

Supported by



# **XP: HHFW absorption in Neutral-Beam** heated plasmas

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics** ORNL PPPL **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin X Science LLC

#### Nicola Bertelli

M. Podestà, D. Liu, B. LeBlanc, R. Harvey, F. Poli, P. Bonoli, G. Kramer and RF group

> **NSTX-U Research Forum** PPPL 02/24/2015





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFRI KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP**, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

# Significant fraction of HHFW power can be absorbed by fast ions during NBI

- Combine RF and NBI has been challenging
- Little data available on how much RF power is absorbed by fast vs. thermal ions
- For NSTX-U:
  - ion acceleration to loss orbits can constitute a loss of FW and fast-ion power, and can cause detrimental heat loads on PFCs (i.e. the HHFW antenna limiter)
  - the increased magnetic field will reduce the harmonic number of the FW system and potentially enhance ion absorption
- RF simulations show significant RF power absorption by fast ions in many cases and also large thermal ion absorption when  $T_i \ge T_e$ 
  - additional absorption dependence on the HHFW antenna phase



AORSA results on NSTX-U [Bertelli et al, AIP Conf. Proc. 2014]

## Goals

- Characterize RF absorption as a function of RF phasing, outer gap, magnetic field
  - Fist start with L-mode plasma for a "basic characterization" then move to H-mode plasma
- Benchmark RF codes, such as GENRAY/CQL3D (with ion orbit effects), TORIC (stand-alone and in TRANSP framework), AORSA, AORSA+CQL3D, and ORBIT-RF
- Benchmark synthetic diagnostics (FIDASIM) & compare with experiments
- Determine to what degree poor HHFW performance in beam-heated discharges is due to fast-ion absorption vs. other mechanisms (e.g. SOL losses of FW power)
  - See R16-3 milestone ("assess FW SOL losses, core thermal and fast ion interactions at increased  $B_T$ ,  $I_P$ ")
  - ITPA: high interest to study interaction between RF waves and fast ions
- Assess changes in radial intrinsic impurity transport (F. Scotti, et al.)
  - See also XP by J. Menard ("Scoping study for core impurity reduction using HHFW")

**()** NSTX-U

# Starting with a basic characterization in L-Mode plasma and then move up to H-Mode plasma

### L-mode plasma

- Establish baseline scenario: start with discharges similar to 2008 FIDA experiments
- NBI power 1-1.5 MW (NBI 1 only) and RF power 1-1.5 MW
- No lithium (suitable at the beginning of NSTX-U FY2015 campaign?)
- RF antenna phase scan: 13 m<sup>-1</sup>, 8 m<sup>-1</sup>, 3 m<sup>-1</sup>
- B<sub>tor</sub> scan: 0.55 T, 0.65 T, 0.75 T

### H-mode plasma

- Optimize the outer gap for best antenna coupling (for each value of outer gap, take a NO RF reference shot)
- RF power scan: 2-4 MW
- NB injection voltage scan (NBI 1 only, NBI 2 only, NBI 1+2)
- RF antenna phase and B<sub>tor</sub> scan as done in L-Mode plasma
- Need moderate lithium evaporation, small/no ELMs (perhaps suitable later in NSTX-U FY2015 campaign?)

Requested diagnostics: all fast-ion diagnostics, Thomson scattering, CHERS, HHFW-antenna IR camera

Desired diagnostics: SOL reflectometer and other IR cameras

🔘 NSTX-U