



Integrated Scenario Modeling

C. Kessel Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

For the NSTX National Team

DOE Review of NSTX Five-Year Research Program Proposal June 30 – July 2, 2003

Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** NYU ORNL **PPPL** PSI SNL UC Davis UC Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Maryland **U New Mexico U** Rochester **U** Washington U Wisconsin Culham Sci Ctr Hiroshima U HIST Kyushu Tokai U Niigata U Tsukuba U **U** Tokyo loffe Inst TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP**, Jülich **IPP**, Garching **U** Quebec

NSTX Integrated Scenario Modeling

- Integration of plasma models to simulate the selfconsistent plasma behavior in a full discharge, allowing a wide range of conditions to be studied
- Integrated Scenario Modeling is used to
 - Reproduce/interpret experimental discharge behavior
 - Extrapolate new experiments based on existing discharges
 - Extrapolate new experiments using theory-based predictive capability
 - Establish predictive capability to extrapolate to new devices, such as NSST (Next Step ST) and CTF (Component Test Facility)

Integrated Scenario Modeling is Focused on NSTX Advanced ST Milestones



Scenario Modeling Identifies the Tools Required for NSTX Advanced ST Operation

- HHFW heating and current drive to provide non-inductive current sustainment and non-solenoidal current rampup in non-NBI scenarios, and flexible heating in with-NBI scenarios.
- Density control through pumping or lithium is critical for NB and RF current drive. Accessing density profile control through pellet or CT injection improves bootstrap current fractions.
- Electron Bernstein Waves to provide critical off-axis current profile control for MHD stability and NTM control
- Modification of PF1 coil allows simultaneous high elongation and high triangularity improving MHD stability.

Flow of Physics Analysis Supporting ISM for NSTX



The Modeling Begins From Experimental Data

Shot 105830 was chosen as a good prototype for <u>HHFW</u> <u>scenarios</u> since it obtained **high electron temperatures** due to an internal transport barrier (ITB) and $T_i/T_e < 1$, Ip = 800 kA, $B_T =$ 0.45 T, $P_{HHFW} = 2.5$ MW

Non-solenoidal current rampup produces plasmas with **no nearby experimental analog**

Assumptions Used in Tokamak Simulation Code (TSC)

Benchmark performed on 109070 with TSC

Density profile is fixed, magnitude prescribed versus time

Thermal diffusivities spatially fixed (TRANSP), scaled by IPB98(y,2) global scaling

NBI characteristics (W_{beam} , n_{fast} , $H_{\text{NB}}(r)$) fixed to 109070, scaled by power

Z_{eff} magnitude and profile fixed to 109070 NBCD benchmarked

against TRANSP

HHFW CD from CURRAY





I. Non-inductively Sustained Scenario

Study Range of CD Techniques



- Injected 6 MW of HHFW in addition to 4 MW of NBI
- Injected 6 MW of HHFW and 3 MW of EBW (off-axis)
- Increased plasma elongation (from PF1 mod) and operate at high B_T to raise q_{cvl}
 - q_{cyl} scales as Bt(1+ κ^2) and $f_{bs} \propto q_{cyl}$
- Reduced the plasma density
 - Improve CD efficiency of NB, HHFW, and EBW
- Increased density profile peaking
 - n(0)/<n> = 1.1 for NBI + HHFW from lithium pellets or pumping

HHFW CD is strongly reduced by NB fast ions and thermal ion absorption

NBI + HHFW can access noninductive operation for 2 τ_J , and is stable to high-n ballooning^{*} and n=1 kink with wall at 1.5 a

HHFW + EBW (ITB) can access non-inductive operation at high T_e for 1 τ_J

Higher κ is critical for higher bootstrap current fractions



CURRAY Indicates Strong Suppression of HHFW CD from NB Fast lons and for $T_i/T_e > 1$ $\langle k_{\parallel} \rangle = 5.6 / m$ P_{f} P_e P_i 0.16 0.00 0.84 Te(0) = 1.75 keV Fast, no therm. 0.18 $n(0) = 3.5 \times 10^{19} / m^{3}$ Power Density, W/cm^3 000 010 010 Zeff = 1.5 (98% D, 2% C) 0.10 0.44 0.46 Fast + therm. Ti / Te = 2.0 0.85 0.00 0.15 No fast, therm electron thermal ion $\langle kII \rangle = 12.2 /m$ fast ion fast ions, no thermal ion abs. fast ions and thermal ion abs. no fast ions, with thermal ion abs. -----2Ø 0.02 Te(0) = 1.75 keV18 0.50 0.75 $n(0) = 3.5 \times 10^{19} / m^{3}$ 25 1.00 ö Zeff = 1.5r/a 16 **Current Drive, kA/MW** 14 electron 0.18 thermal ion Power Density, W/cm^3 0.10 0.10 12 fast ion Bt = 0.5 T $\langle kII \rangle = 3.2 /m$ 1Ø Bt = 0.3 TTi / Te = 2.0 0.02 3 2 \sim IO σ 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 $\langle \mathbf{k} \parallel \rangle$, /m r/a

Non-inductively Sustained NBI Heating and CD + HHFW Heating



PF1 Coil Modification Leads to Simultaneous High Elongation and High Triangularity

1.0

R, m





к=2.6,δ=0.8+

STX



CURRAY Indicates High CD Efficiencies for HHFW with Low k_{\parallel} Spectra with $T_i/T_e < 1$



Radial Location of EBW CD is Highly Localized and Can be Varied by Changing Launched n_{//}



Non-inductively Sustained HHFW Heating and CD + EBW (with ITB)



II. Non-solenoidal Current Rampup

Provide Basis for Future ST Devices

- Plasma starts conservatively with 100 kA of current
 - Produced by either CHI or PF coil startup
- No current holes are allowed limiting the rampup speed
- HHFW heating and current drive applied in low lp, low density phase, NBI applied in higher lp, higher density phase
 - Current is driven by HHFW and bootstrap, then NBI and bootstrap (HHFW CD reduced in this phase)
- Higher elongation and $B_{\rm T}$ is chosen to raise $q_{\rm cvl}$ to keep bootstrap current high
- PF coils assist the current rampup while they provide equilibrium field

How will current holes affect these scenarios?

Extreme plasmas are generated with high β_P and very low li leading to strong shaping changes

On-axis RFCD improves these scenario's controllability



Non-solenoidal Current Rampup HHFW CD + BS ----> NBCD + BS

1.0

R, m

2.0





III. Maximum β and β_N Operating Targets

Study Stability, Transport and Edge Physics at High β

- Inductive current drive with bootstrap and beam assist
- Access to varying current and pressure profiles through
 - Current ramp rate
 - Density ramp
 - Plasma growth and shaping
 - Heating scenario
- Inject 6 MW NBI (5 absorbed) and 6 MW HHFW
- Utilize simultaneous high elongation and high triangularity from PF1 modification

Free-boundary time-dependent simulations can help to optimize the Ip(t), n(t), P(t), and growth phasing

Reached a β = 46% with lp = 1.15 MA and B_T = 0.36, stable to high-n ballooning* and n=1 kink with outboard wall at 1.5a

Important test of MHD stability theory, and insight into (p, j, q) profile combinations

*except in pedestal region

Maximum β and β_N Operating Targets NB and HHFW Heating with Strong I_P Ramp δ



50

50

50

IV. Non-inductively Sustained, High β

Study and Demonstrate Integrated Advanced ST Plasmas

- Lower B_{T} to access high β and β_{N} values and long pulse lengths

EBW off-axis current critical for ballooning stability

- Inject
 - 4 MW NB heating and CD on axis
 - 3 MW HHFW heating on-axis
 - 3 MW EBW heating and CD off-axis
- Utilize simultaneous high elongation and high triangularity from PF1 modification
- Employ slight density peaking near plasma edge from lithium pellets or pumping, n(0)/<n> = 1.1

Reach β = 41%, β_N = 8.8, for 4 τ_J with Ip = 1 MA, B_T = 0.36 T

Stable to high-n ballooning* and n=1 kink modes with outboard wall at 1.5a

PF1 coil modification critical to accessing high β_N by providing high κ and high δ together

* except in pedestal region

Non-Inductively Sustained High β Plasma NB and EBW Heating and CD, and HHFW Heating



Further Investigations and Development for Integrated Scenario Modeling

- HHFW CD efficiency
 - Fast ion absorption
 - Thermal ion absorption
 - Install ray-tracing in transport codes
 - Expand scans of plasma parameter dependences especially at high β
- EBW CD
 - Improve modeling and parameter dependences
- NBI analysis
 - Low lp scenarios
 - Integrate TSC freeboundary features with advanced source models in TRANSP

- Plasma transport
 - Continue to rely on expt. χ's as discharges move closer to scenarios
 - Use NSTX specific global scaling
 - Pursue a GLF23-Low A predictive transport model
- MHD stability
 - Detailed conductor geometry
 - High plasma rotation speeds
 - Impact of higher n modes
 - RWM feedback stabilization
 - NTM's
 - FLR and flow effects on ballooning modes

NSTX is Using Integrated Scenario Modeling to Plan Future Experiments

- Advanced ST plasmas have been identified
 - <u>Non-inductively Sustained for $\tau_{flattop} > \tau_{J}$ </u>, to study HHFW, EBW, and NB CD techniques
 - <u>Non-solenoidal current rampup</u>, to examine the feasibility for future ST devices
 - High β and β_N Operating Targets, to study stability, transport and edge physics in high β
 - <u>Non-inductively Sustained, High β for $\tau_{\underline{flattop}} \gg \tau_{\underline{J}}$, to study integrated Advanced ST plasmas</u>
- Identifying the critical tools to access Advanced ST plasmas
 - HHFW heating and CD on/near-axis
 - EBW CD off-axis
 - Strong plasma shaping through PF coil modifications
 - Density control
- Continuing to expand the capability of integrated modeling to better project behavior of future experiments and devices

Several Measures are Used to Determine the Stationarity of a Long Pulse NSTX Plasma

ISTX

NBI + HHFW Non-inductively Sustained Scenario $\tau_J = 0.62 \text{ s}$



NSTX Can Operate for Several Current Relaxation Times Depending on the TF Field

