Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory NSTX Experimental Proposal						
Title: Divertor regimes and divertor detachment in NSTX						
OP-XP-438	Revision: 29 June 2004Effective Date: (<i>Ref. OP-AD-97</i>) Expiration Date:		Date: -97) n Date:			
PROPOSAL APPROVALS						
Authors: V. A. Soukhanovskii, R. Maingi, C. Bush, R. Raman, R. Bell, T. Biewer, B. P. LeBlanc, R. Kaita, H. W. Kugel, S. F. Paul, A. L. Roquemore, G. Porter			Date			
ATI – ET Group Leader: H. W. Kugel, R. Kaita			Date			
RLM - Run Coordinator: S. Kaye			Date			
Responsible Division: Experimental Research Operations						
Chit Review Board (designated by Run Coordinator)						
INITINOK INIODIFICATIONS (Approved by Experimental Research Operations)						

NSTX EXPERIMENTAL PROPOSAL

Divertor regimes and divertor detachment in NSTX

1. Overview of planned experiment

The purpose of a tokamak divertor is to provide particle and power exhaust from the main plasma and to place the plasma – material surface interaction region away from the confined plasma. The divertor also provides main plasma fueling and impurity and working species density control. Divertor detachment offers an effective power dissipation scenario for long pulse high power density discharges. The goal of this experiment is to conduct a dedicated study of the NSTX divertor conditions and to develop means to attain a detached divertor state. Two methods have been used in tokamaks to achieve divertor detachment: raising density by means of gas injection, or raising edge radiated power by injecting an extrinsic impurity. In the first part of the experiment a density scan will be undertaken in a lower single null (LSN) configuration with the aim of producing a database of divertor conditions and identifying the sheath-limited, high-recycling and detached divertor regimes, commonly observed in tokamaks. Gas injection location is also an important factor, among others, that affects the divertor detachment threshold. Deuterium will be injected from the outer wall and lower dome (bottom) injectors. In the second part of the experiment neon will be injected in increased quantities to yield P_{rad}/P_{in} up to 0.5 to induce the detachment. Divertor measurements, namely D_{α} , D_{β} , D_{γ} , heat flux, radiated power profiles and divertor Langmuir probe and neutral pressure measurements will be monitored for the signs of detachment.

2. Theoretical/ empirical justification

NSTX has an open divertor geometry with the center stack, inner and outer divertor plates and passive stabilizing plates clad in protective graphite tiles. The machine routinely operates in the lower single null or double null configuration with the outer strike point located on the outer divertor plate ($R_{out} = 0.72 - 0.85$ m), and the inner strike point located on the inner horizontal divertor plate ($R_{in} = 0.3 - 0.48$ m) or the vertical target ($R_{in} = 0.2775$ m). Experimental observations in the LSN and DN configurations suggest that in most NSTX plasmas the inner divertor is detached, whereas the outer divertor is attached. Infra-red camera measurements indicate that the heat flux density in the inner divertor is low: $q_{in} < 1 \text{ MW/m}^2$, and the peak out-in heat flux asymmetry is 4 - 6. The recycling measurements show that a large in-out asymmetry (up to 15) develops tens of milliseconds after the divertor configuration is formed. Recent divertor D_{y} measurements also indicate that the D_{y}/D_{a} ratio sharply increases as the discharge progresses, and the presence of the D_{γ} emission is correlated with a high D_{α} asymmetry. The two point model (2PM) [1] predicts that under the typical NSTX conditions ($P_{NBI} = 0.8 - 6 \text{ MW}, L_c = 1 - 30 \text{ m}, q_{in} < 1 \text{ MW/m}^2, q_{out} < 1 \text{ MW/m}^2$) the inner divertor is cold ($T_e < 5 - 7 \text{ eV}$) and the density in the inner divertor is high ($n_e < 10^{20}$ m⁻³), whereas in the outer divertor $T_e < 20 - 40$ eV and $n_e < 5 \times 10^{19}$ m⁻³ (Fig. 1). This suggests that the inner divertor is detached. The 2D multi-fluid code UEDGE predictions are presented in Fig. 2. This figure shows the inner and outer divertor state as a function of input power and electron density at the 90 % flux surface. It indicates that for the considered range of upstream parameters the inner divertor temperature is sufficiently low for the volumetric processes to

take place. The calculated plasma flux to the plates also saturates at similar upstream parameters, further supporting the notion of inner divertor detachment. A corresponding plot of the NSTX experimental data is shown in Fig. 3. Each point plotted in the $(P_{in} - n_e)$ space (density at the 90 % flux surface) corresponds to the divertor D_{α} asymmetry greater than 2, an empirical criterion suggesting conditions leading to the inner divertor detachment. Many points lie outside of the range predicted by UEDGE, especially in the lower density region.

The semi-quantitative criterion of detachment is given by the 2PM as

$$\frac{14}{3}c_Z L_Z n_u^2 L > q_u$$

where c_z is the impurity concentration, L_z is the impurity emissivity, L is the connection length and n_u , q_u are the upstream density and heat flux, respectively. The criterion demonstrates the two common ways to induce the detachment: to raise n_u by injecting deuterium, or raise c_z , L_z by injecting impurities. In order to map the divertor detachment space, and better understand the boundaries of the sheath-limited and high-recycling regimes, a dedicated experiment will be carried out.



Figure 1. (a) Divertor density and temperature predicted by the two point model



Figure 2. UEDGE predictions of divertor temperature (top) and plasma flux to the plate (bottom) in the input power – density space



Figure 3. NSTX operating space in input power – edge density space. Each point represents a LSN configuration plasma with the divertor D_{α} asymmetry A > 2, thought to be indicative of the inner divertor detachment (compare to Fig. 2).

References

[1] P. C. Stangeby, The plasma boundary of Magnetic Fusion Devices, IoP Publishing, Bristol and Philadelphia, 2000.

3. **Experimental run plan**

- 1. Develop an LSN LFS-fueled L-mode plasma and perform gas injection scan to obtain low, intermediate and high density conditions (15 - 20 shots)
 - Re-establish L-mode shot 112829 (NBI at full energy, lower X-point)
 - Start with low density ($n_e = 2-3 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) (2 shots)
 - Use the prefill of (6 6.5)e-5 Torr and Injector 2 at 10 Torr 1/s for fueling
 - Scan Injector 2 rate from 40 to 140 Torr 1 / s. (5 shots)
 - Use Injector 2 rates of 40, 80, 120, 140 Torr 1/s Raise density to $n_e = (6 9) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^3$ (10 shots) by adding LDGIS injector (50 Torr 1 / s), Injector 1 and/or CS injector
 - Add LDGIS injector (fill pressure 100 200 Torr) s
 - (Conditional) Add Injector 1 at 50 100 Torr 1/s at 0.22 s for 0.2 s
 - In one high density discharge, turn off NBI at 0.3 s to obtain high density low input power condition
 - In one discharge, turn off gas feed at 0.25 s
 - (Conditional) Run a helium discharge to de-saturate walls if necessary
 - Include NBI blips for CHERS if necessary

(Note: if the limit of choking the plasma with gas is reached, proceed to neon injections with configuration as in 1)

- Perform neon injections in increasing quantities (0.05 0.2 s duration pulses at a rate from 2 to 30 Torr 1 / s) into intermediate density one NBI source shot from 1. Monitor radiated power. Introduce 2nd NBI source blip for CHERS if needed. (15 shots)
 - Use Bay B Hi-Flo Injector for neon start at 0.25 s
 - Start with 2 Torr 1 / s for 50 ms
 - Increase neon injection rate to 30 Torr 1/s in steps of 5 Torr 1/s, Increase neon pulse from 50 ms to 200 ms, monitor plasma radiated power
 - The injected quantities are much lower that the quantity of neon used for neon GDC for CHERS calibration
- 3. Time permitting perform neon injections at established in 2 rates into intermediate and high density two NBI source shot from 2. Monitor radiated power.

4. Required machine, NBI, RF, CHI and diagnostic capabilities

Physics Operations Request and Diagnostic Checklist are attached.

Diagnostic capabilities: Must have the Langmuir probes, IR cameras, main plasma and divertor bolometers, and the D_{α} , D_{γ} cameras operational. Lower divertor Langmuir probe locations are (major radii, m): 0.2775, 0.4952, 0.7970, 0.9110, 1.0170.

5. Planned analysis

The following numerical tools will be used for data analysis: EFIT04, UEDGE, ADAS, DEGAS 2, TRANSP, analytic two point divertor model.

6. Planned publication of results

Results will be presented in an oral talk at the APS meeting and will be published in a referee journal if significant.

PHYSICS OPERATIONS REQUEST

Divertor regimes and divertor detachment in NSTX **OP-XP-438** Machine conditions (specify ranges as appropriate) I_{TF} (kA): **52.6** Flattop start/stop (s): / I_P (MA): **0.8** Flattop start/stop (s): ____/___ Configuration: Lower Single Null Outer gap (m): 0.10+/- 0.03 Inner gap (m): 0.06 +/- 0.02 Elongation κ: **1.9 +/- 0.1**, Triangularity δ: **0.45** +/- **0.05** Z position (m): **0.00** Gas Species: D / Ne, Injector: Midplane (1, 2, 3) / Inner wall NBI - Species: **D**, Sources: A/B/C, Voltage (kV): 80, Duration (s): **0.6** ICRF – Power (MW): **0**, Phasing: **Heating / CD**, Duration (s): CHI: Off

Either: List previous shot numbers for setup:

112828 – 112830, but use 80 kV NBI

Or: Sketch the desired time profiles, including inner and outer gaps, κ , δ , heating, fuelling, etc. as appropriate. Accurately label the sketch with times and values.

NBI setup: src C 80 - 600 s, src B a 20 ms blips at 220, 300 ms. Use 80 kV beams

Gas setup:

CS Injector – D_2 , plenum pressure 1000 - 1200 Torr (30 – 40 Torr 1 / s)

LDGIS - D₂, fill pressure 100 – 200 Torr

Injector $1 - D_2$

Injector $2 - D_2$, scan rate from 0 to 120 Torr 1/s

Injector 3 - He

Injector Bay B Hi Flo - Ne, scan rate from 2 to 30 Torr 1/s





DIAGNOSTIC CHECKLIST Divertor regimes and divertor detachment in NSTX

OP-XP-438

Diagnostic	Need	Desire	Instructions
Bolometer – tangential array	\checkmark		
Bolometer array - divertor	\checkmark		
CHERS	\checkmark		
Divertor fast camera	\checkmark		Load D_{α} , C II, C III filters in filter wheel
Dust detector		✓	
EBW radiometers			
Edge deposition monitor			
Edge pressure gauges	\checkmark		Enable all midplane and divertor gauges
Edge rotation spectroscopy	\checkmark		
Fast lost ion probes - IFLIP			
Fast lost ion probes - SFLIP			
Filtered 1D cameras	\checkmark		$\operatorname{Cam} 1 - \operatorname{D}_{\alpha}, \operatorname{Cam} 2 - \operatorname{D}_{\alpha}, \operatorname{Cam} 3 - \operatorname{D}_{\gamma}, \operatorname{Cam} 4 - \operatorname{D}_{\beta}$
Filterscopes	\checkmark		Use Bay G filterscope with Ne filter
FIReTIP	\checkmark		•
Gas puff imaging			
Infrared cameras	\checkmark		Enable midplane and divertor camera
Interferometer - 1 mm	\checkmark		-
Langmuir probe array	\checkmark		
Magnetics - Diamagnetism		\checkmark	
Magnetics - Flux loops	\checkmark		
Magnetics - Locked modes			
Magnetics - Pickup coils	\checkmark		
Magnetics - Rogowski coils	\checkmark		
Magnetics - RWM sensors			
Mirnov coils – high frequency			
Mirnov coils – poloidal array		\checkmark	
Mirnov coils – toroidal array		✓	
MSE			
Neutral particle analyzer		~	
Neutron measurements			
Plasma TV	\checkmark		
Reciprocating probe		\checkmark	
Reflectometer – core		\checkmark	
Reflectometer - SOL		\checkmark	
RF antenna camera	\checkmark		
RF antenna probe		✓	
SPRED	\checkmark		
Thomson scattering	\checkmark		
Ultrasoft X-ray arrays		~	Run top array with Ti filter
Visible bremsstrahlung det.		\checkmark	
Visible spectrometers (VIPS)		\checkmark	
X-ray crystal spectrometer - H			
X-ray crystal spectrometer - V			
X-ray PIXCS (GEM) camera			
X-ray pinhole camera			
X-ray TG spectrometer			