

Supported by



Investigation of Plasma Disruptions during Current Rampdown

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin**

¹S.C. Jardin, ²R. Raman, ¹D. Mueller, & others

¹Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory ²University of Washington

This work is supported by US DOE contract numbers FG03-96ER5436, DE-FG02-99ER54519 and DE-AC02-09CH11466

NSTX-U Research Forum PPPL, Princeton, NJ, February 24-27, 2015

Culham Sci Ctr **U St. Andrews** York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kvushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep U Quebec

Motivation

- NSTX plasmas often disrupted when the plasma current was rapidly ramped down at the end of the nominal discharge.
- No systematic study has been done to determine by what mechanism the thermal quench occurs in these discharges, and how best to avoid them.
- We propose to carry out a series of shots with varying current ramp-down rates on otherwise identical discharges to determine experimentally what the critical ramp-down rate is for disruption-free plasma termination.
- These experiments will be supported by both transport and MHD modeling and the results will be compared with both linear and nonlinear stability codes.
- The goals are to both obtain a better understanding of what causes a thermal quench and to provide guidance for obtaining disruption-free operation during current ramp-down.



2

Experimental Procedure

- Use the morning fiducials to ramp the plasma current down to zero at different ramp rates. On each day a different ramp-rate will be used. After a sufficient set of data has been collected and analyzed, there may be some dedicated time, if needed (2 -4 hours) to collect the missing data, or to do a dedicated scan on the same day as part of a controlled sequence of shots.
- 2) Maintain the discharge in full iso-flux control (i.e., do not transition to the shutdown phase)
- 3) For some of the faster current ramp-rates, the plasma will disrupt. Establish this critical current ramp-rate limit for the fiducial discharges.
- 4) Starting from near the critical current ramp-rate limit, for the fiducial, implement other small changes to the discharge to extend the critical current ramp rate to a faster valve. The initial changes will be:

- Starting from 100ms before the the start of the current ramp time, modulate the NBI power down over time, and re-establish the new critical current ramp rate at which the plasma disrupts.



3

Theoretical and Modeling Support

We will apply modeling tools to these discharges including TRANSP discharge modeling, linear MHD analysis using PEST-II and M3D-C1, and nonlinear MHD analysis using M3D-C1.

Goals will be to compare linear mode structure with experimental results, and to further understanding how and when linearly unstable modes grow to lead to a thermal quench.

Estimated Run Time: 20 Good fiducials (Piggyback operation) 6 to 12 dedicated discharges (2 to 4 hours)

