

**XP 721 – June 5, 2007**  
**(Hubbard, Maingi, Meyer)**

1. Repeat #117747 with appropriate rtEFIT changes to get target shape at 200ms; goal is to get a shape like #123644 but with the z-axis shifted downward by about 12 cm. Start first source early at 60-80ms, and add second source at 200-250ms as dictated by H-mode access. (3-5 discharges)  
This was accomplished, e.g. 124641 @ 0.6 MA, 0.45 T; shot had easy H-mode access (drsep=-15mm) and did have Type V ELMs, although  $q_{95} \sim 9.5$ , as are lower triangularity (0.51-0.65) and kappa (1.8-1.9).
2. Decrease  $q_{95}$  to 5.5 by increasing  $I_p$  to 0.9 MA (from 0.6 MA) and dropping  $B_t$  to 0.4 T (from 0.45T) in two steps (3-5 discharges)  
Had trouble at 0.9 MA, 0.45 T e.g. 642-44; 0.75 MA and 0.45T worked (124645) but the key was getting H-mode in the  $I_p$  ramp. #124645 had mixed Type I and Type V ELMs. #124645 was not reproducible later in the day; tried 0.75-0.8 MA and 0.4-0.45 T. Don't know why.
3. Vary the  $\beta_{ped}$  value by doing an NBI scan from 1 to 2 NBI sources; we expect  $\sim 1$  source will yield the target  $\beta_{ped}$  value. Use NBI de-rated source (source C) as needed to obtain finer control over  $P_{in}$  and therefore  $T_{ped}$ ,  $\beta_{ped}$  and  $v^*$ . (3-5 discharges).  
Did this at 0.6 MA, 0.45 T as in step 1, with power levels of 1 MW, 2 MW, 3 MW, 4 MW, and 5 MW. Looks like lower limit of beta-poloidal threshold of about 0.7 in this shape. We got as high as 1.3 in beta-p, and have to look carefully to see when the small ELMs disappeared. Beta scan: 124656-658. Kappa/delta closer than step 1.
4. Increase  $B_t$  to 0.5 T to separate  $v^*$  and  $\beta_{ped}$ . (2 discharges)  
Did not get to this.

