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## NSTX EXPERIMENTAL PROPOSAL

## TITLE: Search For the n=3 Error Field Source in NSTX and Implementation of Improved $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{EF}$ Correction AUTHORS: S.P. Gerhardt, et al.

No. OP-XP-902
DATE:

## 1. Overview of planned experiment

This experiment will have two steps. The first step is the most important, and the second step is "optional".

Step 1. This is a direct continuation of XP $701 \&$ XP-823, where an asymmetry of the plasma rotation with respect to applied $\mathrm{n}=3$ fields was observed and studied. Use the RWM/EFC coils to apply $\mathrm{n}=3$ fields of various magnitudes and polarities, at the $I_{P}, B_{T}$, and $\kappa$ values specified in Table \#1. For each [ $I_{P}, B_{T}, \kappa$ ] triplet, determine the applied $n=3$ field that maximizes the plasma rotation and/or angular momentum. (24 Shots)

Step 2. Previous analysis has indicated that the likeliest source of this error field is the PF-5 coil; it is the purpose of the preceding step to experimentally verify this. If this is found to be true, then a modification to the feedback code in PCS will be made, allowing the $\mathrm{n}=3$ correction to be tied directly to the PF- 5 coil current and yielding optimal correction for all values of the plasma current. A scan of the "gain" parameter will then be done, to verify that this proportional correction works as desired. ( 10 shots)

## 2. Theoretical/ empirical justification

Recent (2008) NSTX performance has been dramatically improved by the routine use of dynamic $\mathrm{n}=1$ correction and static $n=3$ corrections. However, the $n=3$ correction has been fairly crude, with a value of $\sim 300$ A in the EFC coils in an $n=3$ configuration, regardless of plasma conditions (such as $I_{P}$ or the current in the PF coils). It is the goal of the present experiment to determine which coil is the source of the $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{EF}$, and implement an improved $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{EF}$ correction based on the knowledge of that source. This has the potential to improve NSTX operations.

Also note that very few (no?) other tokamak facilities routinely correct non-resonant error fields. The present EF correction techniques, which have lead to an improvement in NSTX high- $\beta$ operations, are thus unique and quite possibly relevant for future larger tokamak facilities.

## 3. Experimental run plan

Reference Shot: The reference shot is standard 2008 fiducial; a typical example is 129072. It should go into H-mode reliably at $t \approx 115 \mathrm{~ms}$, possibly with a "blip" of source C between 80 and 120 msec . The values of $I_{P}$ and $B_{T}$ will be changed in these experiments, as will the elongation in a single case.

Part 1: Further efforts for determination of $n=3 E F$ source.
This experiment will attempt to find the optimal $\mathrm{n}=3$ field for the $\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}, \kappa\right.$ ] combinations listed in Table 1. These three variables are of interest because they control either the currents in the coils, or the ratio of currents, as:

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{PF5}}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{PF} 3} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}} \quad \kappa \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{PF5} 5} / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{PF} 3}
$$

Note that the $\kappa$ change is listed as a third-priority. This is because it will change the plasma geometry, and hence coupling to error fields. This undesirable effect is offset by the need to change the ratio of the PF5 and PF3 coil currents, in order to determine which coil is the source of the EF. Hence, only a small change in $\kappa$ is used.

For each condition, approximately 7-8 discharges will be taken with varying $\mathrm{n}=3$ magnitudes and polarities. The $\mathrm{n}=3$ fields will be ramped from 0 to the desired current over a period of 100 msec , starting at $\sim 300 \mathrm{msec}$, and will typically be left at that level for the remainder of the discharge (the exact start time may be adjusted depending on the early MHD in the discharge).

An idl code has been written to analyze all the shots in a scan of the $\mathrm{n}=3$ field, in order to determine the optimal level of $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{EF}$ correction. This code will be run during the scan, to ensure that sufficient data is collected to localize the optional correction.

In some cases (particularly at higher values of $I_{p}$ ), the plasma can tolerate various levels of $n=3$ braking/correction without disruption. In these cases, two different values of the $\mathrm{n}=3$ fields can be utilized. For instance: ramp to the first level of $\mathrm{n}=3$ fields between $\mathrm{t}=0.300$ and $\mathrm{t}=0.40$, and then to a different level between $\mathrm{t}=0.550$ and $\mathrm{t}=0.650$.

| Priority | XP | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kA})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}(\mathrm{kA})$ | $\kappa$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Done | 701 | 800 | 0.44, | 2.3 |
| Done (questionable data) | 823 | 750 | 0.4, | 2.25 |
| Done | 823 | 1100 | 0.44, | 2.35 |
| 1 | 902 | 1100 | 0.55, | 2.3 |
| 2 | 902 | 750 | 0.44 | 2.3 |
| 3 | 902 | 1100 | 0.44 | 2.1 |
| 4 | 902 | 900 | 0.54 | 2.3 |
| 5 | 902 | 900 | 0.44 | 2.3 |

Table 1: [ $\left.I_{P}, B_{t}, \kappa\right]$ combinations for this XP, as well as previous companion XPs. The goal is to complete steps 1-3 during a single run day; these three points, in addition to the previous data, should be sufficient to resolve the EF source.

Each table below corresponds to a given $\left[I_{P}, B_{t}, \kappa\right]$ combination, and is to be used for recording shot numbers and SPA currents. Note that not all of these tables, or lines within the tables, will be used.

| $I_{P}(\mathrm{kA})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kA})$ | SPA 1 Current | SPA 2 Current | SPA 3 Current | Shot Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ | -250 | -250 | 250 |  |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ | 250 | 250 | -250 |  |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ | -500 | -500 | 500 |  |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ | 500 | 500 | -500 |  |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ | -750 | -750 | 750 |  |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1100 | $0.54,66$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.1: Shot record for $\left[I_{P}, \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathbf{t}}, \boldsymbol{K}\right]=[1100,0.55,2.3]$. Note that "double" steps in the $S P A$ current are likely possible in this case.

| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kA})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kA})$ | SPA 1 Current | SPA 2 Current | SPA 3 Current | Shot Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ | -250 | -250 | 250 |  |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ | 250 | 250 | -250 |  |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ | -500 | -500 | 500 |  |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ | 500 | 500 | -500 |  |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ | -750 | -750 | 750 |  |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |
| 750 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2: Shot Record For $\left[I_{P}, B_{t}, K\right]=[750,0.44,2.3]$

| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kA})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kA})$ | SPA 1 Current | SPA 2 Current | SPA 3 Current | Shot Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ | -250 | -250 | 250 |  |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ | 250 | 250 | -250 |  |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ | -500 | -500 | 500 |  |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ | 500 | 500 | -500 |  |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ | -750 | -750 | 750 |  |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1100 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.3: Shot Record For $\left[I_{P}, \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{t}}, \kappa\right]=[1100,0.44,2.1]$. Note, this elongation change will be accomplished by reducing the upper and lower outer squareness values by $\sim 0.1$. Note that "double" steps in the SPA current are likely possible in this case.

| $I_{P}(\mathrm{kA})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kA})$ | SPA 1 Current | SPA 2 Current | SPA 3 Current | Shot Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ | -250 | -250 | 250 |  |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ | 250 | 250 | -250 |  |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ | -500 | -500 | 500 |  |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ | 500 | 500 | -500 |  |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ | -750 | -750 | 750 |  |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ |  |  |  |  |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ |  |  |  |  |
| 900 | $0.54,66$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.4: Shot Record For $\left[I_{P}, B_{t}, k\right]=[900,0.54,2.3]$

| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{kA})$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kA})$ | SPA 1 Current | SPA 2 Current | SPA 3 Current | Shot Number |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ | -250 | -250 | 250 |  |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ | 250 | 250 | -250 |  |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ | -500 | -500 | 500 |  |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ | 500 | 500 | -500 |  |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ | -750 | -750 | 750 |  |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |
| 900 | $0.44,54$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.4: Shot Record For $\left[I_{P}, B_{t}, k\right]=[900,0.44,2.3]$

## Part 2: Optimal Correction of $n=3$ EF Using Modified PCS code.

Present evidence indicates that the likeliest source of the $n=3 E F$ is the PF-5 coil; it is the purpose of step 1 to verify that this is the case. If this hypothesis is confirmed, then go on to this step.

A modification to the PCS software will be implemented, such that the $\mathrm{n}=3$ correction is directly tied to the PF5 current. The description of this algorithm specification will be developed elsewhere, but the general idea will be to generate SPA requests proportional to the PF-5 coil current as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{R W M 1}=-15 \times I_{P F 5} \\
& I_{R W M 2}=-15 \times I_{P F 5} \\
& I_{R W M 3}=15 \times I_{P F 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Based on the data from XPs 701 and 823, it appears that the optimal proportionality constant between the EFC coil current and the PF5 current in $15 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{kA}$. The purpose of this step is to verify this optimal value of this constant, and to verify that this correction improves performance.

So, when this PCS modification is complete, take one of the $\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}, \kappa\right]$ combinations from Part 1 , and use it for the following scan of the proportionality constant for a fixed equilibrium.

| SPA 1 Optimal Gain | SPA 2 <br> Optimal Gain | SPA 3 <br> Optimal Gain | Gain Multiplier | SPA 1 Gain | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPA } 2 \\ \text { Gain } \end{gathered}$ | SPA 3 Gain | Shot Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -15 | -15 | 15 | -1 | 15 | 15 | -15 |  |
| -15 | -15 | 15 | -0.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | -7.5 |  |
| -15 | -15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| -15 | -15 | 15 | 0.5 | -7.5 | -7.5 | 7.5 |  |
| -15 | -15 | 15 | 1 | -15 | -15 | 15 |  |
| -15 | -15 | 15 | 1.5 | -22.5 | -22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| -15 | -15 | 15 | 2 | -30 | -30 | 30 |  |
| -15 | -15 | 15 | 2.5 | -37.5 | -37.5 | 37.5 |  |

## OP-XP-902

## 4. Required machine, NBI, RF, CHI and diagnostic capabilities

This target discharge is essentially the fiducial. Need the EFC coil system to be working and configured for anti-series connections, and three NB sources. CHI \& RF are not wanted. Machine conditions should be such that 900 kA fiducial shots with $\mathrm{n}=1+3$ correction last longer than 1 sec . Li evaporation would certainly aid in achieving these conditions, but is not strictly necessary.

## 5. Planned analysis

These will be analyzed with EFIT and LRDFIT. A simple idl code is used to determine the optimal EF correction for a given [ $\left.I_{P}, B_{T}, \kappa\right]$ pair, given a number of shots with different $n=3$ fields. Additionally, some analysis of the $\mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{EF}$ from the PF-5 non-circularity will be done, to correlate it with the applied fields.

## 6. Planned publication of results

The subject of non-resonant EF correction is not well established in the present literature. Hence, these results, when conclusive, will make a fine contribution in a journal such as Nuclear Fusion.

## PHYSICS OPERATIONS REQUEST

TITLE: Search For the n=3 Error Field Source in NSTX and Implementation of Improved $\mathrm{n}=3$ EF Correction AUTHORS: S.P. Gerhardt, et al.
No. OP-XP-902
DATE:
(use additional sheets and attach waveform diagrams if necessary)
Describe briefly the most important plasma conditions required for the experiment:
This XP will use the standard 2008 fiducial shot ( $\kappa \sim 2.3, \delta_{1} \sim 0.6, \mathrm{dr}_{\text {sep }} \sim-.01$ ). The machine needs to have conditions such that the fiducial is lasting about 1 second. H-mode transitions should be occurring at about 115 msec , possibly assisted by a "blip" of source C from $80-120 \mathrm{msec}$.

Previous shot(s) which can be repeated: 128895 ( 1100 kA ), 129075 ( 900 kA ) Previous shot(s) which can be modified: These two above.

Machine conditions (specify ranges as appropriate, strike out inapplicable cases)
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{TF}}(\mathrm{kA})$ : See table $1 \quad$ Flattop start/stop (s): standard TF waveform
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{MA})$ : See table $1 \quad$ Flattop start/stop (s): standard fiducial waveform
Configuration: LSN (kinda). This XP will run the 2008 fiducial shape.
Equilibrium Control: Isoflux
Outer gap (m): $\mathbf{1 2 c m} \quad$ Inner gap (m): $\mathbf{6 c m} \quad$ Z position (m): -.02m
Elongation $\kappa$ : 2.1-2.3 Upper/lower triangularity $\delta: \sim 0.6-0.75$
Gas Species: D Injector(s): Standard early gas + HFS
NBI Species: D Voltage (kV) A: $90 \quad$ B: $90 \quad$ C: $90 \quad$ Duration (s):
ICRF Power (MW): $0 \quad$ Phase between straps $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): \quad$ Duration (s):
CHI: Off Bank capacitance ( mF ):
LITERs: On if available Total deposition rate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{min}$ ): $\mathbf{2 5}$
EFC coils: On Configuration: Odd

## DIAGNOSTIC CHECKLIST

TITLE: Search For the n=3 Error Field Source in NSTX and Implementation of Improved n=3 EF Correction AUTHORS: S.P. Gerhardt, et al.

Note special diagnostic requirements in Sec. 4

| Diagnostic | Need | Want |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bolometer - tangential array | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Bolometer - divertor |  |  |
| CHERS - toroidal | $\checkmark$ |  |
| CHERS - poloidal | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Divertor fast camera |  |  |
| Dust detector |  |  |
| EBW radiometers |  |  |
| Edge deposition monitors |  |  |
| Edge neutral density diag. |  |  |
| Edge pressure gauges |  |  |
| Edge rotation diagnostic |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Fast ion D_alpha - FIDA |  |  |
| Fast lost ion probes - IFLIP |  |  |
| Fast lost ion probes - SFLIP |  |  |
| Filterscopes | $\checkmark$ |  |
| FIReTIP |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Gas puff imaging |  |  |
| H $\alpha$ camera - 1D |  |  |
| High-k scattering |  |  |
| Infrared cameras |  |  |
| Interferometer - 1 mm |  |  |
| Langmuir probes - divertor |  |  |
| Langmuir probes - BEaP |  |  |
| Langmuir probes - RF ant. |  |  |
| Magnetics - Diamagnetism | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Magnetics - Flux loops | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Magnetics - Locked modes | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Magnetics - Pickup coils | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Magnetics - Rogowski coils | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Magnetics - Halo currents |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Magnetics - RWM sensors | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Mirnov coils - high f. | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Mirnov coils - poloidal array |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Mirnov coils - toroidal array | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Mirnov coils - 3-axis proto. |  |  |

No. OP-XP-902
DATE:
Note special diagnostic requirements in Sec. 4

| Diagnostic | Need | Want |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| MSE | $\checkmark$ |  |
| NPA - E $\\|$ B scanning |  |  |
| NPA - solid state |  |  |
| Neutron measurements | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Plasma TV |  |  |
| Reciprocating probe |  |  |
| Reflectometer - 65GHz |  |  |
| Reflectometer - correlation |  |  |
| Reflectometer - FM/CW |  |  |
| Reflectometer - fixed f |  |  |
| Reflectometer - SOL |  |  |
| RF edge probes |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Spectrometer - SPRED |  |  |
| Spectrometer - VIPS |  |  |
| SWIFT - 2D flow | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Thomson scattering |  |  |
| Ultrasoft X-ray arrays |  |  |
| Ultrasoft X-rays - bicolor |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| Ultrasoft X-rays - TG spectr. |  |  |
| Visible bremsstrahlung det. |  |  |
| X-ray crystal spectrom. - H |  |  |
| X-ray crystal spectrom. - V |  |  |
| X-ray fast pinhole camera |  |  |
| X-ray spectrometer - XEUS |  |  |

