

Supported by



Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics Old Dominion** ORNL PPPL Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U Wisconsin**

X Science LLC

Interaction of LGI with 3D fields

K.Gan¹, J-W. Ahn², T. Gray², R. Maingi³, B.Wirth¹

¹UTK, ²ORNL, ³PPPL

NSTX-U Research Forum 2015 B318 02/24/2015





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFRI KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

DIII-D LGI experiments



A.Bortolon, NSTX Moday Physics Meeting (01/05/2015)

- LGI raised ELM frequency and reduced peak heat flux at DIII-D
- Profile change by LGI?
- No stability analysis done yet for LGI plasmas

3D field experiments in NSTX



- 3D field steepened Te gradient in the p r e - l i t h i u m condition
 - 3D field flattened pedestal Te and ne in the Post-lithium condition
- ELM triggering for both cases

WNSTX-U

- Interaction of LGI and 3D field with amplitude below/above ELM triggering threshold could yield an interesting knob for ELM control
- Goal: Investigate ELM frequency and size with combined LGI and 3D field application
- Experimental plan:
 - 3D field application (n=2 or n=3)
 - Change collisionality and plasma shape (triangularity) → will change pedestal regime and affect the interaction with both LGI and 3D fields
 - Request 0.5 run day in total