

Collaboration on 3D MHD and Long Pulse Stability Physics and Control in KSTAR

S.A. Sabbagh^{1,2}

for the

KO-US Bilateral Collaboration on KSTAR Research

¹Department of Applied Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

²Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA

International Collaboration Discussion Meeting

April 23rd, 2012

PPPL

NFRI 국가핵융합연구소
National Fusion Research Institute

POSTECH
POHANG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

KSTAR

?  ?
GENERAL ATOMICS
AND AFFILIATED COMPANIES

?  ?
OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Managed by UT-Battelle for the Department of Energy

THE UNIVERSITY OF
TEXAS
— AT AUSTIN —

 **COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY**
IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

 **PPPL**

UC DAVIS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Recent DOE Proposal Solicitation Defines Scope and Requirements

- “Collaborative Research in MFES on Int’l Facilities”
 - FESAC Report cited, one of the major scientific challenges identified: “Achieving high performance core plasma regimes for long-pulse”
 - Proposals from multi-institutional teams (2 or 3 teams to be chosen)
 - \$6M total/yr (3 years) contested
 - Est. ~ 6 awards to national labs, ~ 8 universities and industry
- Specific topic areas: (from pages 4-5)
 - Transport
 - Long Pulse Control (incl. mode stability physics, ELMs, 3D aspects)
 - Plasma Wall Interaction
 - Magnetic Divertor Optimization
 - Auxiliary Systems
 - Topical area “Disruption PAM” (topic #5, pg 2)

Submission Schedule

May 14: Pre-proposals due

May 21: DOE 1st approval

Jun 21: Full proposals due

Some recent history preparing us for this task

- US collaborators have been actively working on KSTAR for more than 5 years
 - Columbia U., GA, ORNL, PPPL, UC Davis, UW, etc.
- Sabbagh appointed by H. Neilson as “PPPL KSTAR Physics Leader”
 - 22 years experience (post Ph.D.) as US collaborator, 5 years experience as funded KSTAR collaborator
 - Columbia U. group: 2 KSTAR papers, 2 IAEA FEC presentations, papers (aiming for 3rd IAEA FEC 2012, 3rd NF paper in prep.), 2 XPs run to date
 - Close ties with NFRI and POSTECH colleagues
 - SAS has established / is carrying out focused PPPL plan for FY2012 to best enable PPPL for KSTAR research
 - Appropriate connections to NFRI colleagues made
 - Consolidated at KSTAR Research Conference Feb 2012 (Muju, Korea)
 - 7 PPPL team physicists sent to Muju conference – largest US presence

Muju

Collaboration on 3D MHD and Disruption Control of Steady-State Plasmas

- **Addresses key KSTAR Milestones (including)**
 - ❑ Long-pulse H-mode
 - ❑ ELM mitigation
 - ❑ Disruption avoidance and associated research (e.g. mode control)
 - ❑ Application of results to ITER
- **Collaboration Approach**
 - ❑ Coordinated partnership between institutions aiming at related physics goals
- **Organization and Partnerships**
 - ❑ Task agreement NFRI-PPPL: Columbia U., and POSTECH partners
 - ❑ Coupled, complementary research proposal by Columbia University
 - ❑ Key research/analysis and diagnostics by POSTECH

Muju

KSTAR Goals/Capabilities 2012-16 (TENTATIVE)

Campaign	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Operation Time	'12. 7 ~'12. 12	'13. 3 ~'13. 9	'14.1 ~'14. 9	'15. 7 ~'15. 12	'16. 7 ~'16. 12
Experimental goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> H-mode (10 s) Isoflux control ELM mitigation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> H-mode (20 s) 3-D field physics (RMP) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> H-mode (50 s) Hybrid scenario Disruption 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Divertor Physics AT Physics(Bootstrap) Profile control Metal(diverter) RWM 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Divertor physics Metal wall (PFC/diverter) TBM simulation test
Operation Parameters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p > 1$ MA $t_p > 10$ s Ti ~ 3 keV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p > 1$ MA $t_p > 20$ s Ti ~ 3 keV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p > 1$ MA $t_p > 50$ s Ti ~ 5 keV $\beta_N \sim 1$ at 3T $f_{BS} \sim 0.3$ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p \sim 2$ MA $t_p > 50$ s Ti > 5 keV $\beta_N \sim 1.65$ at 3T $f_{BS} > 0.5$ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p \sim 2$ MA $t_p > 50$ s Ti > 5 keV $\beta_N \sim 1.86$ at 3T $f_{BS} > 0.5$
Heating & Current Drive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 3.5MW ECH(84/110G):0.5MW ECCD(170G): 1MW ICRH : 1.5MW LHCD(5G) : 0.3MW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 3.5MW ECH(84/110G):0.5MW ECCD(170G): 1MW ICRH : 1.5MW LHCD : 0.5MW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 4 MW LHCD : 1 MW ECH(84/110G):0.5MW ECCD(170G): 1 MW ICRH : 1.5MW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 6 MW LHCD : 2 MW ECCD(170G): 2MW ICRH : 1.5MW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 8 MW LHCD : 2 MW ECCD(170G): 2MW ICRH : 1.5MW
Diagnostics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MIR / 2nd ECE-I Thomson (100Hz, 5J) Reflecto. / FIR (1ch) IRTV (Div.) / BES Image Bolometer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MSE Li-beam /DBS Thomson(25ch) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CES (poloidal) Thomson(40 ch) XICS(upgrade) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thomson (Div.) Bolometer (Div.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutron profile VUV
Magnetic Control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 6 Wb IVC, RMP Grid : 100 MVA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 10 Wb IVC, RMP,IRC Grid+MG : 200 MVA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 10 Wb IVC, RMP,IRC Grid+MG : 200 MVA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 10 Wb IVC, RMP,IRC, RWM Grid+MG : 200 MVA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 10 Wb IVC, RMP,IRC, RWM Grid+MG : 200 MVA
PWI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cryo pump(temperal) PFC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cryo pump(normal) PFC water cooling 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> +Divertor upgrade +Pellet injector +Radiative divertor 	<h2>Muju</h2>
Hardware		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cryo pump(기간) PFC water cooling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> +klystron(delivery) NB ion source 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> W-diverter NB PS 	

KSTAR Goals/Capabilities 2012-16 (TENTATIVE)

Campaign	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Operation Time	'12. 7 ~ '12. 12	'13. 3 ~ '13. 9	'14.1 ~ '14. 9	'15. 7 ~ '15. 12	'16. 7 ~ '16. 12
Experimental goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> H-mode (10 s) Isoflux control ELM mitigation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> H-mode (20 s) 3-D field physics (RMP) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> H-mode (50 s) Hybrid scenario Disruption 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Divertor Physics AT Physics(Bootstrap) Profile control Metal(diverter) RWM 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Divertor physics Metal wall (PFC/diverter) TBM simulation test
Operation Parameters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p > 1$ MA $t_p > 10$ s Ti ~ 3 keV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p > 1$ MA $t_p > 20$ s Ti ~ 3 keV 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p > 1$ MA $t_p > 50$ s Ti ~ 5 keV $\beta_N \sim 1$ at 3T $f_{BS} \sim 0.3$ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p \sim 2$ MA $t_p > 50$ s Ti > 5 keV $\beta_N \sim 1.65$ at 3T $f_{BS} > 0.5$ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $B_T \sim 3.5$ T $I_p \sim 2$ MA $t_p > 50$ s Ti > 5 keV $\beta_N \sim 1.86$ at 3T $f_{BS} > 0.5$
Heating & Current Drive	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 3.5MW ECH(84/110G):0.5MW ECCD(170G): 1MW ICRH : 1.5MW LHCD(5G) : 0.3MW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 3.5MW ECH(84/110G):0.5MW ECCD(170G): 1MW ICRH : 1.5MW LHCD : 0.5MW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 4 MW LHCD : 1 MW ECH(84/110G):0.5MW ECCD(170G): 1 MW ICRH : 1.5MW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 6 MW LHCD : 2 MW ECCD(170G): 2MW ICRH : 1.5MW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NBI : 8 MW LHCD : 2 MW ECCD(170G): 2MW ICRH : 1.5MW
Diagnostics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MIR / 2nd ECE-I Thomson (100Hz, 5J) Reflecto. / FIR (1ch) IRTV (Div.) / BES Image Bolometer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MSE Li-beam /DBS Thomson(25ch) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CES (poloidal) Thomson(40 ch) XICS(upgrade) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thomson (Div.) Bolometer (Div.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neutron profile VUV
Magnetic Control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 6 Wb IVC, RMP Grid : 100 MVA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 10 Wb IVC, RMP,IRC Grid+MG : 200 MVA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 10 Wb IVC, RMP,IRC Grid+MG : 200 MVA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 10 Wb IVC, RMP,IRC, RWM Grid+MG : 200 MVA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TF : 3.5T, PF : 10 Wb IVC, RMP,IRC, RWM Grid+MG : 200 MVA
PWI	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cryo pump(temperal) PFC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cryo pump(normal) PFC water cooling 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> +Divertor upgrade +Pellet injector +Radiative divertor 	<h2>Muju</h2>
Hardware		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cryo pump(기간) PFC water cooling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> +klystron(delivery) NB ion source 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> W-diverter NB PS 	

Collaboration to address these topics

Focus on MHD stability and transport aspects to help fulfill KSTAR milestones

• Overview of Research

- Characterize beta and pulse-limiting instabilities impeding long pulse H-mode
 - Assess error field and optimize correction for long-pulse
 - Evaluation of NTM, RWM, and kink/ballooning modes at high β_N , long pulse
 - Plasma startup/control improvements and NBI support enabling pulse extension
- Assess ELM mitigation including plasma response in long pulse H-mode
- Alter plasma rotation by 3D fields and assess access to ITER-relevant regime
- Assess approach to mode stability boundaries and active mode control under long-pulse profile evolution
- Generate transport analysis to understand profile evolution/influence of 3D field

• Comments

- KSTAR/NSTX synergy: large difference in aspect ratio gives best opportunity to understand and test underlying physics by comparing results
- Near-term program plan tasks (FY12-13) need completion to ensure basic operational, diagnostic, analysis capabilities to support longer-term goals

Multi-staged approach: First establish modes/profiles; then move to mode avoidance/control research

- Diagnostic/analysis support, etc. (2012-13)
 - ❑ Thomson scattering (LeBlanc): Connection made to Jonga Lee
 - ❑ CXS (Grierson): Connection made to Wonha Ko
 - ❑ TRANSP (Budny/Sabbagh): Connection to Jinyong Kim / L. Terzolo
 - ❑ Efficient data transfer/access (Carroll): Connection made to M. Park
 - ❑ XRC (Hill/Bitter): Existing connection with Sang Gon Lee
 - ❑ NBI (Grisham): Existing connection with Y.S. Bae
 - ❑ PPPL Theory: (CS Chang): Existing connection with G.Y. Park
- Further support/upgrades/needs identified (2012-13)
 - ❑ Power systems (Ramakrishnam)
 - Control power supplies/design: Connection to be made to H.L. Yang, J.H. Choi starting 2012 (suggested by Y.K. Oh) ← starting now
 - ❑ Magnetic diagnostics (Sabbagh)
 - Upgraded error field/control sensors: Connection made to J.G. Bak

Multi-staged approach: First establish modes/profiles; then move to mode avoidance/control research

- **Mode Characterization / analysis / control needs (2012-2014)**
 - Startup/equilibrium control (Mueller/Kolemen)
 - Optimization of real-time plasma control and startup, isoflux control
 - Definition of needs for control (Sabbagh/HK Park/JK Park/YS Park/Kolemen)
 - Design / support implementation of diagnostic upgrades needed for control
 - Rotation alteration (ITER relevance/stability): (Sabbagh/YS Park)
 - Scenario / transport modeling: (J Menard, C.S. Chang, et al.)
- **ELM Mitigation (2012-)**
 - ELM mitigation and intensification analysis vs. applied field configuration, phase; examination of threshold conditions (JK Park)
 - ELM control analysis by theoretically optimizing magnetic configurations (enabled by patch panel) and available profile modification (JK Park)
- **Mode and Error field Control (2013-2016)**
 - Definition of dynamic error field spectrum and methods to minimize (JK Park)
 - Mode stabilization and control (Sabbagh/YS Park/Hosea/Ellis)
- **Associated experiments: JK Park, YS Park, Sabbagh, Mueller**

POSTECH Program (from H.K. Park)

- New/advanced approach for understanding H-mode physics and ELM dynamics
 - New approach to understand H-mode related transport physics – 2-D MIR/3-D ECEI/ 2-D BES
 - Role of recycling and contact points of divertor/limiter in L-H transition
 - Role of in-out flux of energy flux and particles in confinement
 - New approach to understand ELM physics and study first principle based mitigation/suppression methods using 3-D visualization and 2-D active control
 - Coupling to PPPL/Columbia U. work on mode stability/control
- Present KSTAR results/analysis motivate our plan
 - Recent visualization of ELM structures – growth rate, saturation and burst
 - Recent visualization of the RMP assisted suppression and mitigation of ELMs – mode structure change, etc.

Analysis begins with existing data, reconstructions, models; advances to long-pulse, high β_N experiments

• 3D Physics and Stability

- ❑ IPEC (JK Park): ELM mitigation, error field with plasma response
- ❑ TRIP3D, SURFMN (YS Park): ELM mitigation
- ❑ MISK/DCON (Berkeley/Sabbagh): Kinetic RWM stability analysis
- ❑ NTM analysis (YS Park/Sabbagh)
- ❑ NTV analysis (Sabbagh/JK Park/YS Park)
- ❑ KSTAR EFIT reconstructions/development (Sabbagh/YS Park)
- ❑ XGC/M3D-C1: 3D field penetration and ELM analysis (CS Chang/Jardin)

• Scenarios and Transport

- ❑ TRANSP (Budny, et al.): Shot modeling and development
- ❑ TSC/TRANSP (Menard, et al.): Scenario development, including fully non-inductive, with comparison to NSTX-U
- ❑ XGC codes (C.S. Chang): Kinetic G.C. / turbulence transport (3D field effects)

• Control

- ❑ VALEN (Bialek/YS Park): RWM / dynamic error field control analysis
- ❑ IPEC (JK Park): Dynamic error field reduction
- ❑ RWMSC (Sabbagh/YS Park): State-space RWM analysis / feedback control
- ❑ Startup/equilibrium control analysis, rtEFIT (Kolemen/Mueller)

The PPPL/Columbia/POSTECH Collaboration on KSTAR aims to address/support several key device milestones

- **Addresses key KSTAR Milestones (including)**
 - ❑ Long-pulse H-mode
 - ❑ ELM mitigation
 - ❑ Disruption avoidance and associated research (e.g. mode control)
 - ❑ Application of results to ITER
- **US components of this plan are dependent on funding**
 - ❑ Good publication progress: two published Nuclear Fusion papers, three IAEA FEC presentations, one PRL, one co-authored PRL submission, one APS invited, eight RSI/JINST (POSTECH)
- **NFRI support is critical for this funding to be maintained**
 - ❑ Continued, close discussion with NFRI management is needed to create the best research for KSTAR and to meet collaborative goals
 - **Favorable response by NFRI to date**
 - ❑ US funding will be strongly related to fulfillment of DOE fusion goals

Proposal: physics research list and team building

• Approach

- Maintain strong coupling to US facility: NSTX (+DIII-D if GA joins)
- Address hosts' needs: NFRI has endorsed the present program

• Research (3D physics and long pulse control) Incl new PPPL PD/hire

□ Stability physics of long pulse H-mode

- TM, RWM, +internal mode, ECEI, future control

Couple ORNL? (Wed 2pm meeting scheduled)

□ ELM mitigation / control

← Couple GA 3D (Evans)? (contacted)

□ Long pulse scenario modeling

□ Stability control of long pulse H-mode

← Couple GA control ? (contacted)

□ Disruption avoidance

□ Rotation alteration physics (NTV, ECH, etc.)

← Couple theory outside PPPL (UT Austin) MHD, ECH induced V_ϕ , etc.

□ Rotation control

- ECH/NTV actuators, NFRI endorsed, incl. new CU PD (contact made)

• Present budget est: \$1.7M/yr for this activity w/o GA, ORNL

- Expands originally planned PPPL FY13-14 program
- Includes ECH support ~\$200k but not SS ECH launcher (\$1.7M)

Further Research Ideas - Discussion

- Some Potential Research Additions / Expansion

- Transport

- US collaboration/leadership opportunities in diagnostics and theory
 - Fast ion diagnostics a possible niche
 - PPPL has strong transport research presence (NSTX)
 - Interest from Diallo? Other NSTX contributors?
 - G. McKee (UW) expressed KSTAR BES plans at Muju

- Disruption mitigation

- KSTAR will need new capabilities to address this, but might do so in out years

- Auxiliary systems (actually, included as present research)

- PPPL strong here (e.g. proposed steady-state launchers) – present thought is that this funding falls under separate proposal w/MIT
 - Continue to ramp-up coordinated physics program utilizing this hardware

- Continue further discussion, send suggested ideas

- But quickly – time is tight. Contact: sabbagh@pppl.gov

