

A Conceptual Design of Super Conducting Spherical Tokamak Reactor

presented by Yoshio Nagayama*

*National Institute for Fusion Science, 322-6 Oroshi, Toki-city 509-5292, Japan nagayama.yoshio@nifs.ac.jp

The Joint Meeting of 5th IAEA Technical Meeting on Spherical Tori, & 16th International Workshop on Spherical Torus (ISTW2011), & 2011 US-Japan Workshop on ST Plasma National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki, Japan, September 27-30, 2011

2011/9/30

Our object is to present a fusion reactor concept that can be realized with present technologies. (by Satoshi Nishio)



 \odot

2011/9/30

In memory of Dr. Satoshi Nishio (1952 – 2009) (2007/3/2 at NIFS)

The IEEJ Expert Committee for Economic Fusion Reactor Concept

- Chair (1st): S. Nishio (JAEA), (2nd): Y. Nagayama (NIFS)
- T. Nishitani, N. Asakura, K. Tani (JAEA)
- K. Shinya (Toshiba)
- Y. Ono, Y. Takase (U. Tokyo)
- T. Maekawa (Kyoto U.)
- K. Hanada, A. Fujisawa, M. Nishikawa, T. Tanabe (Kyushu U.)
- K. Yamazaki (Nagoya U.)
- O. Mitarai (Tokai U.)
- Y. Hirooka, Y. Kozaki, T. Mito, T. Muroga, T. Nagasaka, R. Sakamoto, T. Tanaka, Y. Todo, N. Yanagi (NIFS)

ISTW2011, NIFS, Japan, Sept. 27-30, 2011





I. Why we consider ST as a fusion reactor.

Major Issues to realize a fusion reactor:

- 1.Plasma confinement
- 2.Steady state
 - High bootstrap fraction (f_{BS}>80%)
- 3.Neutron damage
 - Neutron damage used to be the weakest point of fusion reactor.
- 4.Cost
 - ITER costs 15 B\$, while a fission reactor costs 3-5 B\$.

II. Conceptual design of superconducting ST reactor

4



I. Why we consider ST as a fusion reactor.

- 1. Steady state
- 2. High beta
- 3. Neutron damage









Neutron damage problem can be solved in ST.

 Total (time integrated) neutron flux < 10 MW-year/m².

 $\mathbf{0}\mathbf{0}$

- Life time of the first wall is 2-3 years, if the neutron wall load is 3-5 MW/m².
- Easy replacement of blanket module solves the neutron damage problem.
- The wide separation between TF return coils in ST enables the quick replacement of the blanket cassette.
- Large port is a weak point to support TF coils.



JUST

Can ST be a reactor?

Strong points

1. Low cost

 \odot

- High beta
- 2. Quick replacement of neutron damaged wall
- 3. Steady state
 - High BS fraction

Weak (Questionable) points 1.Neutron flux in the inboard side? 2.No space to protect CS? 3.No superconducting magnet? 4.No space for OH solenoid? 5.Weak structure due to big port for the wall replacement. 6.How about heat flux?

2011/9/30

JUST

The neutron flux is similar in any aspect ratio.

JUST

• Some misunderstand that neutron accumulate to the center stack.

 \odot

• However, neutron flux is similar both in inboard and outboard sides.



Blanket (80cm thick) protects super conductor.

- Thickness of the most effective neutron shield (V-VH₂/W cooled by lithium) is 58 cm.
- Table 1 Total shield thickness to decrease neutron flux within criteria on the super-conducting coil.

Case	Structural Material	Shield Material	Coolant	Thick- ness (cm)
Α	V Alloy (17)	VH ₂ (61)/W (11)	Li (10)	58
В	F82H (17)	VH ₂ (51)/W (11)	He (20)	66[53]
С	V Alloy (17)	VH ₂ (51)/W (11)	Li (20)	65
D	F82H (17)	VH ₂ (51)/W (11)	Water(20)	57
Е	F82H (16)	VH ₂ (75.6)	He (8.4)	74[68]
F	F82H (12)	VH ₂ (58)/LiPb/Be	He (6.4)	76[70]

Note. Values in () : Volume Ratio [%], $A \sim D$: One- dimensional calculation, E and F : Three-dimensional calculation (In the case of F, plasma-facing inner shield 18 cm in thickness is a neutron reflector composed of LiPb and Be), Values in []: Substantial thickness except He (Cases B, E, F)

M. Yamauchi, T. Nishitani, S. Nishio, "Neutron Shielding and Blanket Neutronics Design", J. Plasma Fus. Res. 80, 952 (2004)



Fig. 3. The fast neutron flux (E > 100 keV) on the surface of the inboard TFC as a function of the inboard blanket thickness consisting of F82H and VH₂.

T. Nishitani, et al., "Neutronics design of the low aspect ratio tokamak reactor, VECTOR", FED 81, 1245 (2006).

 \mathbf{OO}

JUST



II. Conceptual design of superconducting ST reactor

- 1. Plasma Model
- 2. Equilibrium
- 3. Magnetic Field
- 4. Radial build
- 5. Superconducting Magnet
- 6. Diverter, Fueling and Exhausting
- 7. Blanket (Primitive)

Assumptions to design the ST reactor

1. Box type ITB

 $\mathbf{0}\mathbf{0}$

2. ITER scaling (IPB98y2) with the improvement factor, γ_{HH}

$$\tau_E = \gamma_{HH} \Box 0.0562 R^{1.97} A^{-0.58} \kappa^{0.78} B_t^{0.15} I_p^{0.93} n^{0.41} M^{0.19} P^{-0.69}$$

- 3. High aspect ratio approximation for the BS current
- 4. Lin Liu-Stambaugh's beta limit

$$\beta_N = \beta_{N0} \left(c_0 + c_1 \kappa + c_2 \kappa^2 + c_3 \kappa^3 \right) \operatorname{coth} \left(\frac{d_0 + d_1 \kappa}{A^m} \right) \frac{1}{A^n}$$

Here, β_{N0} =10, c_0 =-0.7748, c_1 =1.2869, c_2 =-0.2921, c_3 =0.0197, d_0 =1.8524, d_1 =0.2319, m=0.6163, n=0.5523

- 5. Ellipticity scaling:
 - $\kappa = 1 + \frac{3}{A}$
- 6. Ejima-Wesley, $C_{E-W}=0.4$
- 7. Particle confinement time: $\tau_p=4\tau_E$ A=1.8, $\kappa=2.5$, $\beta_N=7.2$

14

JUST

Design parameters are calculated with the 0D transport code.

00/

1. Energy balance equation:
$$\frac{dW}{dt} = P_{ext} + P_{alpha} - P_{Brems} - P_{syn} - \frac{W}{\tau_E} \quad (\alpha: H, D, T, ^{3}He, ^{4}He, C)$$
2. Particle balance equation:
$$\frac{dn_{\alpha}}{dt} = v_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\beta} s_{\alpha\beta} N_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{n_{\alpha}}{\tau_p} \quad \mathbf{v}_{\alpha}: \text{Fueling rate}$$
Number of nuclear reaction
$$N_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{V} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\alpha\beta} n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} dV$$
Alpha Heating
$$P_{alpha} = \int_{V} Q_{\alpha\beta}^{abha} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\alpha\beta} n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} dV \quad \delta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} 0.5 \quad (\alpha = \beta = D) \\ 1 \quad (others) \end{cases}$$
Bremsstrahlung
$$P_{Brems} = \frac{32\pi e^{6} Z^{2} n_{e} n_{i}}{3(4\pi\varepsilon_{0})^{3} c^{3} m_{e}} h \sqrt{\frac{2\pi T_{e}}{3m_{e}}} = 1.5 \times 10^{-38} Z^{2} n_{e} n_{i} \sqrt{T_{e}} [eV] \quad [W/m^{3}]$$
Synchrotron radiation
(Trubnikov formula)
$$W_{sy} = V_{p} \frac{n}{3\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{e^{2}}{c} \frac{\alpha_{ce}}{\zeta} \frac{K_{3}(\zeta)}{K_{2}(\zeta)} \frac{60}{\zeta^{3/2}} \sqrt{(1-R_{f})(1+\frac{2a}{R}\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\zeta}})\frac{c\alpha_{ce}}{a\omega_{pe}^{2}}} \quad \zeta = \frac{m_{e}c^{2}}{eT_{e}}}{eT_{e}}$$
Bootsrap current density is
$$j_{b} = \frac{P_{e}}{B_{p}} \sqrt{\frac{r}{R}} \left\{ -2.44 \left(1+\frac{T_{i}}{T_{e}}\right)\frac{1}{n_{e}} \frac{dn_{e}}{dr} - \frac{0.69}{T_{e}} \frac{dT_{e}}{dr}}{dr} + \frac{0.42}{T_{e}} \frac{dT_{i}}{dr} \right\}$$
2011/9/30
EXTURNATION INTERVISION INTERVISION











- The TF ripple should be less than 2% in order to reduce the heat load due to alpha particle.
- This condition gives the number of TF return coils as N_{TF} =12.



ISTW2011, NIFS, Japan, Sept. 27-30, 2011

21





- TF coil is made of double-pan-cake superconductor on a radial plat.
- Center solenoid is wound over the TF core.
- CS is separated by 10 cm thermal shield from the 80cm thick blanket.









Heat load on the diverter plate is one of the most serious problems.

- No solid material can receive the heat load on the diverter plate
 - 200 MW/m²
- Solutions

 \mathbf{OO}

- Detachment
- Liquid diverter plate
 (Li, Ga, Sn)

J. Wesson: "Tokamaks 3rd ed", Oxford

Science Publishing (2004), p.710.

The heat flux into the scrape-off layer, per unit toroidal length, can be written

$$h \simeq -2\pi a n \chi \frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}r} \simeq \frac{2\pi a n \chi T}{\lambda},$$
 13.3.4

where λ is the scrape-off layer thickness. This must equal the heat flux along the scrape-off layer to the divertor, which can be written

$$h \simeq 3nT\left(\frac{a}{L}c_{\rm s}\right)\lambda,\tag{13.3.5}$$

where L is the connection length along the magnetic field and c_s is the sound speed. The quantity $(a/L)c_s$ can be thought of as the component of the parallel flow speed in the poloidal plane. From eqns 13.3.4 and 13.3.5 the scrape-off thickness is given by

$$\lambda \simeq \left(\frac{2\chi L}{c_{\rm s}}\right)^{1/2}.$$
13.3.6

For L = 30 m, $\chi = 2$ m² s⁻¹ and $c_s = 10^5$ m s⁻¹ the scrape-off layer thickness $\lambda \sim 3$ cm.

Taking 3 GW of fusion power the thermal heat flux is 600 MW. Thus for a toroidal circumference of 50 m and hence a scrape-off area of $2 \times 50 \times 0.03 \text{ m}^2 = 3 \text{ m}^2$, the power load would be 200 MW m⁻². This is a

2011/9/30

ISTW2011, NIFS, Japan, Sept. 27-30, 2011

27

IIIST

Diverter

Heat load is received by the liquid diverter plate and detachment plasma.

Heat load •

 \odot

- Area of diverter plate (A= 2π Rw)
- R=5 m, w=0.03m then A=0.9 m²
- 50% of alpha heating = 270 MW.
- Heat flux = $300 [MW/m^2]$
- Liquid Diverter plate
 - Li (Hydride)
 - LiSn (Effect to Plasma)
- Detachment
 - V-shape diverter target limits the volume and makes high density diverter plasma.
 - Large area (100 m²: w=1.5 [m])
- Intense development is required! ٠





Fueling and Exhausting

JUST

• Fueling

 $\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{\Theta}$

- Fueling rate (D+T): 13 (10²¹atoms/sec); Burning rate: 1.7 (10²¹atoms/sec)
- Ice pellet injection (Core: 30cc)
 - · Puffed gas cannot pass through the SOL
- Exhaust gas
 - Assume fueling efficiency of 10%
 - 6 (10²²molecules/sec)=0.1 (mol), which is 220 (Pa-m³/sec)
- Evacuation pump
 - Conduction of 12 ports (D=2 m, L=6m)
 2000 (m³/sec) (molecular flow)
 2000 (m³/sec) (viscous flow)
- Pressure at diverter chamber is 0.11 (Pa)
- High compression rate of diverter leg is necessary.

Blanket (primitive) T-Breeder: (Be+Li)/V, Shield: F82H(W)+H₂O

- Neutron breeding by Beryllium (T_{melt} =1560K) ⁹Be+n→⁸Be+2n (E_n >2.7MeV) ⁹Be+n→⁶He+⁴He (E_n >1.4MeV) ⁸Be→2 ⁴He ($T_{1/2}$ =0.067 fsec)
 - $^{6}\text{He}\rightarrow^{6}\text{Li+b} (T_{1/2}=0.808 \text{ sec})$
- Tritium breeding by Lithium (T_{melt} =454K) ⁶Li+n \rightarrow T+⁴He+4.8MeV (940barn) ⁷Li+n \rightarrow T+⁴He+n-2.5MeV (E_n>2.8MeV; 0.355barn)
- Strong point

 $\mathbf{0}\mathbf{0}$

- High breeding ratio
- High cooling rate for neutron power (4.5 MW/m²)
- Free from melt down in the case of power off
- Needs
 - Insulator coating inside the pipe of lithium fluid
 - T separation from lithium



2011/9/30



CONCLUSION

ST can be a fusion power reactor.

JUST

- ST may be able to have solutions for most issues to realize a fusion reactor.
- Since ST experiment is not sufficient, most physics solutions need proof.

 \odot

Issues	ST	status	
Plasma confinement	ITB	(need proof)	
Disruption control	Margin in β and q-value	(need proof)	
Steady state	High BS current	(need proof)	
Cost	High β	(need proof)	
Superconducting magnet	Space of neutron shield for CS	(need design)	
Neutron damage	Easy wall replacement with blanket cassette	(need design)	
Heat load	Liquid wall (+ detachment)	(need proof and technology to separate Tritium)	

2011/9/30	ISTW2011,	NIFS,	Japan,	Sept.	27–30,	2011	32



- Major issues for fusion reactor are not very serious in ST.
 - Confinement, Steady state, Disruption,
 - Neutron damage, Cost (Low field),
- We made a conceptual Design of superconducting ST reactor
 - R=4.5 m, a=2.5 m, B_t=2.3 T,
 - Fusion power: 2.5 GW (Electric power: 0.85GW)
 - U_{TF} =24 GJ: half of ITER
- Further efforts are required
 - Proof of steady state operation, high BS current, high T_e,
 - Diverter
 - Tritium
 - Liquid metal