

## Mitigation of Alfvén Eigenmodes in Negative Triangularity plasmas at TCV

**P. Oyola,** M. García-Muñoz, M. Vallar, E. Viezzer, J. Rueda-Rueda, J. Domínguez-Palacios, A. Fasoli, B. Duval, M. Dreval, A. N. Karpushov, S. Coda, O. Sauter, J. Poley-Sanjuan, J. Gonzalez-Martin, D. J. Cruz-Zabala, Y. Todo, K. Särkimäki, and the TCV and EUROfusion tokamak Exploitation teams.





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, partially funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). The Swiss contribution to this work has been funded by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union, the European Commission or SERI. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission nor SERI can be held responsible for them.

### NT emerges as a promising reactor scenario



- Improved confinement
  - Reduced turbulence level<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Y. Camenen et al., Nucl. Fusion 47 510-516 (2007)

#### 27th November 2023

#### NT emerges as a promising reactor scenario

- Improved confinement
  - Reduced turbulence level
- High plasma performance and naturally ELM-free
  - Reduced heat loads on the wall
- Divertor at larger radius
  - Larger wetted area
  - Easier integration
- Fast-ion behaviour and associated fluctuations in NT plasma?



Adapted from C. Paz-Soldan et al, PPCF (2021)

Plasma Science and Fusion Technology

# Fast-ions are the main source of energy and momentum

- Fast-ions are key for a successful fusion reactor:
  - Heating and current drive
  - Avoid damages to the Plasma Facing Components
- Fast-ions are subject to transport by a variety of instabilities:
  - AEs are a prominent source of anomalous transport<sup>2</sup>



<sup>2</sup>M. Garcia-Munoz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010)

### NT may have a significant impact on the fastions and the associated fluctuations



- Changes in the magnetic topology translate into changes in the orbit topology
  - Orbit topology impact on fast-ion fluctuations interactions



\*Synthetic equilibria

#### NT may have a significant impact on the fastions and the associated fluctuations



- Changes in the magnetic topology translate into changes in the orbit topology
  - Orbit topology impact on fast-ion fluctuations interactions
- Changes in the plasma shape also impact on the MHD fluctuations
  - Differences in the Shafranov shift<sup>3</sup>
  - Improved kinetic profiles in NT



\*Synthetic equilibria

<sup>3</sup>J. P. Graves, PPCF (2013)

### TAEs first excited in NT plasmas at DIII-D

- DIII-D team was the first one able to excite TAEs in NT plasmas<sup>4,5</sup>
  - Slight impact on the AE activity.



<sup>4</sup> M. A. Van Zeeland *et al.*, 15<sup>th</sup> IAEA TM on EP (2017)
<sup>5</sup> M. A. Van Zeeland *et al.*, NF **59** 086028 (2019)



#### 27th November 2023

## NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

# Gyrofluid simulations indicate negligible impact on AE activity

 DIII-D team was the first one able to excite TAEs in NT plasmas

- Numerical studies<sup>6</sup> with FAR3d<sup>7</sup>:
  - Linear EP-driven AE
  - 2-moments gyrofluid model for FI
  - Negligible impact of triangularity on AE growth rate



<sup>6</sup> Y. Ghai *et al.*, NF **61** 126020 (2021) <sup>7</sup>L. A. Charlton *et al.*, J. Comp. Phys **86** 270 (1990)







- NT experiments at TCV
- Hybrid kinetic modeling of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - MEGA
  - Overall behaviour of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - Wave-particle resonances in phase-space
  - TAE-induced fast-ion losses
- Conclusions

27th November 2023

NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

## TCV is an ideal testbed due to its flexible shaping and heating and diagnostic capabilities<sup>8</sup>

- Large ranges of shapes can be achieved:
  - $\delta \in (-0.8, +0.9)$
  - Can be varied in real-time
- A variety of heating systems:
  - Neutral Beam Injection (NBI)
    - Co-going injection @ 25 keV
    - Counter-going injection @ 60 keV
  - Electron Cyclotron Heating (ECH)
    - 5 gyrotrons up to 3.5 MW





<sup>8</sup>H. Reimerdes et al., NF 62 (2022)

### Off-axis NBI heating excites TAEs at TCV



- L-mode plasma with  $B_t \mbox{=+1.3T}$  and cogoing  $I_p \mbox{=+130 kA}$
- NBI-1 injection @ 25 keV
  - Off-axis injection to excite AEs
  - Early NBI injection for an elevated *q*-profile
  - NBI power at 1.3 MW
- 0.6 MW ECH power
  - lower collisionality
  - larger fast-ion content



A. N. Karpushov et al., EPS 2023

### Experiments show strong NT impact on AEs



- Triangularity real-time scan modifies AE activity:
  - Clear EP-drive nature
  - Reduced amplitude ~ 50 %
- Plasma shaping also has an impact on the overall plasma quantities:
  - q-profile



## Experiments show strong NT impact on AEs



- Triangularity real-time scan modifies AE activity:
  - Clear EP-drive nature
  - Reduced amplitude ~ 50 %
- Plasma shaping also has an impact on the overall plasma quantities:
  - *q*-profile
  - Plasma density and temperature
- To isolate the effect of the triangularity on the AEs we rely on self-consistent kinetic-MHD simulations







- NT experiments at TCV
- Hybrid kinetic modeling of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - MEGA
  - Overall behaviour of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - Wave-particle resonances in phase-space
  - TAE-induced fast-ion losses
- Conclusions

#### 27th November 2023

#### NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

## MEGA<sup>9</sup>: Nonlinear 3D hybrid kinetic-MHD code

#### Bulk plasma

• Resistive full MHD model

**Fast-ions** 

• *Particle-in-cell*: markers sampling distribution function

**Coupling through** 

current density

• Gyrokinetic equation ( $\delta f$  or *full*-f)

$$\frac{\partial \vec{U}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\vec{v}\vec{U}\right) = -\vec{\nabla}p + \left(\vec{J} - \vec{J}_{FI}'\right) \times \vec{B} + \frac{4}{3}\left(\nu\rho\vec{\nabla}\cdot\vec{v}\right) - \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\nu\rho\vec{\omega}\right)$$

δv<sub>r</sub> 0.4 D 0.3 0.2 (E) 0.1 0.0 -0.1-0.2 TCV 0.6 0.8 1.0 R(m)

<sup>9</sup>Y. Todo *et al.*, PoP **5** 1321 (1998)



#### Simulation setup

- L-mode discharge
  - B<sub>t</sub>=+1.3T at the axis
  - Co-going  $I_p$ =+130 kA
- Co-going NBI heating @ 25 keV as fast-ion source





#### Simulation setup



- L-mode discharge
  - $B_t$ =+1.3T at the axis
  - Co-going  $I_p$ =+130 kA
- Co-going NBI heating @ 25 keV as fast-ion source
- Kinetic profiles from measurements



NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

#### Simulation setup



- L-mode discharge
  - B<sub>t</sub>=+1.3T at the axis
  - Co-going  $I_p$ =+130 kA
- Co-going NBI heating @ 25 keV as fast-ion source
- Kinetic profiles from measurements
- Mirrored  $PT \rightarrow NT$
- Multi-*n* simulation



27th November 2023

NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

#### Ad-hoc realistic initial fast-ion distribution

Analytical anisotropic slowing-down distribution

$$f_0 \propto e^{-\frac{(\rho - \rho_0)^2}{2(\Delta \rho_0)^2}} \frac{1}{v^3 + v_{crit}^3} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{v - v_{birth}}{\Delta v}\right) e^{-\frac{(\Lambda - \Lambda_0)^2}{2(\Delta \Lambda)^2}}$$
  
Pitch angle  $\Lambda_0 \equiv \frac{\mu B_{axis}}{E}$ 

Parameter scans to isolate the impact of the  $\delta$ :

- Injection pitch angle,  $\Lambda_0$
- Radial profile,  $\rho_0$









- NT experiments at TCV
- Hybrid kinetic modeling of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - MEGA
  - Overall behaviour of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - Wave-particle resonances in phase-space
  - TAE-induced fast-ion losses
- Conclusions

27th November 2023

NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

#### Similar TAE in NT vs. PT develops in simulations

Plasma shaping impacts on the observed TAE activity

- Shear Alfvén Wave continuum is similar in PT & NT
  - Shafranov shift has negligible impact on the continuum
  - Similar frequency and radial location of the mode in the plasma





#### TAE is mitigated in NT vs PT

Plasma shaping impacts on the observed TAE activity

- SAW is similar in PT & NT
- In PT, TAE reaches a ~40% larger energy, compared to NT
- Growth rate is 20% smaller in NT





#### Systematical reduction of the growth rate in NT

Plasma shaping impacts on the observed TAE activity

- SAW is similar in PT & NT
- In PT, TAE reaches a ~40% larger energy, compared to NT
- Growth rate is 20% smaller in NT
- Robust observation independent on the initial fast-ion distribution function:
  - Pitch angle,  $\Lambda_0$
  - Fast-ion drive location, ρ<sub>0</sub>









- NT experiments at TCV
- Hybrid kinetic modeling of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - MEGA
  - Overall behaviour of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - Wave-particle resonances in phase-space
  - TAE-induced fast-ion losses
- Conclusions

Analysis of the power exchange in the fast-ion phase-space reveals resonant interaction

• Power exchange studied in the constant of motion space of the fast-ions (E,  $\mu$ ), integrated over P<sub> $\phi$ </sub>

 $\Delta E > 0 \longrightarrow$  Energy to the FI

 $\Delta E < 0 \longrightarrow$  Energy to the wave

Two main phase-space volumes providing energy to TAE





Analysis of the power exchange in the fast-ion phase-space reveals resonant interaction

• Power exchange studied in the constant of motion space of the fast-ions (E,  $\mu$ ), integrated over P<sub> $\phi$ </sub>

 $\Delta E > 0 \longrightarrow$  Energy to the FI

 $\Delta E < 0 \longrightarrow$  Energy to the wave

- Two main phase-space volumes providing energy to TAE:
  - Fast-ion analytical resonances<sup>10</sup>

 $\omega_{\text{TAE}} = n\bar{\omega}_d + p\omega_\theta$ 

NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola





### NT damps the lowest sideband harmonic

 Alignment of analytical resonances with structures in fast-ion phase-space.

 $\omega_{\rm TAE} = n\bar{\omega}_d + p\omega_\theta$ 

- In PT, lower sideband harmonic (*p*=4) is most excited.
- In NT, damps lower sideband harmonic (*p*=4).





### NT damps the lowest sideband harmonic.



$$\omega_{\text{TAE}} = n\bar{\omega}_d + \left(p + (m - nq)\right)\omega_{\text{t}}$$

- In PT, lower sideband harmonic (*p*=4) is most excited.
- In NT, damps lower sideband harmonic (*p*=4).
- Behavior reproduced at all time points.









- NT experiments at TCV
- Hybrid kinetic modeling of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - MEGA
  - Overall behaviour of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - Wave-particle resonances in phase-space
  - TAE-induced fast-ion losses
- Conclusions

#### A synthetic fast-ion loss detector





<sup>12</sup>P. Oyola et al., RSI 92 (2021)

- TAEs are known to produce significant fast-ion transport and losses
- Self-consistent losses can be evaluated with a synthetic wall implemented in MEGA<sup>12</sup>

## 3D wall and 2D implemented in MEGA and tested FEPFL

- TAEs are known to produce significant fast-ion transport and losses
- Self-consistent losses can be evaluated with a synthetic wall implemented in MEGA
- Geometrical heat loads from the fast-ion transport can be detected
  - Prompt losses





NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

#### 27th November 2023

Wall losses show correspondence with RMPs

- TAEs are known to produce significant fast-ion transport and losses
- Self-consistent losses can be evaluated with a synthetic wall implemented in MEGA
- Geometrical heat loads from the fast-ion transport can be detected
  - Prompt losses •
  - Reproduces the MP-induced transport



Angular plane

x 10<sup>5</sup>

2.0

markers/(4m



#### Wall losses show correspondence with RMPs

- TAEs are known to produce significant fast-ion transport and losses
- Self-consistent losses can be evaluated with a synthetic wall implemented in MEGA
- Geometrical heat loads from the fast-ion transport can be detected
  - Prompt losses
  - Reproduces the MP-induced transport
  - Reproduces important physical features







### TAE-induced fast-ion losses are 3x lower in NT



- 2D wall implemented in MEGA for TCV tokamak
- Correlated fast-ion losses bursts with TAE saturation
- Fast-ion power loss reduced **3x** in NT compared to PT



#### 27th November 2023

## Single-*n* MEGA simulation reproduces the losses and TAE characteristics

- Single-*n* simulation reproduces qualitatively reproduces the fast-ion transport:
  - Correlated losses
  - Enhanced confinement for NT case





## ASCOT5 simulates TAE-induced fast-ion losses

• Single-*n* simulation reproduces qualitatively reproduces the fast-ion transport



1.0 MEGA

0.5

27th November 2023

NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola



Single-n

NT

PT

#### AE-induced losses are localized in co-going

• Single-*n* simulation reproduces qualitatively reproduces the fast-ion transport

- Extending it to more triangularities
  - Co-injected particles are resonantly transported.
  - Losses in stagnated, trapped and counter are expected.





#### AE-induced losses decrease for deeper NT

• Single-*n* simulation reproduces qualitatively reproduces the fast-ion transport

• Extending it to more triangularities

• Resonantly transported losses are consistently reduced as deeper NT are reached!





# First TCV experiments with FILD measurements points to confirm the results

- ts Plasma Fusion TCV#76504
- Single-*n* simulation reproduces qualitatively reproduces the fast-ion transport:

• Extending it to more triangularities

• Resonantly transported losses are consistently reduced as deeper NT are reached!

 First empirical hints proving mitigated AEinduced losses at TCV

Courtesy of A. N. Karpushov and J. Poley-Sanjuan, EPFL









- NT experiments at TCV
- Hybrid kinetic modeling of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - MEGA
  - Overall behaviour of TAEs in NT vs. PT
  - Wave-particle resonances in phase-space
  - TAE-induced fast-ion losses
- Conclusions

#### Conclusions



- TAEs appear weaker in NT than in its counterpart PT.
- MEGA sims used to isolate the  $\delta$  effects.
- ~40% lower energy in NT with respect to PT.
- Lower transit harmonics are damped in NT. •
- Fast-ion losses are 3x lower in NT. •



Time wrt TAE max (ms)

04

0.04

1.1



## Backup slides





This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, partially funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). The Swiss contribution to this work has been funded by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union, the European Commission or SERI. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission nor SERI can be held responsible for them.

#### 27th November 2023

NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

 $\rho_0$ 

#### Initial FI drive is the same for NT and PT

Analytical slowing-down distribution:

$$f_0 \propto e^{-\frac{(\rho - \rho_0)^2}{2(\Delta \rho_0)^2}} \frac{1}{v^3 + v_{crit}^3} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{v - v_{birth}}{\Delta v}\right) e^{-\frac{(\Lambda - \Lambda_0)^2}{2(\Delta \Lambda)^2}}$$

- Scan in different pitch-angle injections  $\Lambda_0 \equiv \frac{\mu B_{axis}}{E}$
- Scan in different fast-ion gradient location

$$\gamma_{\mathrm{TAE}} \propto \beta_{\mathrm{FI}} \left( \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial E} + \frac{n}{\omega} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial P_{\phi}} \right)$$





### Phase-space topology



- The phase-space is similar for all  $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ 
  - Slightly more broader trapped region for increasing + $\delta$ .
- Counter-going particles ( $\lambda < 0$ ) are badly confined:
  - Close to the loss-boundary along the R<sub>0</sub> direction
  - Small perturbations lead to loss of confinement.
  - Only good confinement at core.





#### On-axis NBI vs. off-axis NBI

- On-axis FI distribution in MEGA simulations do not reveal any TAE activity.
- TAE activity only with an off-axis distribution





#### M. García-Muñoz et al., EPS Conference (2021)

27th November 2023

NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

# MEGA reproduces the experimental energy threshold in experiments





M. García-Muñoz et al., EPS Conference (2021)

27th November 2023

NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

# Observed improvement in fast-ion confinement in NT plasmas at TCV



Frequency, kHz

- Fast-ion losses at  $\lambda \sim 0.2$ :
  - 20-50 keV only during the D-NBI
- Fast-ion losses with higher λ:
  - Only with NBI-1 and AE activity

Interpretation:

- NBI-1 ( $\Lambda \sim 0.6$ -1) excites TAEs
- TAEs interact with D-NBI ions at  $\Lambda \sim 0.3$ 
  - DNBI losses detected with FILD



A. N. Karpushov et al., EPS 2023

#### Larger poloidally varying resonant term

 The poloidally varying contribution in the resonance for deeply passing depends explicitly on the Shafranov shift<sup>12</sup>.

$$\tilde{M} \approx -s \cdot \left( 2\cos\left(\omega\right) + 2\sum_{m} \frac{S_m}{r} \cos\left(\left(m-1\right)\omega\right) + \Delta' \right)$$





NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

#### 27th November 2023

## NT is an ELM-free regime with H-mode-like confinement

- Strong reduction of electron heat flux in NT was first observed in TCV<sup>12,13</sup>.
  - $\,{}^{\scriptscriptstyle >}\,$  TEM suppression in -6  $^{\scriptscriptstyle 14}$
- DIII-D team first showed that confinement is similar to PT in H-mode<sup>15</sup>.
  - → H-mode-like confinement in NT L-mode.
  - → Natural ELM-free scenario.

• Assessment of AEs and fast-ion transport.



<sup>15</sup> M. E. Austin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** 115001 (2019)







NSTX-U | Magnetic Fusion Science Meeting - P. Oyola

### Magnetic drifts are stronger in NT cases



Using the orbit-resonance analysis<sup>11</sup> framework:

- NT particles feel stronger ⊽B-drift
- Increased phase slippage (detuning) in NT compared to PT
- Averages to lower energy transfer