

Mechanical and

Aerospace

Engineering

NSTX-U, MFS meeting



#### Optimizing edge confinement and stability via adaptive ELM control using RMPs

<u>S.K. Kim<sup>1,2</sup></u>, R. Shousha<sup>1</sup>, S.H. Hahn<sup>3</sup>, A.O. Nelson<sup>1</sup>, J. Wai<sup>1</sup>, S.M. Yang<sup>2</sup>, J.-K. Park<sup>2</sup>, R. Nazikian<sup>2</sup>, Q. Hu<sup>2</sup>, N. Logan<sup>4</sup>, Y.M. Jeon<sup>3</sup>, Y. In<sup>5</sup>, J.H. Lee<sup>3</sup>, J. Kim<sup>3</sup>, Y.-S. Na<sup>6</sup>, F. Laggner<sup>2</sup>, and E. Kolemen<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Princeton University, USA <sup>2</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, USA <sup>3</sup>Korean Fusion Energy Research Institute, KFE, Korea <sup>4</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, LLNL <sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, UNIST, KOREA <sup>6</sup>Department of Nuclear Engineering, Seoul National University, KOREA

Princeton Plasma Control **control.princeton.edu** 

Aug. 15. 2022 E-mail: sk42@princeton.edu



## RMPs are promising method to stabilize the ELM crash, however there is remaining challenges for its application on ITER or future devices

- Challenges in ELM control via RMP
  - ✓ Less sustainability by small window.
  - ✓ Loss of plasma confinement.
- Real-time pedestal optimization with ELM control



✓ RT adaptive ELM control.

- Keep ELM-free.
- Recovers confinement (>60%).

Optimized ELM-free state

# Ion pedestal widening is key of effective pedestal optimization using adaptive ELM control

- Key of successful pedestal optimization
  - $\checkmark$  Ion pedestal widening
    - In ELM-suppressed state.
  - $\checkmark\,$  Contribution to adaptive control
    - Stronger confinement recovery (>50%)
    - Faster control convergence.

#### This talk introduces...

- Principle of adaptive control.
- Role of widened ion-pedestal.
- Origin of pedestal widening.



- Adaptive ELM control using RMPs
- Widened ion pedestal and increased pedestal response
- Enhanced pedestal recovery and field amplification
- Origin of widened ion pedestal
- Pedestal widening in another device
- Conclusion

## Adaptive ELM control is effective approach to achieve and sustain steady-state ELM-free high confinement plasma

- RMP-hysteresis on confinement recovery
  - ✓ Hysteresis in RMP-ELM suppression
    - $I_{\rm RMP,IN} \geq I_{\rm RMP,OUT}$ .
    - Enables confinement recovery.
      - $\rightarrow$  By lowering  $I_{\text{RMP}}$  upto  $I_{\text{RMP,OUT}}$ .
- Real-time (RT) RMP control
  - $\checkmark I_{\rm RMP}$  for edge optimization
    - Sufficient to <u>sustain</u> suppression.
    - Minimal to <u>maximize</u> confinement.
       → By real-time adaptive control.



#### Adaptive ELM control relies on simple concept, initiated from DIII-D and further demonstrated in KSTAR

- Adaptive ELM control using RMPs
  - $\checkmark$   $I_{\rm RMP}$  control with ELM detection [R. Shousha, APS-DPP 21]
    - ELMy  $\rightarrow I_{\rm RMP}$  1. •
    - ELM-free  $\rightarrow I_{\text{RMP}} \downarrow$ . •
  - **Previous real-time ELM control** 
    - Initiated from DIII-D [F. Laggner, NF 20].
    - Preliminary trial with prescribed control boundary.
    - **Effective confinement recovery.**

**Further demonstration in KSTAR with** more adaptive scheme



[Schematic of adaptive ELM control]

#### Adaptive ELM control successfully optimizes the RMP level, maximizing the confinement recovery while maintaining ELM suppression

- ELM suppression in KSTAR with adaptive ELM control
  - ✓ <u>Recovered</u> initial  $H_{98}$  loss up to 60% ( $G = H_{98}\beta_N/q_{95}^2$ , 45%).
  - ✓ Fast convergence within 4 iterations (~5 s).
  - ✓ Well <u>sustained</u> ELM suppression.



## Successful control convergence is due to weakened discontinuity of RMP-hysteresis: Easier re-access to the ELM suppression

- Changes in I<sub>RMP,IN/OUT</sub> during control
  - ✓  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ : 4.6 → 3.5 kA (dominant). ✓  $I_{\text{RMP,OUT}}$ : 3.3 → 3.5 kA. ✓ Discontinuity  $|I_{\text{RMP,IN}} - I_{\text{RMP,OUT}}|\downarrow$ .
- Effect of decreasing *I*<sub>RMP,IN</sub>
  - ✓ Easier re-suppression.
  - $\checkmark\,$  Fast convergence and short ELMy period.



Focusing on profile dynamics in 1<sup>st</sup> iteration.



[Effect of decreasing  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$  on control convergence]

- Adaptive ELM control using RMPs  $\rightarrow$  Successful control convergence due to decreasing  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ .
- Widened ion pedestal and increased pedestal response
- Enhanced pedestal recovery and field amplification
- Origin of widened ion pedestal
- Pedestal widening in other device
- Conclusion

# During ELM suppression periods, ion pedestal shows wider structure than ELMy phase.

- Widening of ion pedestal
  - ✓ <u>Ion pedestal</u> trace.
    - 5.3  $\rightarrow$  6.3  $\rightarrow$  : ELMy,  $I_{\text{RMP}}$   $\uparrow$ .
      - Decreasing height.



# During ELM suppression periods, ion pedestal shows wider structure than ELMy phase.

- Widening of ion pedestal
  - ✓ <u>Ion pedestal</u> trace.
    - 5.3  $\rightarrow$  6.3  $\rightarrow$  : ELMy,  $I_{\text{RMP}}$   $\uparrow$ . - Decreasing height.
    - $\rightarrow$  6.6  $\rightarrow$  7.1s : ELM-free
      - Saturation with increasing width. (Decreased gradient)



# During ELM suppression periods, ion pedestal shows wider structure than ELMy phase.

- Widening of ion pedestal
  - ✓ <u>Ion pedestal</u> trace.
    - 5.3  $\rightarrow$  6.3  $\rightarrow$  : ELMy,  $I_{\text{RMP}}$   $\uparrow$ . - Decreasing height.
    - → 6.6 → 7.1s : ELM-free
       Saturation with increasing width.
       (Decreased gradient)
    - → 7.1s → 7.7 s: ELM-free, I<sub>RMP</sub> ↓.
       Increasing pedestal height/width. (Same gradient)





## During ramp-down (ELM-free) periods, ion pedestal height shows larger variation to RMP strength than ramp-up (ELMy) phase.



Changed ion pedestal behavior in suppression periods lead plasma to the new state during RMP ramp down, affecting pedestal recovery

- Pedestal recovery during ramp-down
  - ✓ Increased limit (Pedestal height:  $\beta_{p,ped}$ )
    - $\beta_{p,ped} < 70 \%$  PBM limit: ELM free.
    - Wider ion pedestal  $\rightarrow$  Enhanced limit [T. Osborne 09].
    - Higher pedestal with ELM-free.
  - Faster recovery with  $I_{\rm RMP} \downarrow$ 
    - Larger  $T'_{i,ped}$  and  $\beta'_{p,ped}$  in ELM-free.
    - Higher pedestal than ELMy for "same" RMP.





- Adaptive ELM control using RMPs  $\rightarrow$  Successful control convergence due to decreasing  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ .
- Widened ion pedestal and increased pedestal response → Enhanced pedestal recovery.
- Enhanced confinement recovery and field amplification
- Origin of widened ion pedestal
- Pedestal widening in other device
- Conclusion

### Enhanced pedestal recovery results in net confinement recovery more than just returning to previous ELMy state by lowering RMP

- Confinement recovery by RMP ramp-down
  - ✓ Confinement ( $H_{98}$ ) recovery by pedestal  $\uparrow$ 
    - Enhanced ion recovery as main contributor.

$n_{\mathrm{e,ped}}$	T <sub>e,ped</sub>	T <sub>i,ped</sub>
20%	13%	67%

- ✓ Benefit from enhanced pedestal recovery
  - Improved  $\beta_N$  path in ELM-free state.
  - Higher/Faster confinement by  $I_{\text{RMP}} \downarrow$ .
    - Higher: Increased  $m{eta}_{\mathrm{p,ped}}$  limit
    - Faster: Faster pedestal recovery

Boosted	confinement recove	ery (	(>50%).
			• •



### Shot comparison clearly shows that "boosted" confinement recovery is outcome of widened ion pedestal

- Recovery without pedestal broadening
  - ✓ Without wider ion-pedestal
    - If no ion-pedestal widening
       → No favorable state during ELM-free.
  - ✓ Reduced confinement recovery
    - No boosted or bonus recovery.





### Enhanced pedestal recovery amplifies the RMP response, resulting in easier ELM suppression re-entrance with smaller RMP current

- Decreased *I*<sub>RMP,IN</sub> for ELM suppression
  - $\checkmark$  Suppression entry threshold ( $\delta B_{
    m r,th}$ )
    - Perturbed field ( $\delta B_{\rm r}$ ) by  $I_{\rm RMP}$ .
    - Suppression for  $\delta B_{
      m r} \geq \delta B_{
      m r,th}$  [J.-K.Park 18].
    - $\delta B_{\rm r,th} \approx 20$  G in experiment.  $\rightarrow$  Red line.
  - ✓ Amplified  $\delta B_{\rm r}$  by  $\beta_{\rm p,ped}$ 
    - Same  $\delta B_{\rm r}$  with smaller  $I_{\rm RMP}$ .
    - Larger  $\beta_{p,ped}$  at re-suppression.
    - $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$  : 4.6  $\rightarrow$  3.6 kA.



 $I_{\text{RMP,IN}} \downarrow$  by wider ion pedestal.

Overall, widened ion pedestal facilitate the adaptive ELM control method by boosting the confinement hysteresis and reducing the system discontinuity

• Overall effect of ion pedestal broadening on adaptive ELM control



- Adaptive ELM control using RMPs  $\rightarrow$  Successful control convergence due to decreasing  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ .
- Widened ion pedestal and increased pedestal response → Enhanced pedestal recovery.
- Enhanced confinement recovery and field amplification  $\rightarrow$  Decreases  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ .
- Origin of widened ion pedestal
- Pedestal widening in other device
- Conclusion

### Interpretive analysis suggests that ion pedestal broadening can be an outcome of increased heat transport during ELM suppression phase

- Origin of widened ion pedestal
  - ✓ RMP-induced transport in ELM-suppression
    - ELMy : No effective change.
    - ELM-free (>6.6s): Increased  $\chi_i$  at pedestal.
      - $\rightarrow$  Decreased pedestal gradient and broadening.
  - ✓ Distinguished properties of RMP-induced transport
    - Occurrence at ELM-free state.
    - <u>No proportionality</u> on  $I_{\rm RMP}$  during ELM-free.  $\rightarrow$  Sustained pedestal gradient with  $I_{\rm RMP} \downarrow$ .
    - Additional transport mechanism may be required to explain pedestal gradient behavior. (in addition to classical transport)



### Immediate occurrence of edge turbulence is observed after entering ELM suppression

- Occurrence of fluctuations
  - ✓ Measured fluctuation
    - Immediate occurrence at ELM-free.
    - ECEI ( $\delta T_{\rm e}$ ), BES ( $\delta n_{\rm e}$ ), Mirnov ( $\delta B_{\rm pol}$ ) and CSS.
- Properties of edge turbulence
  - ✓ Frequency range
    - $\delta T_{
      m e}$  and  $\delta n_{
      m e}$  : 30-80 kHz (longer,  $k
      ho_{s}$  < 0.3).
    - $\delta B_{pol}$  and CSS: 200-400 kHz (shorter,  $k\rho_s > 1$ ).  $\rightarrow$  More than one different fluctuations.



## Edge localized fluctuation exhibits similar trends with ion diffusivity, suggesting the ion-scale turbulence as a main contributor to pedestal widening

- Properties of edge turbulence
  - ✓ Radial range
    - $\delta T_{
      m e}$  and  $\delta n_{
      m e}:\psi_{
      m N}>$  0.9.
- Correlation of edge turbulence with *I*<sub>RMP</sub>
  - ✓ No reduction by  $I_{\rm RMP}$  ↓.
    - Same for ion diffusivity.
      - → Suggesting it as a main contributor.
  - $\checkmark$  Rapidly decreasing with losing suppression (at 7.8s).
    - Immediate RMP ramp for maintaining favorable wide pedestal. → RT-Adaptive control is effective.



- Adaptive ELM control using RMPs  $\rightarrow$  Successful control convergence due to decreasing  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ .
- Widened ion pedestal and increased pedestal response → Enhanced pedestal recovery.
- Enhanced confinement recovery and field amplification  $\rightarrow$  Decreases  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ .
- Origin of widened ion pedestal → Possibly, turbulence.
- Pedestal widening in other device
- Conclusion

## New adaptive ELM control in DII-D exhibits long ELM-free state with very low RMP strength, beating the previous 3D control record

- Confinement recovery with new adaptive control
  - ✓ <u>Feedback</u> lower *I*<sub>RMP</sub> boundary
    - Achieving high confinement exceeding the previous control record.
  - ✓ Enhanced recovery
    - Significant  $\beta_{\rm N}$  recovery  $I_{\rm RMP}\downarrow$ .





#### **Profile shows that adaptive control and enhanced confinement recovery** followed by wider pedestal

**Pedestal Enhanced confinement recovery** • 3.2 5 kARecovered ✓ Pedestal broadening region 2.4 Strong in ion and weaker in electron pedestal. ٠  $T_{\rm e}$  [keV] - More stable pedestal and higher confinement. 1.6 0.8 6.5 kA #190736 <sup>.767</sup> .508 280 **D**α Recovery q95~3.4 (1.6MA / 1.96T) llut. 0.0 0.65 0.75 0.85 0.95  $\psi_{\mathsf{N}}$ 1622 **I**<sub>RMP</sub>  $\beta_{\rm N}$ Ion pedestal broadening  $\int_{0.33}^{1000} T_{e,ped}$ T<sub>i</sub> (keV) n<sub>e,ped</sub> 2 , •••• **P**<sub>e,ped</sub> ...... 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 2000 3000 5000 4000 WΝ Time [ms]

**During enhanced phase** 

- Adaptive ELM control using RMPs  $\rightarrow$  Successful control convergence due to decreasing  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ .
- Widened ion pedestal and increased pedestal response → Enhanced pedestal recovery.
- Enhanced confinement recovery and field amplification  $\rightarrow$  Decreases  $I_{\text{RMP,IN}}$ .
- Origin of widened ion pedestal → Possibly, turbulence.
- Pedestal widening in other device → Seems consistent.
- Conclusion

### Adaptive ELM control paves new strategy to optimize the pedestal via 3D field, revealing new physics of edge-turbulence and its favorable aspects.

- Successful demonstration of adaptive ELM control in KSTAR
  - $\checkmark\,$  ELM-free state with optimized confinement.
- Widened ion pedestal plays key role in control optimization.
  - $\checkmark\,$  Boosted recovery and better convergence.
- RMP-induced ion-scale turbulence highly correlates to ion pedestal
  - $\checkmark\,$  Similar trend in fluctuation and ion transport.
- Adaptive scheme is an effective way to utilize its favorable effect
  - ✓ Immediate RMP ramp to sustain the turbulence and wide pedestal.



Mechanical and

Aerospace

Engineering



#### Thank you

Princeton Plasma Control **control.princeton.edu** 

