Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory NSTX Experimental Proposal Title: Dependence of P _{LH} on Radius of the X-point					
	PROPOSAL APPROVA	ALS			
Responsible Author: R. Maingi		Date June 29, 2010			
ATI – ET Group Leader: H. Yuh		Date			
RLM - Run Coordinator: E.D. Fredrickson		Date			
Responsible Division: Expe	erimental Research Operations				
RESTRICTIONS or MINOR MODIFICATIONS (Approved by Experimental Research Operations)					

NSTX EXPERIMENTAL PROPOSAL

TITLE: Dependence of P_{LH} on Radius of the X-point No. **OP-XP-1029** AUTHORS: R. Maingi, S.M. Kaye, D.J. Battaglia

DATE: June 10, 2010

1. Overview of planned experiment

The goal of this XP is to measure the dependence of the L-H power threshold (PLH) on the radius of the X-point, i.e. in essence a triangularity scan. Specifically we will follow-up on XP 909, trying to confirm the previous results in discharges with low dW/dt. Since the B_t at the X-point varies with the Xpoint radius, the concept of thermal ion loss through the X-point may explain the general observation of P_{LH} increasing with B_t .

2. Theoretical/ empirical justification

Code calculations from XGC-0 have shown that the thermal ion loss at the X-point increases with the X-point radius, leading to the predicted formation of a larger radial electric field, E_r, and shear, E_r'.

Operating from a premise that a critical E_r or E_r 'might be needed for H-mode access, it follows that discharges with large X-point radii (i.e. reduced lower triangularity $\delta_{\rm I}$) would have a lower L-H power threshold than discharges with a higher $\delta_{\rm L}$.

Figure 1 shows a comparison of the computed E_r from the XGC-O code for a low (blue) and high $\delta_{\rm L}$ (green) discharges, using the EFIT02 pressure profiles as a starting point. I can be seen that the E_r and E_r' are substantially higher for the low δ_L discharge, as previously presented by C.S. Chang.



The role of the X-point in setting PLH was investigated in XP909, and published in [R. Maingi, et. al., Nucl. Fusion **50** (2010) 064010]. While the raw input power was 50-60% higher for high δ discharges (Figure 2), those discharges also had the largest dW/dt terms. Hence a clear statement could not be made on the dependence of PLH (as measured by P_{loss}) on δ . Here we propose to re-run the low and high δ discharges, taking care to obtain comparable discharges with similar P_{OH} and dW/dt.

3. Experimental run plan (1/2 day)

- Develop baseline 0.8 MA, 0.45 T low and high δ discharges (based on pre-li 132721 and 132717 respectively – see Figure 3) with low levels of lithium, i.e. 50-100 mg between discharges. If ohmic H-modes are observed, 1) drop I_p to 0.7MA, 2) raise B_t to 0.5 T, 3) raise gas puff rate until they are suppressed. The goal is to obtain a relative measurement difference at low and high δ . (8)
- Delay NBI heating till after flattop for low δ discharge and measure P_{LH}. NBI started at ~ 180ms in ٠ target discharges, delay to between 200-240 ms. (6)
- Run the same NBI program for high δ discharge (1)

OP-XP-1029

- Add extra NBI power 50ms after lower power level, i.e. starting at 250-290ms (5)
- Re-run low δ discharge just above P_{LH} for reproducibility check (2)
- Time permitting: Find P_{LH} in low δ discharge with higher B_t to match X-point B_t from higher δ discharge. This means running the low δ discharge at 50% higher B_t than the high δ discharge, i.e. high δ at 0.35 T and low δ at 0.52 T respectively. (12)
- Time permitting: re-develop and measure P_{LH} in medium δ discharge (e.g. 132708) (8)

4. Required machine, NBI, RF, CHI and diagnostic capabilities

NBI up to 6 MW, but with the ability to change voltages between shots, no CHI or rf.



Fig. 2: Various metrics of input power as a function of lower divertor triangularity δ_{low} with NBI heating: (a) P_{heat} , (b) P_{heat} normalized by $\overline{n_e}$, (c) $(P_{heat} + P_{oh})$ normalized by $\overline{n_e}$, and (d) P_{loss} normalized by $\overline{n_e}$. The red stars represent data just prior to an L-H transition, and the black diamonds represent data that did not have an L-H transition. Ovals mark discharges closest to the power threshold.

5. Planned analysis

The discharges will be analyzed with TRANSP to obtain P_{loss} . The edge profiles will be analyzed with XGC-0 to determine the E_r in the L-mode phase prior to the L-H transition.

6. Planned publication of results

The results will be published in a short letter in Nucl. Fusion. They will also contribute to an IAEA paper.



Fig. 3: Three different X-point radii (d) were developed previously in XP909.

PHYSICS OPERATIONS REQUEST

TITLE: Dependence of POn Radius of the X-pointAUTHORS: R. Maingi, S.M. Kaye, D.J. Battaglia

No. **OP-XP-1029** DATE: **June 10, 2010**

(use additional sheets and attach waveform diagrams if necessary)

Brief description of the most important operational plasma conditions required: X-point/triangularity scan at constant X-point height at time of LH, as in previous discharges. Ohmic H-modes should be avoided. **Previous shot(s) which can be repeated: Previous shot(s) which can be modified:** 132721, 132708, 132717 **Machine conditions** (specify ranges as appropriate, strike out inapplicable cases) I_{TF} (kA): **0.45** T Flattop start/stop (s): I_p (MA): **0.8 MA** Flattop start/stop (s): Configuration: Limiter / DN / LSN / USN Equilibrium Control: Outer gap / Isoflux (rtEFIT) / Strike-point control (rtEFIT) Outer gap (m): **10cm** Inner gap (m): **varies** Z position (m): **varies** Elongation: 2.0 Triangularity (U/L): **0.3-0.7** OSP radius (m): 40cm, 80cm Gas Species: **D**₂ Injector(s): NBI Species: D Voltage (kV) A: 90 B: 60-90 **C: 60-90** Duration (s): **ICRF** Power (MW): Phase between straps (°): Duration (s): CHI: Off / On Bank capacitance (mF): LITERs: Off / On Total deposition rate (mg/min): Temperature (°C): **unheated** LLD: Configuration: Odd / Even / Other (attach detailed sheet) EFC coils: Off/On

DIAGNOSTIC CHECKLIST TITLE: Dependence of PLH on Radius of the X-point AUTHORS: R. Maingi, S.M. Kaye, D.J. Battaglia

No. **OP-XP-1029** DATE: **June 6, 2010**

Note special diagnostic requirements in Sec. 4

Note special diagnostic requirements in Sec. 4

Diagnostic	Need	Want
Beam Emission Spectroscopy		
Bolometer – divertor		
Bolometer – midplane array	\checkmark	
CHERS – poloidal		
CHERS – toroidal	\checkmark	
Dust detector		
Edge deposition monitors		\checkmark
Edge neutral density diag.		\checkmark
Edge pressure gauges	\checkmark	
Edge rotation diagnostic		
Fast cameras – divertor/LLD		\checkmark
Fast ion D_alpha - FIDA		
Fast lost ion probes - IFLIP		
Fast lost ion probes - SFLIP		
Filterscopes	\checkmark	
FIReTIP		\checkmark
Gas puff imaging – divertor		\checkmark
Gas puff imaging – midplane		\checkmark
Hα camera - 1D		\checkmark
High-k scattering		
Infrared cameras		\checkmark
Interferometer - 1 mm		
Langmuir probes – divertor		
Langmuir probes – LLD		\checkmark
Langmuir probes – bias tile		
Langmuir probes – RF ant.		
Magnetics – B coils	\checkmark	
Magnetics – Diamagnetism	\checkmark	
Magnetics – Flux loops	\checkmark	
Magnetics – Locked modes	\checkmark	
Magnetics – Rogowski coils	\checkmark	
Magnetics – Halo currents		\checkmark
Magnetics – RWM sensors		\checkmark
Mirnov coils – high f.		\checkmark
Mirnov coils – poloidal array		
Mirnov coils – toroidal array		\checkmark
Mirnov coils – 3-axis proto.		

Diagnostic	Need	Want
MSE		\checkmark
NPA – EllB scanning		
NPA – solid state		
Neutron detectors		\checkmark
Plasma TV		\checkmark
Reflectometer – 65GHz		\checkmark
Reflectometer – correlation		\checkmark
Reflectometer – FM/CW		
Reflectometer – fixed f		
Reflectometer – SOL		\checkmark
RF edge probes		
Spectrometer – divertor		
Spectrometer – SPRED		\checkmark
Spectrometer – VIPS		
Spectrometer – LOWEUS		
Spectrometer – XEUS		
SWIFT – 2D flow		
Thomson scattering	\checkmark	
Ultrasoft X-ray – pol. arrays		\checkmark
Ultrasoft X-rays – bicolor		\checkmark
Ultrasoft X-rays – TG spectr.		\checkmark
Visible bremsstrahlung det.		\checkmark
X-ray crystal spectrom H		
X-ray crystal spectrom V		
X-ray tang. pinhole camera		