# X-ray Measurements during the Plasma Current Start-up Experiments using Lower Hybrid Wave on the TST-2 Spherical Tokamak

T. Wakatsuki, A. Ejiri, H. Kakuda, Y. Takase, T. Ambo, H. Furui, T. Hashimoto, J. Hiratsuka, H. Kasahara<sup>1</sup>, K. Kato, R. Kumazawa<sup>1</sup>, Y. Nagashima<sup>2</sup>, K. Saito<sup>1</sup>, T. Sakamoto, T. Seki<sup>1</sup>, F. Shimpo<sup>1</sup>, R. Shino, T. Shinya, M. Sonehara, O. Watanabe, and T. Yamaguchi The University of Tokyo, Japan <sup>1</sup>National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan <sup>2</sup>Kyushu University, Japan

### Introduction

 The capability of plasma current start-up and ramp-up using lower hybrid wave (LHW) in spherical tokamak is investigated.



 If the plasma current can be ramped-up by LHW to a sufficient level that is needed for further heating (e.g. NBI), the central solenoid can be eliminated.

# Objectives

- Demonstrate the feasibility of LHCD ramp-up scenario in ST.
- Measuring the contribution of fast electrons driven by LHW in the plasma current.

### Methods

 Measuring fast electron bremsstrahlung in broad energy range (10 eV – 500 keV) using several detectors on the perpendicular and tangential viewing chords.

### **TST-2** spherical tokamak





- Major radius R ~ 0.35 m
- Minor radius a ~ 0.23 m
- Aspect ratio A = R/a > 1.5
- Toroidal field  $B_t \sim 0.1 T$

For LHCD ramp-up operation

- Plasma current
  - I<sub>p</sub> < 15 kA
- Discharge duration
  - Δt < 120 ms





- This antenna is originally designed for fast wave excitation. However, FEM simulation predicts slow wave excitation.
- This is because the fast wave is cutoff for the density we consider.







# Discharge waveform

- The plasma current is ramped up with a slow vertical field (B<sub>v</sub>) ramp-up.
- Maximum plasma current exceeds 15 kA.
  - I<sub>p</sub> < 1.5 kA in the previous experiments using ECH.

# **Effect of direct current drive**



- $I_p \propto B_v$
- The direction of I<sub>p</sub> inverts with the reversal of vertical field direction.
- |I<sub>p</sub>| < 4 kA in the counter drive case.</li>
- This fact shows the effect of direct current drive by the LHW.

#### X-ray measurements

# X-ray detectors

- **SBD** (Surface Barriered Diode)
  - Soft X-ray measurement (< 10 keV)</li>
  - We can restrict the energy range of measured photons by changing filters placed in front of the detector.
  - Be filter (1 10 keV), Al filter (10 70 eV)
  - 2 perpendicular and 2 tangential chords.
- Nal scintillator
  - Hard X-ray measurement (> 10 keV)
  - 2 tangential chords.

#### **Viewing Chords**



#### **Soft X-ray measurements**



# Comparison of SXR in co-direction with co-drive case and ctr-drive case



#### Perpendicular Soft X-ray measurements



- Dependence of the SX on the magnitude of the plasma current is investigated.
- RF amplitude modulation experiments were also performed.

# Fast electron contribution to the plasma current



- For the co-drive case, 1-10 keV SX increased as the plasma current increased.
- → This fact suggests that fast electrons produced by LHW have some contributions to the plasma current.

# Electron temperature increased as the plasma current increased



- The ratio of high energy SX (1-10keV) to low energy SX (10-70 eV) can be used as qualitative measure of electron temperature.
- The effective electron temperature increases as the plasma current increases.

### **Amplitude modulation**



- Phase of low energy photon emissions delayed against RF AM from 0.5 kHz.
- Estimated slowing down time for low energy electrons is 0.2 ms
- While, phase delay of high energy photon emission was not observed.

### Short life time of high energy electron

$$\frac{\partial n_{H}}{\partial t} = -\frac{n_{H}}{\tau_{H}} - \frac{n_{H}}{\tau_{loss}} + S_{0}e^{i\omega t}$$

- If no loss term presents, the phase of high energy photon emissions start to delay at lower frequency than low energy photon emission because  $\tau_H > \tau_L$ .
- More rapid loss term should present. ( $\tau' < 0.1 \text{ ms}$ )
- Orbit drift size  $\Delta = r_{Le} q \approx 30 \text{ mm}$
- Observed fast electrons may reside mainly near the edges.  $\tau_{\mu}\tau_{\mu}$

$$\tau' = \frac{\tau_H \tau_{loss}}{\tau_H + \tau_{loss}}, \phi_H = \operatorname{Arctan} \left(-\omega \tau'\right)$$

# Simulation predicts strong edge absorption



 Wave simulation using TORLH full wave code and CQL3D Fokker-Planck code predicts that edge absorption is very strong.

#### **Response of lower energy component**



- If a << b,  $\phi_L$  = Arctan  $(-\omega \tau_L)$
- If a >> b, phase starts to delay at  $f_H = 1/\tau_H$ .
- 0.2 ms is comparable to collisonal slowing down time of low energy electrons (E ~ 10-70 eV).

# Hard X-ray measurements











# Summary

- There are more RF driven fast electrons (1-10 keV) in co-drive case compared with ctr-drive case.
- Fast electron population significantly increased as the plasma current increases.
- However, the confinement time of these fast electrons seems to be very short compared to the collisional slowing down time.
- The higher intensity and the higher effective temperature of co-direction hard X-ray emission confirm the existence of RF driven fast electrons (> 100 keV).

# Back up slides

# Slow wave excitation by combline antenna



- Wave excitation simulation is performed using versatile FEM solver, COMSOL.
- The excited wave has
- $k_{||} = 30 (n_{||} = 7.2), k_{\perp} \sim 150$ , which satisfies slow wave dispersion relation.
- This is because the fast wave is cutoff for the density we consider

# **Simulation conditions**



• Artificial high collisionality is added near the top boundary to suppress unwanted reflection.

#### **Co-drive and Ctr-drive**



#### **Co-drive and Ctr-drive**



#### Effect of loop voltage



#### **Increase in the tangential X-ray emission**



• In case of co-drive, tangential X-ray emission ( $E_{\gamma} \sim 1-10 \text{ keV}$ ) increases, which suggests the difference in confinement of RF driven fast electrons.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial n_{H}}{\partial t} = -\frac{n_{H}}{\tau_{H}} - \frac{n_{H}}{\tau_{loss}} + S_{0}e^{i\omega t} \\ \frac{\partial n_{L}}{\partial t} = -\frac{n_{L}}{\tau_{L}} + a\frac{n_{H}}{\tau_{H}} + bS_{0}e^{i\omega t} \end{cases}$$

$$\tau' = \frac{\tau_H \tau_{loss}}{\tau_H + \tau_{loss}}, \phi_H = \operatorname{Arctan} \left(-\omega \tau'\right)$$





Modulation Exp.

# Iteration between TORLH/CQL3D

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

• After several iterations, self-consistent solution is obtained.