# updates on impurity density predictions and PT\_SOLVER speedup

Xingqiu Yuan, Greg Hammett, F. Poli, Brian Grierson Princeton Plasma Physics Lab.

presented at TUG meeting on 4-May-2017 at PPPL

## Impurity density prediction

1): solve impurity element density equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (V'n_z) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[ V' \left\langle \left| \nabla \rho \right|^2 \right\rangle (n_z v - D \nabla n_z) \right] - \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\rho V'n_z) = s_z$$

(where v is pinch velocity, and D is total particle diffusivity from TGLF and NEO, can be combined with electron & ion temperature, electron density, angular momentum prediction.)

2): corona equilibrium equation for the density at each charge state

 $\frac{n_z^{i+1}}{n_z^i} = \frac{I_z^{i \to i+1}}{R_z^{i+1 \to i}}$  where  $I_z^{i \to i+1}$  is the total ionization rate, and  $R_z^{i+1 \to i}$  is total recombination rate that are calculated based on ADAS data

3): ADAS data to predicate impurity radiation power loss

$$P_{rad} = \sum_{i} \left( \left\langle v\sigma \right\rangle_{line} + \left\langle v\sigma \right\rangle_{recomb} + \left\langle v\sigma \right\rangle_{brem} \right) n_{z}^{i} n_{e}$$

where line, recomb, and brem stands for line radiation, recombination, and bremsstrahlung-cascade power loss coefficients based on ADAS database.

## usage and namelist setup

! PLASMA COMPOSITION: AIMPS= 9.02, 12.01, 20.18, 58.69 !Be, C, Ne, Ni XZIMPS=4.0, 6.0,10.0,28.0 NPRAD=2 ! to predict radiation NADVSIM=1,1,1,1 NRADSIM=1 ! to use adas data NADAS=1,1,1,1 ! to use adas data !ADAS Be data

ADAS\_YEAR(1,1) = 89 ! acd file ADAS\_YEAR(2,1) = 93 ! scd file ADAS\_YEAR(4,1) = 89 ! plt file ADAS\_YEAR(7,1) = 89 ! prb file

! ADAS C data ADAS\_YEAR(1,2) = 89 ! acd file ADAS\_YEAR(2,2) = 93 ! scd file ADAS\_YEAR(4,2) = 89 ! plt file ADAS\_YEAR(7,2) = 89 ! prb file

! ADAS Ne data ! ADAS Ni data ! PT\_SOLVER for prediction LPREDICTIVE\_MODE=3 ! choose predictive model LPREDICT\_TE=1 LPREDICT\_TI=1 LPREDICT\_PPHI=0 LPREDICT\_NE=0 LPREDICT\_NMAIN=0 LPREDICT\_NIMP=1 ! to predict impurity density

! turbulent model selected TR\_TURB\_AXIAL='NONE' TR\_NC\_AXIAL='NONE' TR\_EXB\_AXIAL='NONE'

TR\_TURB\_EDGE='NONE' TR\_NC\_EDGE='NONE' TR\_EXB\_EDGE='NONE'

TR\_TURB\_CONF='TGLF' TR\_NC\_CONF='NEOGK' TR\_EXB\_CONF='DMEXB'

! to use TGLF ! to use NEO



JET\_51976 with total 6 species, 4 impurities (Be, C, Ne, Ni)

ADAS database is used to predict impurity radiation power loss

electron and ion temperatures are taken from user input ufiles

ADAS ionization and recombination rates are used to calculate the individual density profiles for different charge states



where PRAD\_BR is total bremsstrahlungcascade radiation power loss

PRAD\_LI is total line radiation power loss

PRAD\_CY is total total recombination radiation power loss.

PRADC is the total predicted radiation power loss



where NIMPS\_BE is total Be impurity density

NIMPS\_C is total Carbon impurity density

NIMPS\_NE is total Ne impurity density.

NIMPS\_Ni is the total Ni impurity density



1



### Test case

Time history of Ni impurity density for individual charge states

Time evolution of Ni impurity density Profiles for individual charge states.

## Interpolated differential operator (IDO) scheme

- 1): Interpolated differential operator (IDO) scheme solves the paricle, energy, and momentum conservation equations, and their derivative equations at the same time.
- 2): High order difference terms are calculated by using 3rd spline reconstruction, and 4th-order compact scheme.
- 3): Newton iteration method is used to reach convergent solution
- 4): Over relaxation factor is applied to transport coefficients.
- 5): No additional numerical diffusivity required.
- 6): Large timestep
- 7): IDO scheme has been tested in FASTRAN code.





1): Reached steady-state solution after about 150 timesteps, each timestep requires several newton iterations to reach converged states. (dt=1.0d-3)

2): Normalized residual goes to level of 1.0e-6

3): Normalized residual profiles show good convergence close to boundary. 300







- 1): TGLF fluxes match the heat fluxes from the source terms (good convergence).
- 2): Many test runs show that IDO scheme is more robust than the existing algorithm that used in PT\_SOLVER
- 3): This algorithm is not fast enough, it is still slow, however, it takes large timestep which will be good for steady-state phase of the job.

## Summaries and discussions

Impurity density prediction capability is available for beta tester1) : predict the radiation power loss2): predict the individual impurity density profiles

IDO scheme is implemented as an alternative option in PT\_SOLVER
1): fast for steady-state solution with large time step
2): still not fast enough for time dependent prediction using TRANSP PT\_SOLVER
3): deep learning algorithm provides an encouraging methods to speedup PT\_SOLVER by factor of 10 to 100

## the end