



Type-I ELM mitigation by continuous lithium granule gravitational injection into the upper tungsten divertor in EAST

Z. Sun¹, R. Maingi¹, Y.Z. Qian², Y.F. Wang², R. Lunsford¹, G.Z. Zuo², W. Xu², Y.M. Wang², A. Nagy¹, K. Tritz³, E. Gilson¹, A. Bortolon¹, D. Mansfield¹, G.S Xu², X.Z. Gong², J.S. Hu², and EAST team

> ¹PPPL ²ASIPP ³JHU NSTX-U Monday meeting May 24, 2021



Challenges in ELM control by injecting dust-size impurity powder

- Dust-size(<100µm) Li/B powder gravitational injection(<10m/s) in NSTX, EAST, • DIII-D→ ELM suppression D. Mansfield JNM 2009; J. Hu PRL 2015; T. Osborne NF 2015; R. Maingi NF 2018; Z. Sun NF 2021 New ited porturbation inside separatrix
 - Fast ablation through SOL at high heating power \rightarrow limited perturbation inside separatrix
 - Energetic dust \rightarrow damage PFCs; suspending air \rightarrow safety hazard for hardware operation
- ELM pacing by D/Li pellet injection with high-speed(>~50m/s)
 - not all triggered ELMs have reduced amplitude; complicated hardware



Impurity Powder Dropper enables injection of Li granules on EAST with ITER-like W divertor

- EAST has a mix of PFC material
 - Upper Div.~ W Monoblock PFCs
 - Center stack ~ Mo tiles
 - Lower Div. ~C tiles
- Multi-impurity injection system based on linear piezoelectric powder feeder
 - Li, Be, B, BN, Si, SiC, Sn...
 - Particle size 5-1000? µm
 - Continuous/burst, controllable flow rate 2-250mg/s, calibratable
- 700 \pm 100 μ m spherical granule
- Driven by gravity, ~10m/s
- Near the upper X-point



Gravity assisted Li granule injection into plasma

- Li-II (548.6nm) emission correlates with the flowmeter voltage drop
- Two timings and two flow rates in four shots
 - High rate: 194mg/s \pm 10, ~2000Hz
 - Low rate: $32 \text{mg/s} \pm 2$, ~680Hz
- True color video shows Li granules go into upper divertor plasma, wider green region with higher flowrate





Z

ELM mitigation sustained 2.8s (40Xτ_e) without core impurity accumulation



- q_{95} ~3.9, B_t =1.6T, USN, W divertor, δ =0.36, Co-NBI+LHW heating~5 MW, β_N ~1.5, Type-I ELM
- Same gas fueling
- P_{NBI} 3.5→4.1MW
 - ~194mg/s(~5.1e10²² ele./s, plasma inventory ~2e10²² ele.)
 - ne 3.3→3.9, 47%→55%
- Eng. 152→141kJ, 7%
 - Radiation $0.2 \rightarrow 0.5 MW$
- C-VI decays gradually, no core W ramp-up
 - Dα spike size reduced by 85%, not full ELM suppression

Significant ELM mitigation, ~70%



Significant ELM mitigation, ~70%

D α peak-valley ~85%, dB/dt peak-valley ~80%, $\Delta W_{ELM}/W$ 6% \rightarrow 1%, maximum total particle flux ~70%, peak Jsat~70%



Reproducible ELM mitigation with modest stored energy reduction



- No Li, No mitigation
- Too much Li, $H \rightarrow L$ transition
- Too little Li, the effect discounted
- No $H \rightarrow L$ transition with higher heating power
- Earlier contacting plasma, earlier ELM mitigation, reproducible
- ELM mitigation accompanied with W_{Dia} reduction, <10%
- Comparing with Li power, less granule flowrate needed? Minimum Li deliver rate increase with heating power increase?

Pedestal top pressure decrease by 25% but core increase 10%



Pedestal stable in peeling-ballooning instability by ELITE analysis

- Nature ELM occupying PBM stability boundary conner, intermediate-n (n=5-15) destabilized
- Li case in stable region, high-n (n=25-30) narrow-radial-width ballooning modes moderately close to the PBM boundary
 - Small ELMs likely triggered by local effect, clustered granules, similar as D pellet



Futatani NF 2014

Edge current loss consistent with internal inductance increase



- ELM mitigation correlates with the *li* value and time evolution
- Edge bootstrap current reduction
- Max. P' ↓ 10%

$$- v_e^* \propto Z_{eff} T_e^{-2} \uparrow$$

$$\circ Z_{eff} \uparrow 1.5 \rightarrow 2.1$$

$$\circ Edge T_e ↓$$

Edge cooling is likely responsible for the depressed pedestal Te

• Total radiated power grows by 2.5x, dominated by the upper divertor region



Edge cooling is likely responsible for the depressed pedestal Te

- Total radiated power grows by 2.5x, dominated by the upper divertor region
- Maximum XUV signal increase of 3.2x occurred in the channel below the maximum radiation channel
- Imply the granule penetration across X-point? NGS model showed maximum ablation can go into deeper with depressed pedestal



Pedestal ne reduction possibly stems from D recycling control with sufficient Li on the wall

• Real-time recycling control and pedestal ne increase occurred just after Li injection

- undergoes ablation and ionization near separatrix, flows into the SOL to the target, and recombines on the wall; Li ionization introduces electrons
- Pedestal ne starts to decline as the Li accumulates more on the wall and D recycling control becomes more effective



No ELM frequency increases with large ELM size reduction

- ~1s transition phase: mixed small and large-amplitude ELMs, averaged ELM frequency slightly larger than Phase I, ~110Hz
- ELM mitigation phase III: variable frequency, ~80Hz<110Hz<< 2kHz



Enhanced background turbulence and transport

- Pedestal density fluctuations ↑~50-100%
- Particle flux between ELMs in ELM mitigation phase elevated ~2X , beneficial for impurity outward transport
- Increased turbulence and reduced pedestal were concomitant in time



- O-mode reflectometer
- Around the pedestal top
- No obvious increase in pedestal foot and steep region

Summary and future work

- A reproducible high β_N H-mode regime devoid of large ELMs has been achieved by gravitational injection of Li granules with 700um diameter from upper X-point in EAST with a W divertor
- ELM amplitudes were reduced by 70% and sustained stably without impurity accumulation in the plasma core
- The remaining small ELMs and enhanced density fluctuation facilitated particle outward transport
- Depressed pedestal pressure and improved core pressure were observed, < 10% reduction of stored energy is a modest cost for obtaining ELM mitigation.
- Low-Z large-size Li granule injection can effectively modify pedestal profiles possibly due to edge cooling and recycling control
- The ELITE code has confirmed that mitigated ELM phase is more stable to the PBM than reference ELMy H-mode, due principally to reduced edge current.
- Open questions for future work
 - Assess ion dilution effect
 - Is X-point injection a key ingredient?
 - Test other materials, Be, B, etc, with and without recycling effect
 - Synergy effect with liquid lithium divertor

Thank you for your attention

More information: Zhen Sun et al 2021 Nucl. Fusion in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/abf85



Zhen Sun/NSTX-U Monday meeting

Evolution Radiation, ne, Te, and \hat{l}



19

Density fluctuation by reflectometer









H-L-H transition



P' and normalized P'

•
$$\alpha = -2\mu_0 RB^{-2}q^2p'$$



C impurity control



- Carbon in the core reduce gradually following the accumulative Li
- Possibly due to the reduction of C sputter by ELM and Li conditioning effect

Impurity and radiation power



Clustered granules



AUG X-point Radiator



Zhen Sun/NSTX-U Monday meeting

Remarkable real-time recycling control



- Dα pointing upper target plate reduces to very lower level, similar trend on lower target plate; recycling globally reduce
- Ablated Li deposited on the plate affects next shot
- Similar as Li powder injection , SLOPS indicating △R ~20%↓