

Supported by



Particle control task force early run discussion

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics Old Dominion** ORNL PPPL Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Illinois **U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin X Science LLC

R. Maingi, J. Canik

Particle Control Task Force Meeting PPPL LSB B318 January 20, 2015





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu L Fukui L Hiroshima L Hyogo U Kyoto L Kyushu L Kyushu Tokai L NIFS Niigata L **U** Tokvo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res, Kiev loffe Ins TRINIT Chonbuk Natl L NFR **KAIST** POSTECH Seoul Natl L ASIPF CIEMA FOM Inst DIFFER **ENEA**, Frascat CEA, Cadarache IPP. Jülich **IPP**, Garchind ASCR, Czech Rep

Particle Control Task Force (PC-TF) Guidance

- Co-Leaders: Rajesh Maingi, John Canik
- Task force goal:
 - "Develop pumping and fueling tools, operating scenarios, and control systems to achieve main-ion and impurity density control for long-pulse"
- Scope includes XPs related to:
 - Main-ion fueling optimization via PCS and/or real-time control
 - Wall coating and preparation optimization for increased particle pumping
 - Reduction / control of impurity ion source rates
 - Natural and paced ELMs for impurity and main ion flushing
 - Real-time density measurements for density feed-back control
 - Physics design and performance characterization of divertor cryo-pump (if/as resources permit implementation of cryo-pump)
- Due date: ASAP, end of FY16 run for non-cryo elements

Outline

- Task Force Goals (Duration: 2015-2018):
 - Confirm physics design calculations of the cryopump plenum geometry
 - Deploy a number of long pulse particle control techniques
 - Coordinate effort for density feedback implementation with cryo
- Early 2015 run priorities
- Discussion on timing of B -> Li transition



Particle Control Task Force – Cryo physics design

- Task Force Goals:
 - Confirm physics design calculations of the cryopump plenum geometry
 - Semi-analytic model and 2-D calculations used for physics design
 - Need divertor thermography, Langmuir probe data, D_α profiles, which should be available relatively early in run
 - Desire to do this with boronized conditions (<u>early</u>) and lithiated conditions, with follow up experiments in 2016 after installation of high-Z row (joint with M&P)



Particle Control Task Force – Techniques (1)

- Task Force Goals:
 - Deploy long pulse particle control techniques
 - Naturally occurring ELM regimes: easy to obtain in NSTX with boronization (<u>early</u>), but can also achieve with lithiumization with 'low' amounts of inter-shot deposition (50-100 mg)
 - Lithium Granule Injector (LGI) for ELM triggering in discharges with low natural ELM frequency (some LSN with boronization <u>early</u>, 'high' lithium doses for ELM-free)
 - Consider using LGI as tool to controlled B -> Li transition
 - Liters to reduce impurity sources
 - Downward facing evaporator available 'early', upward facing one in 2016



Particle Control Task Force – Techniques (2)

- Task Force Goals:
 - Deploy long pulse particle control techniques
 - Snowflake divertor and/or gas puffing to reduce divertor T_e and sources (joint with Boundary Science group)
 - Timing of the snowflake likely paced by PCS optimization
 - Can probably do the source study (piggyback <u>early</u>, <u>including e.g. 3-D asymmetries and tile edges</u>) and dedicated gas puffing first with boronized walls (<u>early</u>)
 - Recycling and particle balance can support these
 - Comprehensive suite of diagnostics to support these
 - > 3-D fields for ELM destabilization (mostly with Li)
 - Li dropper for destabilization of micro-edge instabilities (2016+)
 - Cryopump + density feedback (2017+)

Outline

- Task Force Goals (Duration: 2015-2018):
 - Confirm physics design calculations of the cryopump plenum geometry
 - Deploy a number of long pulse particle control techniques
 - Coordinate effort for density feedback implementation with cryo
- Early 2015 run priorities
- Discussion on timing of B -> Li transition



Particle Control Task Force – Early Priorities

- Early 2015 run priorities:
 - Measure divertor profiles for cryopump physics design validation, under boronized (ELMy) conditions
 - Evaluate efficacy of naturally occurring ELMs for particle control, as basis for future cryo operation under boronized conditions
 - Important to get this for boronized walls in ELMy H-mode: proven density control technique in tokamaks, and a good basis for comparison with Li
 - Impurity sources; particle balance assessed
 - Optimized fueling (joint with ASC)
 - Evaluate LGI, 3D fields for ELM control with boronization
 - Deploy divertor gas puffing, and snowflake if available, with boronized walls
 - Group discussion: possible early I-mode evaluation?

Boron to Li Transition Timing – Views in Group

- First month of run (May) will probably be with boronized plasmas to develop 1 MA, 0.5 T H-mode fiducial
 - Provide a needed reference for comparison with Lithium (near term), and for cryopump experiments (longer term)
 - Recommended by PAC on several occasions
- Wide range of opinions on how to long spend on boronized plasmas
 - Span all the way from: spend the whole run with boronized walls to almost none of the run with boronized walls
 - Number of people stated centrist view, i.e. first month of research ops followed by B-> Li controlled transition
 - Machine will give us the operational answer
 - Boronization system was upgraded from NSTX, but PCS 'new'
- The boronized phase should be followed by a controlled introduction of Li as in 2008/2009, and planned for 2011

Boron to Li Transition Timing – When To Decide

- Evaluate after RF prioritization: which XPs require data w/boronization?
 - Essentially same evaluation from 2011 (but different answer)
 - R15-1: most groups want data (I_p , B_T , P_{NBI}) with boronized walls
 - Other XPS: for unique boronized walls study (e.g. M&P), and also as baseline comparison with Li evaporation
 - At present all three boundary TSGs, T&T TSG, and PC-TF have indicated a strong desire for high quality discharges with boronized walls (other groups speak up now?)
- Another consideration is evaluating need for vent: easier to do before we put Li into NSTX-U
- In practice, the machine will tell us: if we struggle in the first month redeveloping a 1 MA, 0.5 T fiducial with B, then we should set an upper limit (+1 mo?) on additional attempts
 - Need to re-develop metrics on when to re-boronize: first H-mode required 3 TMB cycles (Nov. 2000)
- If discharges look good, then we should push to reaching NSTX-U first year goals with B, and consider extending B campaign if needed