

Supported by



Intrinsic Rotation Generation in NSTX Ohmic H-mode Plasmas

Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U ORNL PPPL **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank. Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

J,-K. Park¹, R. E. Bell¹, S. M. Kaye¹, W. M. Solomon¹, B. P. LeBlanc¹, S. Kubota²

¹ Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory ² University of California in Los Angeles

NSTX Monday Physics Meeting January 9, 2012





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U **loffe Inst RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI NFRI KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP.** Garching ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Introduction

- Intrinsic torque and rotation generation have been observed by passive CHERS, in NSTX Ohmic L-H transition
 - There were Ohmic H-mode plasmas in NSTX (C. Bush, S. Kubota)
 - Passive CHERS provides ion temperature and rotation information
- Rotation jump is clear and perhaps highly free from 'nonintrinsic' torques
 - No NBIs, weak NTVs, short periods for rotation evolution
- Study of intrinsic torque in NSTX can provide unique information and data for STs
 - Intrinsic torque and rotation vs. thermodynamic forces
 - Intrinsic torque and rotation scaling, and Rice scaling

Intrinsic rotation in Ohmic L-H transition (#1)

• Rotation is increased by ~10km/s in L-H and stays in H-mode



🔘 NSTX

NSTX Monday Physics Meeting – Intrinsic Rotation in NSTX (J.-K. Park)

Intrinsic rotation in Ohmic L-H transition (#2)

• Rotation is increased by ~10km/s in L-H and slightly evolves in H-mode



Intrinsic rotation in Ohmic L-H transition (#3)

• Rotation jump is clear in L-H even if tearing mode is present



Passive CHERS provides (T_i,V_φ) profile evolution information using background Carbons

Passive CHERS [Bell, POP <u>17</u>, 082507 (2010)]



- Passive CHERS measures Carbon impurities (C⁵⁺) in the background and gives (T_i, V_{ϕ}) profile information
- Passive and active CHERS agree well in the edge, indicating differences can be ignored and ExB can be assumed
 - ExB rotation can be measured by ignoring diamagnetic contribution (since Z is high), but toroidal rotation for main ions may be different – will be discussed later
- (T_i, V_{ϕ}) profiles perhaps can be fully used in the edge if adequate fitting procedure is added

Secondary fitting is used for (T_i, V_{ϕ}) profiles



* Errors are propagated to the final analysis and used to rule out bad signals

Profile evolution clearly shows rotation jump, associated with ion temperature change



- Rotation clearly jumps through L-H transition, and stays in quite a long time afterwards
- T_i (gradient) also clearly changes through L-H transition, and slowly evolves afterwards
- T_e and n_e (gradient) gradually increase through L-H transition

In 10ms around L-H transition,

- ∇T_e , $\nabla n_e \uparrow$ at the end of pedestal
- ∇T_i , $V_{\phi} \uparrow$ at the top of pedestal

Rotation change during Ohmic L-H transitions is dominantly driven by intrinsic torque

• Simplified form of rotation evolution is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(mnRV_{\phi} \right) = T_{input} - T_{NTV} - \nabla \cdot \Pi_{\phi} \text{, where } \Pi_{\phi} = -mnR \left(\chi_{\phi} \frac{\partial V_{\phi}}{\partial r} - V_{pinch}V_{\phi} \right) + \Pi_{r,\phi}^{R}$$

- One can study the residual term alone when removing input torque, NTV, and zeroing rotation, which is however impossible in NSTX
- Nonetheless, through Ohmic L-H transition:

$$T_{input} = 0$$
 and $T_{NTV} \cong T_{1/v} \approx 0$

ignoring self - dependent evolution on V_{ϕ} in short time (10ms)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(mnRV_{\phi} \right) \approx -\nabla \cdot \prod_{r,\phi}^{R} \qquad \text{e.g.} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = V + C \rightarrow V = C \partial t + O(\partial t^{2})$$

• Here the goal is to investigate $\Delta[V_{\phi}](\text{or }\tau_{\phi}) \propto \Delta[\nabla \cdot \Pi^{R}_{r,\phi}] \propto \Delta \left| \frac{\partial n_{e,i}}{\partial r} \operatorname{or} \frac{\partial T_{e,i}}{\partial r} \right|$

Best correlation can be found between $\Delta(\nabla T_i, V_{\omega})$





Correlations are not good for $\Delta(\nabla T_e, \nabla n_e, V_{\omega})$





Experiment and recent theory agree well with small Prandtl number

- ExB shear is directly related to thermodynamic force [McDevitt, POP <u>16</u>, 052302 (2009)]
- A theoretical form for intrinsic rotation generation is given by

$$\left\langle V_{\parallel} \right\rangle \cong \frac{1}{2} \rho_* v_{thi} \frac{\chi_i}{\chi_{\phi}} \frac{L_s}{L_T} \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{T_e}} \quad \Pr \equiv \frac{\chi_{\phi}}{\chi_i}$$

[Kosuga, POP <u>17</u>, 102313 (2010)] [Rice, PRL <u>106</u>, 215001 (2011)]

- Experiment and theory correlates well with Pr~0.53
 - This small Prandtl number is consistent with previous NSTX momentum transport studies





Uncertainty exists due to difference between impurity and main ion rotations

- Difference between main ion rotation and impurity rotation may not be ignorable when rotation is low
- The 1st order gyro-expansions of moment equations give

$$V_{s} \cdot \nabla \varphi = -\left(\frac{d\Phi}{d\psi} + \frac{1}{Z_{s}en_{s}}\frac{dP_{s}}{d\psi} - qV_{s} \cdot \nabla \theta\right) = -\left(\frac{d\Phi}{d\psi} + \frac{1}{Z_{s}en_{s}}\frac{dP_{s}}{d\psi} - \frac{c_{p}}{Z_{s}e}\frac{dT_{s}}{d\psi}\right)$$

- For Carbon species (Z=5-6) or Argon species (Z=13-14), diamagnetic rotations and poloidal flows can be ignored
- For main ions,
 - If poloidal flows follow neoclassical predictions, two rotations are similar

$$V_i \cdot \nabla \varphi \cong V_s \cdot \nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{en_i} \frac{dP_i}{d\psi} + \frac{1}{e} \frac{dT_i}{d\psi} = V_s \cdot \nabla \varphi - \frac{T_i}{en_i} \frac{dn_i}{d\psi}$$

- If poloidal flows are negligible, diamagnetic corrections are needed

$$V_i \cdot \nabla \varphi \cong V_s \cdot \nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{en_i} \frac{dP_i}{d\psi} = V_s \cdot \nabla \varphi - \frac{T_i}{en_i} \frac{dn_i}{d\psi} - \frac{1}{e} \frac{dT_i}{d\psi}$$



Uncertainty with diamagnetic or poloidal rotation is as large as intrinsic rotation

• Diamagnetic correction for measurements:



• Diamagnetic contribution in theory:

$$V_{RS} \cong \frac{1}{2} \rho_* v_{thi} \frac{\chi_i}{\chi_\phi} \frac{L_s}{L_T} \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{T_e}} \cong \frac{1}{2\hat{s} \operatorname{Pr}} \frac{1}{eB_\theta} \frac{dT_i}{dr} \cong \frac{1}{2\hat{s} \operatorname{Pr}} V_{Diamagnetic}$$

If Pr ~1 and $2\hat{s} >> 1$ as usual, $V_{RS} \ll V_{Diamagnetic}$

Intrinsic torque scaling is essentially needed rather than intrinsic rotation scaling

- Torque is more fundamental than rotation in theory
- Intrinsic torque is better correlated with ∇P_i than ∇T_i

$$V_{\phi} \propto \nabla T_i$$
, so $\tau_{\phi} \propto \frac{\partial (n_i R V_{\phi})}{\partial t} \propto n_i \nabla T_i \propto \nabla P_i$?



🔘 NSTX

Comparison with other tokamak scaling (by Rice)

- NSTX intrinsic rotation through L-H transitions may follow empirical scaling of conventional tokamaks (by Rice)
- However, NSTX results yield small proportional factor due to large toroidal β and q_{*} in ST



Comparison with Rice scaling

• NSTX data do not follow Rice scaling?



Summary and Future work

- NSTX intrinsic rotation studies are successfully done through Ohmic L-H transitions, using Passive CHERS
- Best correlation can be found between (∇T_i , V_{ϕ}) and (∇P_i , τ_{ϕ})
- Theory and experiment agree well with small Pr
- However, uncertainty with diamagnetic or poloidal rotation is as large as intrinsic rotation itself
- This smallness of intrinsic rotation can be seen by $V_{RS} \cong \frac{1}{2 \hat{s} Pr} V_{Diamagnetic}$
- NSTX intrinsic rotation may follow empirical scaling with small proportional factor, but does not follow Rice scaling
- Future work may include
 - TRANSP and NCLASS calculations
 - Intrinsic NTV calculations
 - Pinch and diffusivity calculations?

It is hard to estimate other terms of momentum transport in this analysis

• Simplified momentum transport:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(mnRV_{\phi} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left[mnR \left(\chi_{\phi} \frac{\partial V_{\phi}}{\partial r} - V_{pinch}V_{\phi} \right) + \Pi_{r,\phi}^{R} \right] \longrightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = T_{RS} - \frac{L}{\tau_{\phi}}$$

- It requires at least three time slices to estimate both quantities
- However, changes except L-H transition are not apparent and would not be reliable in terms of errors



