

Boundary Physics Plan for NSTX

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis** UC Irvine **UCLA** UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Marvland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

Rajesh Maingi* For the NSTX Team

*Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Tokamak Planning Workshop PSFC, MIT Sept 17-19, 2007



Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hvoao U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U **NIFS** Niigata U **U** Tokvo **JAERI** Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP**, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Increased emphasis on boundary physics in NSTX in FY09-FY13 for NHTX and ST-CTF design, and ITER R & D contributions

- Lithium as a divertor plasma-facing component for integrated power and particle control solution
 - Density and impurity control, heat flux handling
 - Effect on plasma performance
- SOL and divertor physics
- Pedestal and ELM Physics

ISTX

Lithium goal is to provide density control, and it can improve plasma performance



- Complements Mo/carbon PFC work at C-MOD/DIII-D
 - Substantial expertise in Li handling and use at PPPL

Lithium program in NSTX proceeding in stages



- Li pellets : FY 2005-
- Li evaporator (LiTER): FY 2006-
- Li powder injection: FY 2007-
- Second Li evaporator: FY 2008-
- Liquid Li divertor (LLD): FY 2009-
- Divertor upgrade: FY 2012-

- Builds on Li success in CDX-U and TFTR
- Complements (and relies on) LTX mission of evaluating Li as the primary PFC



Liquid lithium divertor module goal is to provide density control in both low and high triangularity shapes

- Goals
 - Low δ : reduce n_e by 50%
 - High δ : reduce n_e by 25%
- Features
 - 15 cm wide
 - 5 cm outboard of CHI gap
 - Desired T_{surface} ~ 300-400 $^{\circ}$ C
- Installation ~ summer 2008
- Experiments in 2009



Density reduction will depend on proximity of outer strike point to LLD module



- Divertor upgrade: FY 2012-
 - Second or upgraded LLD, or a cryopump
 - Long pulse PFC upgrade

Lithium program plan



2008-2009

- Install and characterize Liquid Lithium divertor (LLD) module
- Perform hydrogen retention and pumping efficiency studies
- Optimize efficiency of gas injector fueling
- New tools: LLD + diagnostics, Penning gauge near pumps, upgraded supersonic gas injector, programmable center stack gas injector

<u>2010-2011</u>

- LLD performance with higher power input, long pulse
- Utilize core fueling (pellets, compact toroids)

New tools: new divertor diagnostics, 2nd NBI, D₂ pellet injector, CT injector

<u>2012-2013</u>

- Optimize divertor pumping and divertor PFC materials for long pulse
- Advanced core fueling (Compact toroids)

New tools: New/additional LLD module or cryopump, new PFCs

- Lithium as a divertor plasma-facing component
- SOL and divertor physics for prediction of plasma-wall interaction footprint with theory-based cross-field transport
 - Edge transport and turbulence \Leftrightarrow SOL width
 - Divertor heat and particle flux optimization
- Pedestal and ELM Physics

Edge T & T studies will focus on connection between measured turbulence characteristics and SOL widths

R. Maingi - TPW

- Motivated by the high divertor and first-wall heat loads in NHTX, ST-CTF, and ITER
 - Peak heat flux in NSTX \geq 10 MW/m² (NHTX q_{peak} \geq 40 MW/m²)
- Dependence of heat flux width $(\lambda_q^{SOL,mid})$ not well understood in tokamaks
 - $\lambda_{q}^{\text{ SOL,mid}}$ larger in NSTX than high aspect ratio tokamak scalings
 - NSTX $\lambda_q^{SOL,mid}$ strong I_P dependence
- Turbulence modeling already connecting to analytic theory of blob formation, and more detailed numerical modeling



STX

Edge T & T Physics Plan



2008-2010

- Comparison of midplane and divertor turbulence characteristics with models
- Scaling of midplane $\lambda_n,\,\lambda_T^{},\,\lambda_\Gamma^{},\,\lambda_q^{}$ with major parameters
 - Comparison with SOL width models
 - Comparison with turbulence characteristics
- Edge biasing with local electrodes and probes for SOL width control
- New tools: fast IR camera

2011-2013

- SOL turbulence and widths with higher input power
- Divertor turbulence with X-point probe
- Upgraded biasing capability, if warranted New tools: 2nd NBI, new divertor diagnostics, X-point probe

Divertor physics and detachment physics program needed for NHTX and ST-CTF design

- ST effects: low I_{||}, small R, large outboard side surface area make outer detachment difficult
 - Power management through flux expansion and detachment may be required for heat dissipation in high power ST's
 - ST effects above allow broader test of detachment physics in 2-D codes
- Heat flux management through plasma shaping and detachment shows promise in NSTX



Divertor and detachment Physics Plan



2008-2009

- Lower divertor power accountability and transient loading studies
- Improved detachment control for long pulse discharges
- MARFE characterization studies
- Divertor performance dependence on geometry

New tools: Fast IR camera, divertor bolometer

2010-2011

- Detachment and heat flux mitigation with higher input power and reduced density
- Private flux region physics studies
- Development of MARFE dynamic models

New tools: X-point probe, divertor imaging spectrometer, 2nd NBI 2012-2013

- 2-D divertor physics: parallel vs. perp. transport
- Closed divertor?

New tools: Divertor Thomson, 2-D divertor spectroscopy, new divertor hardware

- Lithium as a divertor plasma-facing component
- SOL and divertor physics
- Pedestal and ELM Physics toward pedestal width prediction and improved understanding of ELM suppression with applied 3-D fields
 - Characterization and theory comparison at low R/a
 - Active control with resonant magnetic perturbations

ELM and Pedestal studies motivated partly by occurrence of giant ELMS at low collisonality

- Many ELM types observed in NSTX, including promising small ELM regime
 - Do small ELMs in different devices have common physics?
- Pedestal studies to reveal R/a dependence of gradients and widths
 - Low R/a allows broader test of stability models used to predict max. edge P' limit for ITER
 - Does the low R/a enable improved edge stability?
- Certain pedestal models predict a B_t and R/a dependence of T_{ped}
 - NSTX $T_{ped} \sim 200\text{--}300 \text{ eV}$
 - How to increase T_{ped} ?



ELM and Pedestal Physics plan: 2008-2009



- Assess edge stability of different ELM types and impact of aspect ratio
- Compare small ELM regimes with other devices
- Identify shape dependencies of ELM regimes
- Investigate effect of Lithium on ELMs
- Assess effects of RMP on edge stability
- Determine relation of pedestal and core stored energy
- Measure dependence of pedestal width on parameters
- Identify physics of Enhanced Pedestal H-modes e.g. orbit squeezing physics
- Compare pedestal parameters with CPES and ESL codes



STX

R. Maingi - TPW

ELM and Pedestal Physics plan: 2010-2013

2010-11

- RMP effects of heat flux spreading
- Develop quasi-steady small ELM scenarios with high input power
 Proposed Non-axisymmetric Control Coil (NCC)
- Investigate role of SOL current in ELM stability
- Extend Enhanced Pedestal H-modes

New tools: Upgraded Edge rotation diagnostic, edge SXR, five extra edge Thomson channels

2012-2013

- High m,n RMP impact on ELMs and heat flux
- Triggering of localized transport barriers with localized rotation control by RMP

New tools: Internal Non-axisymmetric Control Coils (NCC)



Boundary physics program time line FY09-FY13

