrode Geometry: PF Induction, Plasma Size, and Null Formation

- Original: array was nearly vertical (red):
- $\mathrm{J}_{\text {edge }}$ width $w$ scaled with \# of guns
- Maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.11 \mathrm{MA}$.
- Aligned gun array tilt (green):
- Maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.17 \mathrm{MA}$.
- 3-fold reduction in $w$., consistent with changing the projected width at midplane.
- Maximize plasma size: array moved further away from midplane (blue):
- Maximum $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.13 \mathrm{MA}$
- Larger startup plasma = reduced PF induction
- Poorer poloidal field null formed by current streams = more difficult to induce relaxation to tokamak state
- Tight gun-anode geometry preferred



## Active Gun / Passive Electrode Assembly Points to Simpler, Higher $I_{0}$ Operation

- Potential for much higher $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{inj}}$ without need for either more plasma guns or larger guns.
- Helicity injection physics is agnostic to the exact source of the edge charge carriers.
- Passive electrodes allow arbitrary shaping:
- Can optimize both helicity input (large cross-sectional area) and the Taylor limit on $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (narrow in radial direction)



## Initial Tests of Gun/Electrode Helicity Injection System Are Promising

- Operations use two steps:
- 1. Form initial tokamak-like state with minimal active arc gun
- 2. Grow to much larger $I_{p}$ with passive electrodes fed by electrode charge carriers induced and moderated by tokamak edge plasma.
- First tests are promising
- Arc current off after relaxation and formation of tokamak-like state
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ rise is virtually the same, whether arc discharge or passive electrode provide the charge carriers



## Integrated Arc Gun - Passive Electrode Experiments Begun

- New gun-electrode assembly has has extended electrode coupled to arc gun exit cathodes
- Offers 5-times increase in helicity injection rate
- Integrated scraper limiters to protect assembly and control local edge density
- Gas-puff control of

$$
V_{\text {bias }} \sim V_{\text {loop, effective }}
$$



## Local Limiters Reduce N to Negligible Levels in Well-behaved Injection Cases

- N dominant impurity with unprotected gun assembly
- $1^{\text {st }}$ estimates of impurity content via bolometer measures
- $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }} \sim 2.2$. +/- 0.8 during injection; $\leq 1.4$ after injection
- Mainly $\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}} \sim 5 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ to 2 $\times 10^{19} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$
- Local scraper limiters much reduce N, O remains
- Bursts of N still evident with flare at BN surface
- Ohmic-only reference plasma very clean
- Zeff $\leq 1.2$

RJF ISTW 2011


## Source Impedance Appears to be Governed by Sheath Physics

- Model evolving for source impedance ~ helicity injection rate
- Predicative model requires edge density measurements
- Initiation phase: vacuum space charge limitation
$-I_{\text {bias }} \sim V^{3 / 2} / d^{2}$
- High $\mathrm{I}_{\text {bias }}$ drive phase:
expanding double layer sheath
$-d^{2} \sim V \Rightarrow I_{\text {bias }} \sim V^{1 / 2}$



## Intermittent $20-60 \mathrm{kHz} \mathrm{n}=1$ mode observed with strong edge current drive

- Bursts of $n=1$ magnetic oscillations
- Observed when plasma is coupled to edge current drive
- Different in nature from inboard current injection experiments




## The magnetic topology quickly changes with each burst of MHD activity

- Each burst typically ~ 0.1 ms
- With each burst...
- $l_{i}$ decreases $\rightarrow I_{p}$ increases
- $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ decreases $\rightarrow$ plasma expands
- $\mathrm{B}_{\phi 0}$ increases $\rightarrow \mathrm{q}_{0}$ increases
- Slight drop in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$
- Very little change in poloidal flux at plasma edge
- Rapid decrease in the total trapped poloidal flux

RJF ISTW 201 Temporally and spatially averaged $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ind }}$ $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~V}$


## Current Drive Tools Providing Access to High Field Utilization Regime

OH only $=$ large $2 / 1$ modes limit $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$


HI startup = MHD quiescent


- Helicity injection startup and Ohmic sustainment provides MHD-stable profiles at $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}<1$
- Need to extend to higher $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$, then to low $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}$ for high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and high $\beta_{\mathrm{T}}$ as $\mathrm{A} \approx 1$


## Medium-Term Upgrades Will Allow Further Tests of Point-Source Helicity Injection

- Gun-electrode Evolution
- Passive electrode material variations
- C electrode being installed
- Separate plasma gun and electrode
- Power Supplies, Heating
- New helicity injection power: $2 \mathrm{kV}, 15 \mathrm{kA}$
- Double TF current: Taylor limit increase
- Commission HHFW system: electron heating
- Expanded PF Coil Set and control
- Internal coils for radial position control
- New external divertor coils
- Implement GA Plasma Control System
- Diagnostic Additions
- Multipoint Thomson Scattering
- High-speed $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{t})$
- Anomalous reconnection heating



## Thomson Scattering system uses new technologies for visible wavelength system

- Frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser provides $\sim 10^{18}$ photons
- For typical Pegasus plasma, $\mathrm{n}_{\text {scattered }} \sim 10^{4}$ photons
- VPH grating efficiency $>85 \%$ for $\lambda_{\text {inc }}=532-632 \mathrm{~nm}$
- Gen III image intensifiers $\sim 50 \%$ efficient in visible region
- $\sim 6 \mathrm{~ns}$ ICCD gating provides easy detector technology

| Laser Specifications | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Output Energy at 532 nm | $\geq 2000 \mathrm{~mJ}$ |
| Beam diameter at head | 12 mm |
| Beam diameter at waist | 3 mm |
| Pointing stability | $\leq 50 \mu \mathrm{rad}$ |
| Divergence | $\leq 0.5 \mathrm{mrad}$ |
| Repetition Rate | $\leq 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| Pulse length | $\geq 10 \mathrm{~ns}$ |
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## HI Conclusion: High-I ${ }_{p}$ Non-Solenoidal Startup via Point-Source Helicity Injection Looks Promising

- Significant progress with non-solenoidal startup of ST
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.17 \mathrm{MA}$ using helicity injection and outer-PF rampup
- Using understanding of helicity balance and relaxation current limit to guide hardware and operational changes
- So far, predicted scalings supported
- Goal $\approx 0.3-0.4$ MA non-solenoidal $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ to extrapolate to next level/NSTX
- Outstanding physics questions: $\lambda_{\text {edge }}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{inj}}$, confinement, etc.
- Deploying plasma diagnostics to better understand properties
- Exploration of high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}, \beta_{\mathrm{t}}$ space facilitated by $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{r})$ tools
$-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}>2, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}>14$ achieved; extend operation to high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}$ for high $\beta_{\mathrm{t}}$
- Holds Promise as a Scalable Non-Inductive Startup Technique
- Simpler injection system using plasma gun - passive electrode combination may be feasible


## Some Low-A ST ITER-relevance: Access to Peeling Instability and Conditions to Measure J

- Spherical tokamaks naturally provide strong peeling drive
- Toroidal field utilization $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{tf}} \sim \mathrm{j}_{\|} / \mathrm{B}$
- Pegasus accesses peeling modes

- Strong $\mathrm{j}_{\|} / \mathrm{B}$ MA $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}-\mathrm{T}$ at
- Comparable to DIII-D in H-mode
- Machine parameters permit internal edge measurements
- Short pulse lengths (<50 ms)
- Modest $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}<200 \mathrm{eV}$


Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011)

## Pegasus Hall Probe Deployed to Measure J



- Solid-state InSb Hall sensors
- Sypris model SH-410
- Slim C armor as low-Z PFC
- Minimizes plasma perturbation
- 16 channels, 7.5 mm radial resolution
RJF ISTW 2011
- 25 kHz bandwidth


## $J_{\phi}(R, t)$ Calculable Directly from Ampère's Law

$$
\mu_{0} J_{\phi}=(\nabla \times \mathbf{B})_{\phi}=\frac{\partial B_{R}}{\partial Z}-\frac{\partial B_{Z}}{\partial R}
$$

- Simplest test follows from $B_{R}(Z)$ or $B_{Z}(R)$ measurements
- Petty* solves for an off-midplane $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{R})$ measurement set and an elliptical plasma cross-section:

$$
\mu_{0} J_{\phi}=-\frac{B_{Z}}{\kappa^{2}\left(R-R_{0}\right)}\left(1-\frac{Z^{2} R_{0}}{\kappa^{2} R\left(R-R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)-\frac{d B_{Z}}{d R}\left(1+\frac{Z^{2}}{\kappa^{4}\left(R-R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right)
$$

- Does not make assumptions on shape of $J(R)$


## Direct $J_{\phi}(R)$ Profiles Obtained in PegAsus

- Straightforward J estimation
- Obtain Hall Probe $B_{z}(R, t)$
- Compute $\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{Z}} / \mathrm{dR}$ using interpolated smoothing spline*
- Compute $\mathrm{J}_{\phi}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{t})$ given geometry
- Resultant $J_{\phi}(R, t)$ consistent with $I_{p}$, MHD evolution
- Radial span extendible with multi-shot averaging
- Higher-order shaping effects negligible within errors


Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011)

## Peeling MHD Strongly Scales with Theoretical Drive



- Mode helicities estimated from port 8 Mirnov array
$-\mathrm{n}<3$ via cross-phase analysis
$-\mathrm{m}_{\text {lab }} \geq 10$ via radial decay rate
$-10 \leq \mathrm{m}_{\text {lab }} / \mathrm{n} \leq 30(\psi \downarrow N>0.9)$
- MHD power spans two orders of magnitude with factor-offive variation in J/B


## Stability Analysis Confirms Peeling Instability

- Analytic peeling criterion computed from Hallconstrained equilibrium indicates instability
- More than factor of two in region of optimal $\langle J \downarrow \phi\rangle$ constraint
- Free-boundary ideal stability analysis performed with DCON
- Indicates instability to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n}=19 / 1$ external kink
- Both methods agree with experiment


Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011)


## $J_{\text {edge }}$ Dynamics Measured on ELM Timescales

- Jedge resolved during peeling filament generation
- Propagating filament forms from initial "current-hole" $J_{\text {edge }}$ perturbation
- Validates formation mechanism hypothesized by EM blob transport theory
- Filament carries toroidal current $I_{f} \sim 100-220 \mathrm{~A}$
- Comparable to MAST ELM estimates
$-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}}<0.2 \%$ of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$



## Filament Radial Motion Qualitatively Consistent with Electromagnetic Blob Transport

- Trajectory of detached filament tracked with 275 kHz imaging
- Radially accelerates, followed by constant velocity motion

- Magnetostatic repulsion* plausibly contributes to dynamics
- Current-hole $\boldsymbol{J} \times \boldsymbol{B}$ drives $a R$
- Transition at $\sim 35 \mu$ s comparable to healing time of current-hole
- Measured $V R$ comparable to available EM blob models**
- $V R \sim 4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} ; V R, I B \sim 8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$
- Agrees to $\mathrm{O}(1)$ accuracy of theory

Bongard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 035003 (2011)

*: Myra, Phys. Plasmas 14, 102314 (2007)

## Peeling Mode / ELM Conclusions

- Direct measurements of $J_{\text {edge }}$ conducted with Hall probe
- Direct analysis, equilibrium reconstruction
- $\mathrm{J}_{\text {edge }}$ controllable with $\mathrm{dI}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{dt}$
- Characteristics of Peeling Modes Consistent with Theory
- Macroscopic features: Low-n, high-m external kink
- Onset consistent with ideal MHD, analytic peeling stability theories
- Observed MHD scales with measured J/B peeling drive
- Coherent, propagating filaments
- Jedge dynamics supports current-hole \& EM blob hypotheses
- Nonlinear filaments generated from current-hole $\mathrm{J}_{\text {edge }}$ perturbation
- Transient magnetostatic repulsion
- Constant- $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}$ propagation in agreement with available EM blob theory


## HI Conclusion: High-I ${ }_{p}$ Non-Solenoidal Startup via Point-Source Helicity Injection Looks Promising

- Significant progress with non-solenoidal startup of ST
- $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} \sim 0.17 \mathrm{MA}$ using helicity injection and outer-PF rampup
- Using understanding of helicity balance and relaxation current limit to guide hardware and operational changes
- So far, predicted scalings supported
- Goal $\approx 0.3-0.4$ MA non-solenoidal $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ to extrapolate to next level/NSTX
- Outstanding physics questions: $\lambda_{\text {edge }}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{inj}}$, confinement, etc.
- Deploying plasma diagnostics to better understand properties
- Exploration of high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}, \beta_{\mathrm{t}}$ space facilitated by $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{r})$ tools
$-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}>2, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}>14$ achieved; extend operation to high $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}$ for high $\beta_{\mathrm{t}}$
- Holds Promise as a Scalable Non-Inductive Startup Technique
- Simpler injection system using plasma gun - passive electrode combination may be feasible

