

Supported by



# Recent Experiments/Results on Confinement Scaling in High Performance

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine **UCLA** UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Marvland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** 

# Lithiated Plasmas

S.P. Gerhardt, R. Maingi Monday Physics Meeting June 21, 2010





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP. Jülich IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

Science

# What we have

- XP-1043 had detailed scans of  $I_P$ ,  $B_T$ , and  $P_{ini}$ .
  - In the morning fiducial shape, with 300 mg Lithium/shot.
  - Purpose of XP was to study scaling of SOL physics with these parameters.
    - Transport studies were an added bonus.
- Data analyzed in two different ways:
  - R. Maingi looked at global confinement using EFIT02.
  - S.P. Gerhardt went through TRANSP for thermal and global confinement.
  - Two analysis methods are pleasantly consistent.
- Large database of ~175 time slices over a range of high-performance Lithiated discharges
  - Compare trends in detailed scan to those in database.

2

### EFIT02 Analysis Shows Weak Negative B<sub>T</sub> Scaling and Strong **Positive Ip Scaling**



**D**NSTX

#### **EFIT02 Analysis Shows Strong Power Degradation**



Gerhardt & Maingi, Transport Scaling

### TRANSP Analysis of Same Data Confirms Weak (negative) B<sub>T</sub> Dependence



#### TRANSP Analysis of Same Data Confirms Strong I<sub>P</sub> Dependence (Bit Weaker that EFIT Analysis)



### TRANSP Analysis Confirms Strong Power Degradation, Agrees with EFIT02 Analysis Within Error



#### Impurity Dynamics are Not Well Constrained in these TRANSP Runs



Large influx of impurities after 0.5

TRANSP analysis of this data set used either CHERS carbon or chordal VB to constrain  $Z_{eff}$ , depending on which matched the neutron time evolution better.



*Red: Neutron emission from TRANSP using measured carbon profile.* 

Blue: Neutron emission from TRANSP run using a spatially flat value of  $Z_{eff}$ , with time evolution from chordal measurement, and assumed charge of carbon.

#### Black: measurement

8

#### Large Database Confirms Many of These Trends

- Many from a variety of H-mode conditions:
  - High toroidal and poloidal beta experiments from ASC TSG in 2009.
  - MHD XPs pushing the normalized beta.
  - Good morning fiducials.
  - Rajesh's devoted scans.
  - Other randomly high performance shots.
- At least 75 msec averaging time, starting at least 1 current time after start of flat-top.
- Something like 175 discreet time windows.
- LRDFIT04s and "good" TRANSP runs for all cases.
- Previous data was actually a subset of this database.



#### **Database Covers Wide Range of Parameters**

No strong co-linearity between  $n_e$  and  $I_p$  in this case.



#### **Confirm Strong I<sub>P</sub> and Weak B<sub>T</sub> Scaling In These Plasmas**



**()** NSTX

11

## Conclusions

- Lots of recent analysis confirms that in long-pulse, high-β, Lithiated H-mode shots:
  - B<sub>T</sub> dependence is weak.
  - I<sub>P</sub> dependence is strong.
  - Power degradation is severe...but don't fall out of H-mode.
- Action items:
  - Connect this data to the older pre-lithium data set (transport TSG folks?)
    - What changed?! Lithium, shaping, ...
  - Apply better regression analysis to large data set (SPG would gladly take help on this).
  - Fully develop how the devoted scaling studies project to the highelongation advanced scenario plasmas (SPG IAEA presentation).
- Time scale: need to sort this out by IAEA meeting.

