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## Development of High-Current Long Pulse Shots
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## Why Target Very Long Pulse Discharges?

- Longest possible pulses will be useful for:
- Demonstrating disruption-free operation.
- Studying particle control and material migration physics.
- Demonstrating unambiguously stationary conditions
- Longest possible pulses will challenge:
- Our MHD control methods.
- Need best available EFC.
- Our shape control
- Our ability to mange the $\mathrm{OH} / T F$ relative temperatures, optimize the OH flux consumption.
- Beam system
- Drift duct pressure and their tuning waveforms
- Our particle control capabilities.
- This may be the ultimate limiting factor.
- Goal (this year)
- Operate 5 second plasmas with the highest possible current.


## So What Might NSTX-U Ultimately Provide?

- Highest current consistent with $\mathrm{q}_{\text {min }}>1.0$ and solenoid flux limit.
$-B_{T}=0.75 \mathrm{~T}$.
- Two configurations of beams:
- $6 \times 60 \mathrm{kV}: 8$ seconds total
- $3 \times 80 \mathrm{kV}$, staggered: 10 seconds total
- Note: full pre-charge may not be available in the first year.



## Proposed Plan

- Configure for $0.75 \mathrm{~T}, 80 \mathrm{kV}$ beams
- Warn beams well ahead of time that they will be asked for long pulse
- Using four 80 kV beams (50, 60, 70, 130), optimize shape, fuelling, pre-charge around 1 MA .
- Don't strive for non-inductive, but hopefully use the inductive current to stabilize things, raise betaT.
- Attempt to minimize front-end fuelling.
- If $q_{\text {min }}$ dropping to/beneath 1 is problem, then reduce $I_{p}$ and continue.
- Once longest pulse is achieved at 1.0 MA, then repeat at 1.2 MA.
- Other considerations:
- Lithium vs. Boron:
- May actually control the electron inventory better with boron.
- But flux consumption and MHD triggering from ELMs will be undesirable?
- Diagnostics:
- All profile diagnostics required.
- Would be nice to have the full complement of impurity monitors, as particle accumulation may be the biggest problem.

