



### MHD Stability Analysis and Global Mode Identification Preparing for High Beta Operation in KSTAR\*

Y.S. Park<sup>1</sup>, S.A. Sabbagh<sup>1</sup>, J.W. Berkery<sup>1</sup>, Y. Jiang<sup>1</sup>, J.H. Ahn<sup>1</sup>, J.G. Bak<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Bialek<sup>1</sup>, H.S. Han<sup>2</sup>, B.H. Park<sup>2</sup>, Y.M. Jeon<sup>2</sup>, J. Kim<sup>2</sup>, S.H. Hahn<sup>2</sup>, J.H. Lee<sup>2</sup>, W.H. Ko<sup>2</sup>, J.S. Ko<sup>2</sup>, Y.K. In<sup>2</sup>, S.W. Yoon<sup>2</sup>, J.G. Kwak<sup>2</sup>, Y.K. Oh<sup>2</sup>, Z. Wang<sup>3</sup>, N.M. Ferraro<sup>3</sup>, C.E. Myers<sup>3</sup>, S.C. Jardin<sup>3</sup>, A.H. Glasser<sup>4</sup>, G.S. Yun<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA <sup>2</sup>National Fusion Research Institute, Daejeon, Korea <sup>3</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, USA <sup>4</sup>U. Washington, Seattle, WA, USA <sup>5</sup>POSTECH, Pohang, Korea

> NSTX-U Physics Meeting November 30, 2017 PPPL, Princeton, NJ







#### KSTAR H-mode equilibria have reached and exceeded the computed n = 1 ideal no-wall stability limit



Normalized beta vs. internal inductance from EFIT reconstruction\*\* containing ~9,000 equilibria produced in the 2016 device campaign

\*O. Katsuro-Hopkins, et al., Nucl. Fusion **50** (2010) 025019

\*\* Y.S. Park, et al., Nucl. Fusion **53** (2013) 083029

□ B<sub>T</sub> in range 0.9-1.3 T

**u** Highest  $\beta_N = 4.3$ ,  $\beta_N/l_i > 6$ 

- **D** MHD stability at high  $\beta_N$ 
  - Many equilibria operate above the published ideal n = 1 no-wall stability limit (DCON)
  - Plasma is subject to RWM instability, depending on plasma rotation profile
  - High  $\beta_N > \beta_N^{\text{no-wall}}$  operation mostly limited by 2/1 mode

### High $\beta_N > 3$ equilibria limited by MHD - shot 16295



High  $\beta_N$  plasmas were significantly extended to longer pulse by utilizing improved plasma control

Sustained high β<sub>N</sub><sup>avg</sup> = 3.3 achieved for 3 s

- Used max. available P<sub>NBI</sub> = 4.5 MW
- □  $I_p = 430-470 \text{ kA}, B_T = 1.2 \text{ T}, q_{95} = 4.0-4.5, W_{tot} = 270 \text{ kJ}$
- - Consequently reduces β<sub>N</sub> and W<sub>tot</sub> by ~35%

#### Onset of strong 2/1 tearing mode terminated high $\beta_N$



Toroidal magnetic probe spectrum and mode amplitude in high  $\beta_N$  discharge

- At high β<sub>N</sub> phase, a benign n = 2 mode (presumably 3/2 mode) exists with strong sawteeth
  - No indication of  $W_{tot}$  reduction due to the n = 2 mode having  $|\tilde{B}_p| \sim 2 G$
- High β<sub>N</sub> operation was limited by strong 2/1 tearing mode onset
  - Measured mode amplitude > 20 G
  - Both  $W_{tot}$  and  $\beta_N$  were reduced by ~35% but maintained H-mode
  - Similar discharges exhibited different 2/1 tearing mode onset time (expected to be triggered by sawteeth)
- Plasma rotation profile significantly reduced by > 20% due to the 2/1 mode onset

#### Comparative equilibria having higher $q_{95}$ and $\beta_{p}$ shows very different MHD stability - shot 16325



KSTAR 16325 discharge evolution showing parameters from fully converged EFIT reconstructions

12

beta-limiting MHD activities

14

## Kinetic EFIT with MSE constraints used for accurate stability analysis for the first time on KSTAR



<u>Reconstructed pressure and safety factor profile</u> <u>from kinetic EFIT using internal profile constraints</u>

- Equilibrium reconstruction now uses measured internal profile constraints
  - Provides reliable pressure profile and internal magnetic geometry necessary for stability and transport studies

S.A. Sabbagh, Nucl. Fusion 41 (2001) 1601

- KSTAR is equipped with key internal profile diagnostics
  - Charge exchange  $(T_i, V_{\phi})$  : 32 CHs
  - Thomson scattering  $(T_e, n_e)$  : 27 CHs
  - **ECE** radiometer  $(T_e)$  : 76 CHs
  - Motional Stark Effect : 25 CHs
  - Total number of available constraints for fit : 161 magnetics + 160 kinetics & MSE

SEE Y. Jiang's talk on 11/29/17

## DCON stability calculation shows high $\beta_N$ equilibria are subject to n = 1 ideal instability

1.0



 $\phi = 90^{\circ}$  $\phi = 180^{\circ}$  $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ 0.5 Z (m) 0.0 Unperturbed boundary -0.5 n = 1  $\beta_{\rm N} = 3.2$ -1.0└─ 1.2 1.6 2.0 2.4 R (m)

 $\frac{DCON \text{ computed}}{\frac{\delta B_n \text{ of unstable } n = 1}{\text{mode at } t = 2.356 \text{ s}}}$ 

- □ At observed high  $\beta_N$  phase, DCON calculates unstable n = 1 mode with no-wall ( $\beta_N > \beta_N$  <sup>no-wall</sup>)
- q<sub>min</sub> mostly stays below 1 which supports a potential 2/1 mode triggering by sawteeth

A.H. Glasser, Phys. Plasmas 23 (2016) 072505

#### Ideal mode stability computed by M3D-C<sup>1</sup> code shows consistent result with DCON



- Linear stability of ideal mode computed by using resistive MHD code M3D-C<sup>1</sup>
  - Extended MHD code solving two-fluid resistive MHD equations
- The high β<sub>N</sub> equilibrium is computed to be unstable by M3D-C<sup>1</sup> consistent with DCON
- □ Kinetic RWM stability can explain the observed RWM stable operation at  $\beta_N > \beta_N^{no-wall}$

(a) The perturbed poloidal flux of unstable ideal mode from M3D-C<sup>1</sup> and (b) corresponding Poincaré plot with exaggerated displacement



DCON computed no-wall  $\delta W$  and EFIT  $q_{\min}$  in high  $q_{95}$  equilibria of shot 16325

- Unlike the high β<sub>N</sub> case, equilibria at lower β<sub>N</sub> is mostly stable to n = 1 ideal modes in DCON
- The elevated *q*-profile at higher
   B<sub>T</sub> leads to higher *q*<sub>min</sub> above 1
  - No indication of sawteeth found in the MHD spectrogram
  - Possible lack of non-linear seeding from sawteeth could improve the neoclassical tearing mode stability

#### Tearing stability is examined by the resistive DCON code



- Resistive DCON is used to compute the tearing stability index,  $\Delta'$ , at q = 2 surface by using the outer layer solutions
- □ ∆' which is mostly positive in the target equilibria predicts unstable tearing mode, and explains the importance of the neoclassical effects in the observed stability

A.H. Glasser, et al., Phys. Plasmas 23 (2016) 112506

# Resistive 2/1 tearing mode stability of high $\beta_N$ equilibria examined by using M3D-C<sup>1</sup>



(a) The radial velocity eigenfunction of a 2/1 tearing mode from M3D-C<sup>1</sup> and (b) corresponding Poincaré plot with exaggerated displacement Linear stability of the observed 2/1 tearing mode computed by M3D-C<sup>1</sup>

- Used experimental equilibrium and measured T<sub>e</sub> profile from TS diagnostic for the input resistivity
- Increased resolution around the mode rational surface using the adaptive meshing
- The 2/1 tearing mode is marginally stable in M3D-C<sup>1</sup>
  - Unstable experimentally
  - Computed negative mode growth rate

S.C. Jardin, et al., J. Comput. Phys. 226 (2007) 2146

# TRANSP analysis examines j<sub>BS</sub>-profile alignment with tearing rational surface



- Interpretive TRANSP analysis using KSTAR experimental equilibria and measured internal profiles to calculate plasma evolutions especially for the noninductive plasma current
- Bootstrap current profile from TRANSP and *q*-profile from MSE-constrained EFIT will be used for improved tearing stability analysis including the pressuredriven terms

SEE J.H. Ahn's talk on 11/29/17

## RWM stability evaluated with ideal and kinetic components allows for passive stabilization of the RWM



- Particle collisionality
- Plasma is stable when rotation is in resonance
  - l = 0 harmonic : resonance with precession drift frequency  $\omega_{E} + < \omega_{E}$
  - l = -1 harmonic : resonance with bounce frequency

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & \omega_E + < \omega_D > = 0 \\ \hline & \omega_E + < \omega_D > - \omega_b = 0 \end{array}$$

J.W. Berkery, et al., MHD Workshop (2015)

## Kinetic modification of RWM stability is evaluated with including energetic particle effects



n = 1 RWM stability diagram with scaled experimental rotation profiles

- MISK calculations find the equilibrium is stable to RWM as is consistent with experiment (rotation profile is scaled from 0.1 to 2 times in the analysis)
- Energetic particles are predicted to give a strong stabilizing effect to RWMs

J.W. Berkery, *et al.*, PRL **104** (2010) 035003 Y.S. Park, *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **51** (2011) 053001

#### **RWM** active control system hardware is installed in KSTAR



**D** For plasma operation at  $\beta_N > \beta_N^{\text{no-wall}}$ , RWM control system is prepared in KSTAR

- The middle in-vessel control coils (IVCCs) minimizes the inductive shielding by the copper passive stabilizing plates during RWM feedback
- Three sets of RWM B<sub>p</sub> sensors with max. 8 toroidal locations (Upper MPZU03 & MPZU04, and Lower MPZL20) have been installed on the inner surface of the passive plates  $\Rightarrow$  total 20 independent B<sub>p</sub> measurements for RWM identification (f<sub>sample</sub> = 20 kHz)

## New RWM sensors will give superior control performance over the previous device sensors



□ The design advantages of the new RWM sensors result in greater mode control - almost up to the ideal with-wall limit ( $C_{\beta} = 98\%$ ,  $\beta_{N} = 4.8$ )

### Strong coupling between RWM feedback sensors and passive plates could be detrimental to RWM feedback



- Transient effects of the induced currents on the passive plates have been examined by VALEN-3D code
- KSTAR passive plates are found to give a significant inductive effect on RWM sensor measurement when RWM coils are activated

#### **DC** sensor compensations for RWM identification



Define the DC-compensated  $\delta B$ :

$$\delta B_{\rm DC} = \delta B_{\rm COR} - \sum_{j}^{N_{\rm coil}} M_j I_j$$

 $\delta B_{\rm COR}$  : corrected  $\delta B$  by base-line subtraction, drift correction

Significant inductive component still remains in  $\delta B_{DC}$  which can be compensated by using either:

- Voltage loops on passive plates (not presently available in KSTAR)

- RWM coil current (dI<sub>RWM</sub>/dt) as vessel current sources

## AC sensor compensation can eliminate the remaining $\delta B$ components after the DC compensations



- □ Similar to NSTX, use low-passfiltered (LPF) RWM coil currents as the source of the inductive  $\delta B$
- **Define the AC compensated**  $\delta B$ :

$$\delta B_{\rm AC} = \delta B_{\rm DC} - \sum_{j}^{N_{\rm coil}} \sum_{k}^{N_{\tau}} p_{j,k} LPF\left(\frac{dI_{RWM,j}}{dt};\tau_{AC,k}\right)$$

- □ The coefficient matrix *p* has max. 20 ( $N_{sensor}$ ) x 12 ( $N_{coil}$ ) x 3 ( $N_{\tau}$ ) = 720 elements
- LPF with 3 different *τ* values has been tested
- Tested *τ* set well compensates the inductive effect. For the entire RWM sensors, remaining |*δB*| < 2 G after DC+AC compensations

#### Algorithms for mode identification (mode-ID)

□ The magnetic perturbation has an amplitude ( $A_{RWM}$ ) and phase ( $\phi_{RWM}$ )

$$B(\phi) = A_{RWM} \cos(\phi - \phi_{RWM})$$

At the *i*-th sensor, the measured mode amplitude is:

$$B_{i} = A_{RWM} \cos(\phi_{i} - \phi_{RWM})$$
  

$$B_{i} = A_{RWM} \cos(\phi_{RWM}) \cos(\phi_{i}) + A_{RWM} \sin(\phi_{RWM}) \sin(\phi_{i})$$
  

$$B_{i} = C_{RWM} \cos(\phi_{i}) + S_{RWM} \sin(\phi_{i})$$

Combine signals to form an amplitude and phase of the plasma 3D perturbation

$$\begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\phi_1) & \sin(\phi_1) \\ \cos(\phi_2) & \sin(\phi_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \cos(\phi_N) & \sin(\phi_N) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{RWM} \\ S_{RWM} \end{bmatrix} = M \begin{bmatrix} C_{RWM} \\ S_{RWM} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C_{RWM} \\ B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_N \end{bmatrix} = M^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_N \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A_{RWM} = \sqrt{C_{RWM}^2 + S_{RWM}^2} \\ \phi_{RWM} = \operatorname{atan}\left(S_{RWM} / C_{RWM}\right)$$

M : mode-ID matrix (20 x 2) for KSTAR

- Convert the sensor fields at each time point to amplitude and phase
- Outputs passed within PCS to RWM feedback algorithms for control current request
- □ Algorithm is presently being implemented in KSTAR PCS for use in 2018

#### Measured amplitude and phase of slowly rotating MHD mode



- Since RWMs have yet to be measured on KSTAR, mode identification has been tested for slowly rotating tearing modes (w/o applied feedback)
- □ Used 10  $B_p$  sensor differences (180° opposing sensor pairs) for n = 1 identification
- Mode identification well measures the evolution of n = 1 locking tearing mode

### **Conclusions and Next Steps**

- □ KSTAR plasmas have exceeded the predicted ideal n = 1 no-wall limit
- **D** Ideal and resistive stability of the achieved high  $\beta_N$  is analyzed
  - □ Kinetic EFIT with MSE constraints is used for accurate stability analysis
  - □ Achieved high  $\beta_N$  equilibria are subject to ideal n = 1 mode instability (DCON, M3D-C<sup>1</sup>)
  - Resistive DCON analysis emphasizes the role of the pressure driven effects in the observed tearing stability
  - Sinetic RWM stability can explain the observed stability at high  $\beta_N$  (MISK)
- Development of algorithm for RWM identification
  - Significant  $\delta B$  induced mostly by the passive plate response is well compensated
- Next Steps
  - Improve stability analysis by employing the pressure driven terms calculated by TRANSP, and by further refinement in the kinetic EFIT reconstructions
  - Implement the developed mode-ID routines into the KSTAR PCS
  - **Attempt experiments in 2018 to improve sustained high**  $\beta_N$ , and probe MHD stability